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This study examined the effects of reporter and child characteristics on the identification and willingness to report child maltreatment among currently employed Child Protective Investigators. The subjects consisted of 150 Child Protective Investigators employed in seventeen different counties in North Central Florida. All participants completed a demographic questionnaire along with eight different vignettes depicting problematic parental behavior. The vignettes were presented in three different forms, Form A depicted the child victim as black, Form B as white, and Form C did not provide a description of the child’s ethnicity. The participants were then asked to read each vignette and rate the information on a Likert scale of “seriousness” from 1 to 7, with “1” being not serious and “7” being very serious. After the respondents rated the seriousness of the scenario, they were then asked to indicate how they would tell the educator to respond by selecting one of three options: (1) no action, nothing needs to be done about the situation, (2) encourage the family to seek professional help, or (3) notify the child protection agency to investigate the situation. The research questions were analyzed using a one-way, between subjects analysis of variance, multiple regression, and logistic regression. Findings did not support that Child Protective Investigators rate scenarios of
maltreatment differently based on the child’s ethnicity. Partial support was found for reporter characteristics affecting the overall severity rating and willingness to report child maltreatment. More specifically, years in social services of the reporter was found to significantly predict the overall severity ratings given to several vignettes as well as willingness to report.
CHAPTER 1
LITERATURE REVIEW

Despite decades of attention and numerous prevention efforts, child abuse and neglect remains a serious social problem. An estimated 3 million children were alleged to have been abused or neglected and received investigations or assessments by state and local child protective service agencies during the Federal fiscal year 2004 (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2006). Out of those 3 million children, an estimated 872,000 were determined to be victims of child abuse or neglect. More than 60% of child victims were neglected by their parents or other caregivers. Approximately 18% were physically abused, 10% were sexually abused, and 7% were emotionally maltreated.

Child maltreatment itself was not identified until 1962 when Dr. Henry C. Kempe first introduced what he termed “battered child syndrome” (Kempe, Silverman, Steele, Droegemueller, & Silver, 1962). Kempe’s groundbreaking work helped to raise awareness and legislation in the fight against child abuse and neglect. Kempe coined the term “battered child syndrome” to describe “a clinical condition in young children who received serious physical abuse, generally from a parent or foster parent.” Furthermore, Kempe stated that the syndrome should be considered in any child exhibiting abuse or neglect in the presence of a discrepancy between the explanation and clinical findings. Kempe also suggested that alcoholism, promiscuity, unstable marriages, and criminal activity are factors that may increase the risk for “battered child syndrome.” Since that time, many researchers have attempted to create a model complex enough to capture the multifaceted nature of child maltreatment. This paper reviews the literature in order to provide a theoretical model for conceptualizing child maltreatment, as well as the specific factors related to the complex social, environmental, and cultural variables that underlie child abuse and neglect.
Ecological Model of Child Maltreatment

The most comprehensive and widely-accepted model in explaining the etiology of child maltreatment is that proposed by Belsky (1980), a hybrid of the model first introduced by Bronfenbrenner (1979), to explain the ecological perspective of human development. Belsky’s addition of a fourth level, the ontogenic level, in describing the context of child maltreatment differentiates it from Bronfenbrenner’s earlier work. Belsky’s model describes four interconnected levels: (1) ontogenic, (2) microsystem, (3) exosystem, and (4) macrosystem. Within this framework, understanding child maltreatment is contingent on examining the relationship and interaction of all four levels; examining any one level in isolation yields an inconclusive explanation. Moreover, each level is influenced by the family’s culture (Scannapieco & Connell-Carrick, 2005) suggesting that exploration of the cultural context of the family is necessary to understand any child or parent behavior.

Ontogenic Development

In Belsky’s (1980) description of ontogenic development, the investigation of the childhood history of an abusive parent is necessary for developing a more comprehensive understanding of how their parenting behaviors may have been shaped and reinforced. Previous research has shown that a childhood history of abuse alone does not determine whether victims will ultimately abuse their own children. While approximately 70% of parents who abuse children were neglected or abused in their childhood (Egeland, 1993), other factors have been identified to contribute to this high statistic. Parents with a history of childhood abuse, reported feeling depressed and angry over their childhood, having substance abuse problems, as well as having limited parenting skills. Thus, a childhood history of abuse alone will not result in becoming an abusive parent, but is a crucial factor in understanding the etiology of child
maltreatment and how it may predispose parents to react to certain stimuli in the microsystem and exosystem (Scannapieco & Connell-Carrick, 2005).

Microsystem

Consistent with Bronfenbrenner’s model, in Belsky’s model, the Microsystem is composed of the family unit and the immediate context in which the abuse occurs. This level of the ecological model of abuse incorporates the family, characteristics of the parent, and characteristics of the child (Belsky, 1980). Many researchers have investigated parent and child characteristics that are related to an increased risk of abuse and neglect. For example, psychological characteristics such as low self-esteem and poor impulse control have been shown to exist among parent perpetrators (Dubowitz, 1999; Milner, 1998). Additionally, parenting skills have been a noted deficiency in many offending parents. Abusive parents are more likely to utilize punishment, threats, and coercion and less likely to use reasoning and positive reinforcement (Milner).

While the responsibility for abuse should never fall on a child, research has shown that some child characteristics increase the likelihood that a child will suffer maltreatment at the hands of their caregiver. Child characteristics such as difficult temperament and disabilities (e.g., learning disability, speech or language delay, emotional/behavioral problems) have been shown to leave children at an increased risk of experiencing maltreatment (Sullivan & Knutson, 2000). Thus, one could imagine how the combination of a child with special needs and a parent with poor impulse control or other limitations could create an interactive relationship with an increased risk of abusive parental responses.

Exosystem

Belsky (1980) describes the exosystem as the larger social structures that encompass the family unit incorporated with the mesosystem described in Bronfenbrenner’s original model.
Research has indicated many family characteristics strongly correlate with the occurrence of child maltreatment. While child maltreatment transcends all socioeconomic levels, poverty has been the most noted risk factor. Sedlack and Broadhurst (1996) found that family income was the strongest correlate of incidence among all categories of maltreatment. Additionally, children from lower income families had the highest likelihood of experiencing neglect and serious injury or death. Poverty may be related to such factors as unemployment, lack of education, substance abuse, and social isolation, all of which contribute to the overall risk of maltreatment (Horton & Cruise, 2001).

One of the seminal studies in the field conducted by Gelles (1992) examined the relationship between poverty and violence towards children. The data utilized in the study were collected in two national surveys. The first survey, The First National Family Violence Survey, was conducted in 1976 while the second survey, The Second National Family Violence Survey, was conducted in 1985. The concept of “violence” was operationalized using the Conflict Tactics Scales (CTS). This measure contains 18 items that ask the respondent how often they use each technique when angry at a family member. The First National Family Violence Survey sampled 2,143 households in which there was at least one child at home between the ages of 3 and 17 years. The 1985 National Family Violence Survey utilized a sample of 3,233 households in which there was at least one child under the age of 18 years. The results for the 1976 survey found that the rate of severe violence was 62% higher in poverty-income families compared to non-poverty income families. Moreover, the rate of severe violence was 250% higher in poverty-income families. The 1985 survey produced similar results. Severe violence was found to be 46% higher in poverty-income families and very severe violence was 100% higher in
poverty-income families. This study was one of the first in the field to provide support for the claim that violence is more likely to occur in low income families.

In a similar study, Drake and Pandey (1996) examined the relationship between neighborhood poverty and rates of reported and substantiated cases by Child Protective Services. The data utilized in this study were from the 1992 Child Abuse and Neglect database in Missouri along with the 1990 census data to assist in categorizing neighborhood poverty levels. Families were divided into three categories based on the location of their homes: least poverty subgroup, median poverty subgroup, and highest poverty subgroup. The study examined 481,722 total families, across all three groups and found that for all types of abuse, the least poverty subgroup had the lowest number of reported and substantiated cases of child maltreatment. The reporting rates for physical abuse grew significantly across the three poverty levels starting from the lowest to highest. The substantiation rates for physical abuse ranged from 8% to 23% across the three poverty levels moving from lowest to highest. Similar results were found for child neglect. Both reporting and substantiation rates increased along with the poverty level. Consistent with the results reported in Gelles (1992), this study provides more support for poverty as a risk factor for all types of child maltreatment.

Understanding how the social context in which a family lives may affect child rearing practices. Many researches have investigated how residing in certain neighborhoods may affect the occurrence of child maltreatment. When socioeconomic status of neighborhoods was held constant, differences in maltreatment rates were still found between the neighborhoods (Garbino & Sherman, 1980), suggesting the importance of factors beyond basic resource levels. Upon further investigation, formal and informal social supports were related to neighborhoods with a lower rate of maltreatment.
Macrosystem

The Macrosystem is the larger cultural framework that encompasses the individual, family, and community (Belsky, 1980). For example, physical punishment of children has been shown to have differential support across various cultural groups. Researchers have consistently shown that “harsh” physical punishment was more prevalent among African-American families than in European families (Baumrind, 1995; Deater-Deckard, Dodge, Bates, & Pettit, 1996). Differences have also been reported between Hispanic, African-American, and Caucasian parents’ use of parental nurturing behaviors with their children, with African-American parents displaying more nurturing behaviors than their Hispanic counterparts (Ferrari, 2002).

Racism is another component described as part of the macrosystem (Scannapieco & Connell-Carrick, 2005). As institutional racism continues to block opportunities for increased educational and employment prospects for some, the frustration and stress among these cultural groups may become heightened creating an increased risk of child maltreatment. Recently, more attention has been given to the overrepresentation of certain minorities in both reporting statistics and substantiation of child maltreatment cases, lending support for the existence of institutional racism (Fluke, Hedderson, & Curtis, 2003).

In subscribing to the ecological model of abuse, understanding the role of culture is critical to understanding each level of the model. The characteristics of the parent, child, and family all are contingent upon the culture of the family, neighborhood, and society as a whole. Despite the model’s difficulty to be tested empirically, the ecological model of maltreatment has been noted as the best theoretical model for explaining child maltreatment (Garbarino, 1977). Instead of trying to focus on a single process or explanation, it provides a model for the comprehensive interaction of all the factors involved in increasing the risk of child maltreatment.
Culture, Race, and Ethnicity

Throughout the literature, definitions of the terms race, culture, and ethnicity are discussed extensively, with a number of authors highlighting the lack of clarity in the use of these terms. The American Psychological Association (2002) defined culture as “the belief systems and value orientations that influence customs, norms, practices, and social institutions” (p.2). Furthermore, all individuals are cultural beings and have a “cultural, ethnic, and racial heritage” (p.3). The APA argues that the term “race” is a socially constructed category by which society judges and stereotypes individuals. On the other hand, ethnicity is defined as “the acceptance of the group mores and practices of one’s culture of origin” (p.3). These terms have no agreed upon definitions among researchers and thus are used interchangeably throughout the literature base. Therefore, in the present literature review the terms race, ethnicity, and culture may be seen as interchangeable, as the terms were used interchangeably during the search of the literature. Moreover, the term “cultural competence” will refer to an awareness of the individual’s race, ethnicity, and/or cultural and how those belief systems may influence their customs, norms, practices, and social institutions.

Culture and Child Maltreatment

As our society becomes increasingly culturally diverse, the growing need for cultural competence and cultural sensitivity has come to the forefront as a national concern. In no area is the need more evident than child abuse and child protection. Culture provides the context for all interactions and events affecting children within their family structure. It also provides the basis for defining and responding to child maltreatment. As current demographic trends have predicted, the changing ethnic composition of this country is a growing reality that further calls for a high standard of cultural competence (Korbin, 2002).
Cultural competence was first introduced to child protection by anthropologist James Green (1982) as the term “ethnic competence,” which referred to the growing need for human service workers to be trained in cultural sensitivity (Dubowitz, 1999). According to Green, cultural competence is a repertoire of skills and knowledge that enables one not only to acquire sensitivity to cultural diversity but also to transcend cultural boundaries. In other words, culturally competent practices prioritize children’s well-being and protection while understanding the cultural context of the child (Dubowitz, 1999). Thus the culturally competent Child Protection Investigator would have the ability to differentiate between cultural practices and cultural practices which are harmful to the child.

Within the field of child protection, increased attention has also surrounded the use of cultural evidence to defend child rearing practices that differ from the mainstream definitions of child maltreatment. Cultural evidence is defined as information offered by immigrant or nonmainstream parents to influence the legal ramifications following allegations of child abuse and neglect (Levesque, 2000). This creates another layer of complication for professionals working in the field. Child protection workers must be informed and educated on how the laws differ from state to state and what may be permissible as cultural evidence. Most states have identified exceptions for cultural practices in such areas as religious beliefs in seeking medical attention, corporal punishment, and poverty (Terao, Borrego, & Urquiza, 1999). Thus, assuming variability in clinicians’ understanding of cultural issues, vast differences may exist in the decision-making process surrounding identifying and reporting child maltreatment.

Focus in the Literature

With such an increased focus on cultural competence and sensitivity, Nybell and Gray (2004) conducted a study investigating the process of culturally competent organizational change among social service workers, supervisors, and managers from three nonprofit child and family
agencies. Interviews and focus groups were performed with each agency to gain an understanding of how employees viewed cultural competence and its development within their agency. The results were also compared by race, agency position, and program affiliation of the interviewee. The case studies highlighted disparate definitions of cultural competence between individuals as well as an overwhelming need for more training on cultural differences. More specifically, staff discussed the need for increased diversity in the hiring process within the agency, along with the cultural clash between predominantly white workers and minority clients. Workers indicated the desire for more open dialogue in the workplace pertaining to racial and cultural differences. Also mentioned was the need for minority speakers to assist in training the staff towards becoming more culturally competent. While this study is limited in that the findings cannot be generalized to other agencies, it suggests the need for additional training opportunities within and between agencies.

Researchers have also examined the extent to which child maltreatment literature has attended to the issue of ethnicity in the design and sampling of research studies. In their 2001 study, Behl, Crouch, May, Valente, and Conyngham provided a content analysis of 1,133 articles published between 1977 and 1998 in the child maltreatment literature. The authors sought to identify which studies provided a focus on ethnicity in their sampling, participants, and design. The articles were taken from three specialty journals in child maltreatment, *Child Abuse & Neglect*, *Child Maltreatment*, and *Journal of Child Sexual Abuse*, and randomly assigned to three coders. Coders independently reviewed each article for the presence of a focus on ethnicity, analysis by ethnicity, or ethnicity held constant. Reliability was calculated across 20% of the articles resulting in interrater agreement ranging from .77 to .95.
The researchers found that of the 1,133 articles analyzed, 6.7% included a focus on ethnicity. The percentage of articles that reported data on the ethnic composition of the participants increased significantly across the years examined. Surprisingly, only 50% of the most recent articles examined (1995–1998) included any information on ethnicity of the subjects. In addition, approximately 12% of the articles used ethnicity as a control variable in the analyses. Those studies generally held ethnicity constant in order to investigate the relationship among the variables of interest. Surprisingly, of the most recent articles (1995–1998), approximately 75% did not include ethnicity as a variable in the design or analyses. Implications of this study would be the increased need for researchers to include ethnicity at a minimum as a descriptive variable if not a variable of interest. Limitations of this study include the focus on only three of the most prominent journals in the area of child maltreatment to the exclusion of literature in other fields that may be of relevance. Also, the data examined provide trends in the research available only to the year of 1998, excluding the last six years of research.

**Effects of Culture on Treatment**

Researchers have also examined the importance of culture in treating abused and neglected children. Cohen, Deblinger, Mannarino, and Arellano (2001) reviewed the extent to which cultural issues have been addressed in the empirical treatment outcome literature for child maltreatment, the measurement limitations, and possible explanations for the results found. Some evidence in the literature suggests that ethnicity may play a role in the severity of symptoms exhibited by child victims of maltreatment. Several researchers report that minority children suffer more lasting detrimental effects following child maltreatment as compared with Caucasian children (Cohen et al.). For example, Morrow and Sorrell (1989) found that in children having suffered child sexual abuse, Hispanic and African-American children displayed significantly more behavioral problems and depressive symptoms than their Caucasian
counterparts. Rao, DiClemente, and Ponton (1992) found that Asian children who had suffered sexual abuse were significantly less likely to display anger and sexualized behavior but more likely to display suicidal ideation versus African-American, Hispanic, and Caucasian children. However, the lack of studies that control for treatment received among the children is a confounding variable requiring much more research before interpreting these results too broadly.

Cohen et al. (2001) also reviewed the literature surrounding minority use of treatment services. Several researchers cite the growing evidence that Asian American and Hispanic children are less likely to receive mental health treatment regardless of need and socioeconomic status (Bui & Tekeuchi, 1992; McCabe et al., 1999). Surprisingly, African-American children covered by Medicaid were shown to receive a disproportionately large amount of mental health treatment, while those that were not covered by any insurance received the least amount of services (McCabe et al.).

In understanding the relationship between culture and child maltreatment, several methodological designs have been used. Methods have included ethnographic approaches, vignettes, focus groups, clinical studies, and population surveys (Korbin, 2002). Most often, ethnographic portraits are used in studying culture to allow for a comprehensive view of a specific culture. This type of methodology often provides information that cannot be gained through a statistical analysis. Conversely, these types of qualitative methods, rich with descriptive information, often lack the generalizability that a sound empirical study would produce.

Many of the ethnographic studies involve much smaller sample sizes and labor intensive analyses that make replication virtually impossible. Other types of studies such as survey work or aggregate analyses provide much larger sample sizes, more powerful results, and more
generalizable findings. However, these types of studies also lack the information on the cultural context and processes that the individual families endure (Korbin, 2002). Thus, Korbin calls for researchers to provide a more comprehensive design when initiating research that allows for both qualitative and quantitative methodology within each study. In addition, the importance for researchers to include ethnicity as a “must have” variable in all research on child maltreatment from this point forward is discussed (Korbin).

**Effects of Culture on Child Maltreatment**

Understanding the importance of culture in the etiological model of abuse, researchers have investigated the effect culture has on abuse and its reporting. Culture has been shown to affect the way in which lay people define child maltreatment, the reporting characteristics of both lay people and professionals deemed as mandatory reporters of child maltreatment, and the reporting and substantiation rates of actual cases of child maltreatment. As mentioned previously, ethnicity of victims of maltreatment as a variable of interest examined in the literature is relatively new with only 6.7% of the articles published before 1998 including it as a focus of research (Behl, Crouch, May, Valente, & Conyngham, 2001). The few studies that have examined culture and ethnicity since then have yielded differing results, small sample sizes, and studies that are difficult or impossible to replicate. Thus, the current research leaves many unanswered questions concerning the effect of culture on all aspects of child maltreatment. In the following literature review, the areas of defining child maltreatment, parenting practices, and reporting child maltreatment are discussed.

**Defining Child Maltreatment**

Previous research focusing on the etiology of child maltreatment has suggested that cultural differences in child rearing beliefs may influence specific definitions of child abuse and neglect. Researchers using vignette studies have indicated that minority groups may provide
different definitions of child maltreatment, yet do not regard scripted scenarios with any less significance than the mainstream culture (Korbin, Coulton, Lindstrom-Ufuti, and Spilsbury, 2000). Korbin et al. investigated the link between definitions of child maltreatment among parents residing in different neighborhoods with differing levels of risk for child maltreatment. The sample included 400 parents taken from 20 different neighborhoods in Cleveland, Ohio, representative of all levels of the US census. Households were eligible for participation in the study if there was at least one child under the age of 18 living in the home and one parent or guardian. The sample was mostly female (81.8%) with the following racial diversity: African-American (54.8%), Caucasian (36.3%), Hispanic (6.8%), Asian and Native American (2.1%). Individual variables such as age, race, income, education, and marital status were collected as well. In an attempt to investigate the presence of neighborhood definitions of child maltreatment, the respondents were first asked to identify three things they considered to be child abuse and neglect. Participants were then asked to rate 13 factors drawn from the current literature on the etiology of child maltreatment on a scale from 1 (contributes nothing) to 10 (contributes a lot) as to how much they thought the factor may contribute to child maltreatment. Two measures were utilized for analyses in this study, a census-based measure of the level of impoverishment in the neighborhood and a respondent based measure of perceptions of the neighborhood conditions.

While the researchers found an overall agreement on the definitions of child maltreatment, several significant differences were also discovered. African-Americans were more likely to list behaviors of neglect while Caucasians were more likely to list behaviors of physical abuse during the free response exercise. Women were also more likely than men to include lack of supervision as an example of child maltreatment. Neighborhood perceptions of factors
contributing to the etiology of child abuse and neglect also yielded significant findings. Respondents living in poorer, more chaotic, high crime neighborhoods were more likely to attribute the etiology to poverty and family structure. Conversely, respondents living in less poor, less chaotic neighborhoods were more likely to attribute the etiology to a lack of family values. Limitations of this study include the overwhelming representation of women in the sample as well as selection bias based on recruiting of subjects.

Another related study completed by Fontes, Cruz, and Tabachnick (2001) explored the qualitative differences between African-American and Latino views of child sexual abuse. The authors utilized focus group interviews to address any differences in definitions of child sexual abuse, signs that it is occurring, whether it occurs in their communities, and if men and women hold different views. The participants included 34 men and 24 women divided into eight separate focus groups defined by older and younger African-American women, older and younger African-American men, Latino English-speaking men, Latina English-speaking women, Latino Spanish-speaking men, and Latina Spanish-speaking women. The only demographic data obtained was age, ethnicity, and that generally participants were from working class or poor and have low levels of academic education. All the information available on recruiting describes that all participants who volunteered and met the criteria of being over 18 and of African-American or Latino descent were accepted. The Latino group was comprised of mostly Puerto Ricans with a few people from the Caribbean and Central America. The focus groups lasted between 45 to 90 minutes and were videotaped for later analysis.

The results showed several differences between the responses of the focus groups. Both Latino men and women spoke more about sexual abuse as an issue within their own family or community while in African-American groups almost no personal stories were shared. In the
rare occurrence that they were shared, the story was ignored by the groups. Male participants tended to be more detailed in descriptions of child sexual abuse while females were hesitant to name specific acts. Only Latinos, both men and women, described changes in the family, culture, and community as factors contributing to child sexual abuse. In English-speaking Latina groups, participants described social and economic pressure to keep a man around the house as a risk factor for child sexual abuse. African-American groups, both men and women, referred to drug use and abuse as a risk factor for child sexual abuse (Fontes et al., 2001).

While the results obtained provide an in depth understanding of the participants’ beliefs, generalizability of the results is limited. First, the participants were not randomly selected and the sample size was relatively small. Additionally, only limited demographic data was collected. The duration of the focus group was short, allowing limited time for the participants to feel comfortable sharing such intimate information. Finally, the focus groups were all led by men, which the authors state could have affected some of the participants’ willingness to share personal information.

In summary, results of studies examining how culture affects definitions of child maltreatment suggest that there may be differences in the type of abuse certain cultures are willing to discuss or admit occur within their communities. Findings have also suggested that the neighborhood in which one resides may have an affect on how one conceptualizes the etiology of child maltreatment. Additionally, gender differences have been reported to affect both of the aforementioned findings, however; these results are extracted from studies with an overwhelmingly female sample. Thus, completing more studies incorporating a larger sample of both men and women along with a more diversified group of participants are needed to further the research in this area.
Parenting Practices

Researchers have also focused on cultural differences and predictors of parenting attitudes and behaviors, hypothesizing their potential impact on child maltreatment. With such a high percentage of U.S. parents utilizing physical punishment, researchers have examined the long-term effects of using such discipline practices. Some researchers maintain that simply using physical punishment may result in later dysfunction while others suggest the detrimental effects depend upon the context in which the punishment is used. Wissow (2001) analyzed data from the Commonwealth Fund Survey of Parents with Young Children, a national sample of 2,017 parents with at least one child younger than three living in the home. The sample consisted of 1,320 mothers/female guardians and 687 fathers/male guardians. The parents were interviewed to assess their use of six types of disciplinary practices, 3 negative (yelling,spanking, and hitting) and 3 positive (time out, taking away toy, and explaining). Only the parents of 18–36-month-olds were asked about neutral/positive practices. The parents were further questioned about how often in the last week they engaged in specific nurturing activities (e.g., reading to child). Finally, parents were given a self-report survey that measured depressive symptoms.

The study found several significant results. First, 40% of parents report spanking sometimes or often, while another 40% report spanking at least once. The most common form of neutral discipline was time out, with 62% of parents with a child between the ages of 18–23 months reporting use, while 73% of parents of 24–36 month olds reporting use. Boys were significantly more likely to be yelled at or spanked than girls. Based on the amount of reported spanking, the spankers were grouped into average, above average, and highest levels of spanking. The average spankers were found to do so in context with a larger use of nurturing interactions as well as both negative and neutral disciplinary interactions. The above average spankers report less reading, listening to music, playing, and hugging their children and use less
of other disciplinary strategies. The highest levels of spanking were found at the two extreme ends of the participant groups. The first group was comprised of the greatest proportion of low-income parents and largest number of Hispanic and African-American parents. The second group with the highest levels of spanking was comprised of the smallest proportion of low-income parents and was nearly 80% Caucasian.

These results indicate that negative disciplinary practices are not just defined by ethnicity or income level but a more complex constellation of factors. Use of spanking was found to be strongly associated with parental reports of depressive symptoms. Consequently, groups of parents with higher rates of physical punishment seem to be more defined by parental mood than by race, ethnicity or socioeconomic status. A limitation of the study was the lack of information on how the term “spanking” was defined and explained to the participants. Also limiting the results found in the study is the lack of supplemental information on the family environment and context within which the discipline occurs. Finally, only one parent was randomly chosen from two parent homes to participate without question as to the consistency of disciplinary techniques across caregivers.

Ferrari (2002) examined the relationship between culture and parenting behaviors and attitudes. This study also examined the impact a childhood history of abuse would have on the parenting beliefs. This study hypothesized that cultural variables would be more predictive of parenting practices than ethnicity as a demographic variable. The study included 75 fathers and 75 mothers who were recruited as nontraditional students attending night classes at a community college. The sample was comprised of African-Americans (33%), Hispanics (33%), and Caucasians (33%). The participants were given a booklet containing seven scales or checklists in a randomized order: The Machismo Scale, Familism Scale, Valuing Children Scale, Conflict
Tactics Scale, Nurturance Scale, vignettes of child maltreatment, and the Childhood Trauma Questionnaire.

The study found that regardless of ethnic status, fathers who held familism in low regard were more likely to use physical punishment to discipline their children than fathers who valued familism more. Machismo predicted more use of physical punishment from fathers, but not for mothers when controlling for ethnicity. For all parents, valuing children more was associated with lower tolerance for mistreating behaviors. A history of childhood abuse or neglect was predictive of the mother’s use of physical and verbal punishment. Interestingly, the fathers who reported a history of child abuse were less likely to use physical punishment. The data suggests that the intergenerational transmission of abuse may hold true for mother but not for fathers, at least within this population. No ethnic differences were found in the seriousness ratings of child abuse and neglect. African-American parents were found to report participating in more nurturing behaviors than Hispanics, while also using more physical discipline than both Hispanic and Caucasian participants. African-American mothers were found to use significantly more verbal and physical abuse than African-American fathers. The findings indicate that both ethnicity and gender are complex factors which are comprised of social roles, gender roles, and norms, all of which may impact parenting styles and definitions of child maltreatment. This study is limited by the use of only college students with no measure of socioeconomic status or acculturation.

Investigating similar constructs, Coohey (2001) concentrated on different dimensions of familism and the relationship to child maltreatment in Latino and Anglo families. Familism is the most important culture-specific set of attitudes and beliefs held by Mexican, Puerto-Rican, Cuban, Central and South Americans. These culture specific attitudes and beliefs emphasize
importance of maintaining a supportive extended family network. Coohey hypothesizes that less familistic attitudes would thus be linked to child maltreatment among Latinos. The study sought to investigate if varying degrees of familism existed between and within Latino and Anglo families and if these differences were in fact related to child maltreatment. The participants included Anglo and Latina mothers taken from Child Protection Services records and matched with public school parents from Chicago on mothers’ ethnicity, country of origin and income level. The sample included 51 nonabusive Anglos, 51 abusive Anglos, 35 nonabusive Latinas, and 35 abusive Latinas. The study utilized a network circumscription approach in capturing the majority of mothers’ informal supports. The mothers were asked to name formal and informal supports and evaluate each person on a variety of characteristics measuring familism. The three dimensions of familism examined were attitudinal familism, behavioral familism, and structural familism. Attitudinal familism is the idea that relatives possess more positive characteristics than nonrelatives and a preference for exchanging valued resources with relatives over nonrelatives. Behavioral familism is the actual receipt of support from relatives as opposed to attitudes or beliefs about what others should give or get. Moreover, it focuses on support Latinos receive from extended kin and not everyone in their informal support network. Finally, structural familism is the actual size and proximity of kinship networks.

Several differences were found across all dimensions of familism. Anglos, both abusive and non-abusive, were found to be more likely to report at least one of their kin members were critical of them as well as having at least one unreliable relative. A significant interaction was found for the abusive Anglo mothers who reported they had at least one kin member who couldn’t control his or her anger. Nonabusive mothers, regardless of ethnicity, report more kin who were warm and caring. Main effects for both abuse status and ethnicity were found on the
level of interest in getting emotional support from friends. Abusive mothers and non-abusive Anglo mothers were more interested in obtaining emotional support from friends.

Significant results were also found across the dimensions of behavioral and structural familism. A significant main effect for abuse status on the number of kin members was found. Nonabusive mothers had significantly more kin in their social networks than abusive mothers. Abusive mothers had fewer contacts with their kin than nonabusive mothers. Abusive mothers also have fewer friends than nonabusive mothers. While the author’s hypotheses were partially supported by this study, several implications for culturally relevant practices were found. Based on the results, practitioners should inquire as to the supportive or unsupportive nature of the mother’s kin when either ascertaining level of support or investigating possible out of home placements for children. Also, consistent with previous research, a pattern of disconnectedness from kin could put poor Anglo mothers at risk for maltreatment. Practitioners should investigate both kin and friends’ level of support, proximity, and availability for Anglo mothers. A pattern of receiving more emotional support from friends versus kin may characterize a family cultural pattern that negatively influences parenting for Latinas in this study. Some limitations of this study include the participation of only women in the sample. Also, during the interview process questions were translated for non English-speaking participants, possibly losing some meaning during the questionnaire process.

**Reporting Child Maltreatment**

Identification and willingness to report child maltreatment have gained a large focus in the child maltreatment literature. With such a diverse number of professionals designated as mandatory reporters of child maltreatment under state statutes, many different groups have subsequently been studied in the literature. Without the ability to control and deviate case variables such as the child’s race, SES, or age within real life child maltreatment cases,
researchers have overwhelming adopted the use of simulated case vignettes to access the effect of reporter characteristics and the characteristics of the scenario on the reported severity of abuse and willingness to report.

The process of identifying and reporting child maltreatment is a comprehensive procedure involving three distinct phases (Ashton, 2004). The reporter will first gather data about a given situation, assess the behavior, and respond in a specific manner (Ashton). Personal characteristics of the reporter, such as beliefs, attitudes, and opinions developed through experiences may play a role in each step of the above process. Ashton examined the relationship between personal characteristics of 276 entry-level workers and their decision to report child maltreatment. The participants in this study were a group of culturally diverse college seniors from an urban area all majoring in the social service field. The study provided the participants with a self-administered questionnaire comprised of case vignettes depicting different child maltreatment scenarios. Eight independent variables were assessed for each participant: age, gender, parenthood, mother and father’s education, college major, ethnicity, immigration status, and whether or not the respondent was born in the United States. The sample consisted of 30% African Caribbean, 24% African-American, 25% Hispanic, 9% White, 6% Asian, and 6% other. The participants were presented with 12 vignettes and asked to decide between three outcomes: nothing needed to be done, the family needs to seek mental heath assistance, or the family needs to be reported to child protection. The respondents also needed to rate each situation on a Likert scale from 1 to 7 for the seriousness of the situation.

Ashton’s study found that out of all eight characteristics, only ethnicity and immigration status were related to the likelihood of the participants to report the abuse. Caucasians were found to be significantly more likely to report abuse, while Asians were the least likely to report
the incident to child protection. The only other significant result found was that respondents who were born in the United States were significantly more likely to report versus immigrants who were born elsewhere. This study was limited in that it was a self-report measure which only required college seniors to hypothesize if they would report, not if they actually did in a real life situation. Furthermore, college seniors are not actual mandated reporters of abuse thus limiting the generalizability of the results reported in this study.

In a similar study completed by Maynard and Wiederman (1997), a group of 413 undergraduate students recruited from an introductory psychology course were presented with one of eight vignettes depicted child sexual abuse and asked to rate the severity of the scenario, and respond to questions examining their perceptions of the situation and any attributions of responsibility or blame. The sample consisted of 208 men (50.4%) and 205 women (49.6%). Furthermore, 87.2% of the respondents were White, 6.5% were African-American, 2.4% were Asian, and 1.9% were Hispanic. The vignettes depicting a 15-year-old child were rated as less severe than those situations depicting a 7-year-old victim. Additionally, ratings of severity of abuse and attributions of blame were significantly lower when the child and the victim were the same sex. Gender-role attitudes were not significantly related to ratings of abusiveness or attributions of blame. Limiting this study was the inclusion of undergraduate students instead of mandated reporters of abuse. Moreover, the sample was overwhelmingly Caucasian further highlighting the need for more culturally diverse samples in the child maltreatment literature.

In addition to research focused on undergraduate responders, graduate students in the area of social work were sampled in a study completed by Ashton (1999) using 12 vignettes depicting problematic parental behavior. The participants were then asked to rate the seriousness of the situation and whether or not they would report the incident. The sample consisted of 86 first-
year graduate students majoring in social work. The sample was overwhelmingly female (83.6%) and predominately Caucasian. Vignettes depicting physical violence, imminent harm, or young children were rated more serious as well as being more likely to be reported. This study did not find any relationship between respondent data, such as age, gender, or ethnicity, and the ratings of severity and willingness to report. The author provides the reasoning that the sample was overwhelmingly homogeneous, thus again calling for a more culturally diverse sample. While sampling first year graduate students in a social work program increases the generalizability versus undergraduate samples, it is still not representative of actual mandated reporters.

Additionally, previous research has shown that graduate programs in clinical, counseling, and school psychology did not meet minimum standards imposed by the American Psychological Association (APA) in the area of child maltreatment training (Champion, Shipman, Bonner, Hensley, & Howe, 2003). A survey assessed coursework, practica, and research experience in the area of child maltreatment and found that all programs fall short of minimal levels of competence, with no increased attention or focus over the last ten years. For most of the programs, child maltreatment was briefly covered as a subject in law and ethics seminars offered during a semester long course. Thus, research sampling college students may not provide as much relevant information for understanding the reporting characteristics of professional mandatory reporters.

While detecting and reporting child maltreatment is not a mandatory requirement of students, it is a legal responsibility placed on school personnel. Thus, research has also focused on the reporting characteristics of educators and other school personnel. While this responsibility is of crucial importance, studies show that teachers feel unprepared, unsupported,
and ill-informed when it comes to reacting in situations that call for clinical and professional judgment.

Kenny (2001) surveyed 197 teachers on their knowledge of child abuse laws, procedures for reporting, and ability to detect child maltreatment in two vignettes. Of the sample surveyed, 11% of the teachers stated that they failed to report incidents of abuse at their school. When asked what impacted their decision not to report, 39% stated that they feared making an inaccurate report. Thirty-four percent of both special education and regular education teachers report their pre-serviced training to properly handle child maltreatment as being inadequate. The respondents identified a lack of preparation and training in their course work, as well as a lack of knowledge of specific legal requirements. The teachers responded to the vignettes, which both described obvious scenarios of child maltreatment, as reporting only 26% for the first case and 11% for the second case. Kenny (2001) also emphasizes the ethnic differences observed among teacher respondents. None of the African-American or the African Caribbean teachers reported the need to alert child protection in the scenarios presented.

The results reported in Kenny (2001) are echoed throughout the child maltreatment literature. In a similar study conducted by Hinson and Fossey (2000), elementary classroom teachers were administered a survey on their abilities to recognize abuse, perceptions of the reporting process, and their understanding of liability. The results showed many of the teachers were unable to recognize the signs of abuse and were unaware of the reporting procedures at their schools. Further, most of the respondents were unaware of immunity rights in the law, indicating that they felt fear and anxiety over possible retribution from the abuser. In addition, educators reported considering child protective services ineffective and unable to help the children (Hinson & Fossey, 2000).
In a study completed by Webster, O’Toole, O’Toole, and Lucal (2005), a sample of 480 teachers responded to vignettes in which the case characteristics, including socioeconomic status and ethnicity, were systematically manipulated. The teachers responded to whether they believed the situation to be child abuse and if they felt they would report the incident. The sample was overwhelmingly Caucasian (93.1%) as well as largely female (74.2%). The results showed that in the sample of teachers, overreporting was rare, occurring in only 4.2% of the cases, with underreporting occurring in one third of the cases. A difference was found between teachers working in rural schools versus suburban schools, with those in rural schools showing an increased likelihood of underreporting the abusive situations. This study provided a relatively untapped characteristic of child maltreatment, the difference between professionals employed in rural and suburban settings and the effect that may have on reporting rates. Again, a more culturally diverse sample needs to be examined as well as one incorporating an equal amount of men as women.

Along with professional educators, other professionals have also been studied throughout the literature. In a study completed by Warner-Rogers, Hansen, and Spieth (1996) a sample of sixty 3rd and 4th year medical students were provided a set of sixteen vignettes depicting scenarios of child maltreatment that systematically manipulated the injury severity, injury explanation, and delay in seeking medical attention while holding SES and ethnicity constant. The sample consisted of 40 males (66.7%) and 20 females (34.6%) with no information on the respondents’ ethnicities. The study found a significant three-way interaction between injury severity, explanation, and delay in seeking treatment. Reporter gender or amount of clinical experience was not shown to affect identification of abuse. Limiting the findings of this study is the use of medical students. While the students in this study were in their 3rd or 4th year of
medical school, the ability to generalize to licensed physicians and other mandated reporters is limited.

Licensed psychologists and certified Masters social workers were surveyed by Hansen, Bomby, Lundquist, Chandler, Le, and Futa (1997) in an effort to identify case and professional variable on the identification and reporting of child maltreatment. The sample consisted of 125 licensed psychologists, 69 (55.2%) female and 56 (44.7%) male. The sample was also predominantly Caucasian (95.2%). Also surveyed were 85 Certified Master Social Workers (CMSWs), with 45 being female (52.9%), 40 being male (47.1%). The majority of the CMSWs were also Caucasian (91.8%). The respondents were presented with five case vignettes that systematically manipulated three variables, the child’s age, race, and SES. Consistent with previous research, the vignettes depicting a younger child received a higher severity of abuse rating. An effect of socioeconomic status was found, but only related to the sexual abuse vignettes. The scenarios depicting families of lower socioeconomic status were associated with higher ratings of abuse. The factor that produced the strongest effect on ratings of abuse was the ethnicity of the parent and child described. The vignettes depicting African-American families were rated as being less severe and less likely to be reported than the same identical scenario depicting a Caucasian family. No significant differences for gender were found in the study. Replicating this study with a more culturally diverse sample would increase the understanding of the complex issue of race when investigating child maltreatment.

**Disproportionality in Child Maltreatment**

The occurrence of disproportionate representation of race and ethnicity in actual reporting statistics has been examined in the child maltreatment literature. Fluke, Hedderson, and Curtis (2003) investigated the racial and ethnic representation of child maltreatment reports using National Child Abuse and Neglect Data System (NCANDS) data for more than 700,000 children.
across five states. The data were collected in year 2000, from one state in the mid-Atlantic region, one in the Midwest, two from the South, and one from the Southwest. The states were specifically chosen based on their overall population and diverse racial populations. For each state, a disproportionality representation index (DRI) was constructed for both investigation and victim data. The Investigation DRI is defined as the ratio calculated by dividing the percentage of children in a specific racial group who were subject of an investigation by the percentage of children of the same racial group in the population in the state. The Victim DRI uses the same equation to calculate the number of children of a specific racial group that were found to be victims of maltreatment by the percentage who were subject of an investigation. The results showed that across all five states, the Investigation DRI for African-American children who were subject of an investigation is greater than 1. In addition, across all five states, the Victim DRI for African-American children was greater than 1. The Victim DRI was substantially less than the Investigation DRI for African-American children suggesting a bias in reporting procedures. Great variation among both the Investigation and Victim DRI for all other ethnic groups was found (Asian/Pacific Islander, White, Hispanic, and Native American), with no consistent results greater than one.

In a similar study, researchers investigated the records of 3,936 children receiving care in out-of-home placement for suspected child maltreatment (Lu, Landsverk, Ellis-Macleod, Newton, Ganger, & Johnson, 2004). The study examined the relationship between child characteristics such as age, gender, race, and reason for referral with case outcome decisions. The analysis revealed significant differences among case outcomes for children of different racial backgrounds. African-American children, when compared to relevant census data, were over-represented in the child protective system as well as out-of-home care. Furthermore,
African-American children were significantly less likely to reach the goal of reunification with their family than all other ethnicities examined. The study also investigated the initial child abuse reporting rate, uncovering another significant effect of race. African-American children were found to be over-reported while Asian and Hispanic children were found to be under-represented.

The above study is consistent with results found by Barth (1997). Barth utilized a database that included all children who were placed in out-of-home care in California since the year 1988. The sample studied was a cohort of 3,873 children who were selected because it was the first time they entered out-of-home care, were less than six years old at placement, were African-American, Caucasian, or Latino, and were placed in a nonkinship foster family. The analysis demonstrated that African-American children were less likely than Caucasian children to be reunited with their families (41% v. 58%). Furthermore, African-American children are more likely to remain in long-term care while Caucasian children are more likely to be adopted than stay in long-term care. Latino children were found to be equally as likely to be placed in either setting. Barth expounds as to possible contributing factors in this overwhelming disproportionality in child welfare outcomes for African-American children. He states that hiring “additional ethnically competent social workers” (p.6) may increase adoption rates for African-American children.

McCabe et al. (1999) investigated representation of different ethnic/racial groups across five public youth service sectors. The sample analyzed in the study was drawn from the Patterns of Youth Mental Health Care in Public Services Systems Project (POC) which includes children (birth to 18 years) who were active in one or more of five areas of care (drug treatment, child welfare, juvenile justice, mental health, and SED services in public schools). The sample
consisted of a cohort of 11,515 children who resided in San Diego during the 1996–1997 year. African-Americans were found to be overrepresented in the child welfare system, mental health services, juvenile justice, and SED. The only sector that they were not shown to be overrepresented was in drug treatment. Caucasian children were found to be underrepresented in child welfare, mental health, and juvenile justice. Furthermore, Caucasian children were represented at expected rates in drug treatment and were overrepresented in SED within the public schools. Latinos were underrepresented across all five public sectors. With African-American children being present in the child welfare system at 264% of the expected rate, the authors suggest that “overidentification” may be to blame.

Investigating the same problem, with a slightly different focus, Garland and Besinger (1997) examined court referrals for mental health services between children of different ethnicities. The sample was taken from a longitudinal study, the Foster Care Mental Health (FCMH) research project, and included 184 randomly selected cases. The authors analyzed the children’s child welfare case files and found that Caucasian children were most likely to have received counseling before entering the system. Moreover, they were most likely to receive counseling within the first eight months of out-of-home care as compared to the other ethnicities. Upon further analysis, African-American and Hispanic youth were less likely to use therapy services when controlling for age, type of maltreatment, and previous use. Garland and Besinger discuss possible causes of this disparity in the system. They hypothesize that in addition to family cultural differences, “service system factors” (p.663) may play a role in these findings. More specifically, they state that “service systems may not make appropriate services available and accessible to minority families” (p.663).
The aforementioned studies indicate that ethnicity plays a significant role in the decision making process at all levels throughout the child welfare system. It suggests the need to reevaluate the current model of child protective services in an effort to understand exactly how and why the needs of minority clients are not being met. More specifically, the workers, agencies and policies that hold the responsibility for decision making need to be addressed more directly within the relevant literature. In a recent review of the research available on minority children in the child welfare system, Hines, Lemon, Wyatt, and Merdinger (2004) conclude that ethnicity and/or SES is clearly a factor contributing to the disproportionate representation of minority children in the child welfare system. More specifically, the authors state, “research in the area of bias in reporting remains scant and inconclusive” (pg. 522).

**Models of Disproportionality**

While overrepresentation of certain ethnicities throughout the different stages of the child welfare system has been researched and discussed for years, large scale studies of disproportionality in the reporting and substantiation of child maltreatment cases are few and far between (Barth, 2005). Barth proposes several possible explanations for the overrepresentation of African-American children and families in the child welfare system. In his model entitled the Child Welfare Services Decisionmaking Model, Barth describes the disproportionality in the population being primarily attributed to agency characteristics that allow the race of the child to play a role in every decision making point throughout the child welfare system. More specifically, factors such as “staffing patterns, culturally incompetent staff, institutionalized racism, and inadequate duration or configuration of services” (p.36) are provided as possible contributing characteristics. Barth also cautions that this model is only in the infancy of development due to the difficulty of teasing out race and ethnicity and being able to control and manipulate such variables within the research. Furthermore, Ards et al. (1998) reports that
cultural differences in child-rearing beliefs between the reporter and the perpetrator along with an unwillingness to report perpetrators of one’s own socioeconomic status as a possible reason for differing reporting rates.

This model provides a framework for understanding bias in the reporting and substantiation rates for child maltreatment by questioning the cultural competence and training of child protection workers. However, as shown in the preceding review of the literature, few researchers have actually surveyed the individuals responsible for substantiating the allegations of child maltreatment. Regardless of this fact, the researchers continue to extrapolate the results and hypothesize that bias in the system may be a contributing factor.

In summary, this literature review has described the effects that reporter characteristics have on the seriousness that reporters rate vignettes depicting child maltreatment as well as the willingness to report these cases to the Child Protective Investigators. Such characteristics include gender, ethnicity, profession, and county in which one works (Ferrari, 2002; Fontes, Cruz, & Tabachnick, 2001; Korbin, Coulton, Lindstrom-Ufuti, & Spilsbury, 2000). In addition to reporter characteristics, the characteristics of the child have been shown to play a significant role. The child’s age, gender, ethnicity, and socio-economic status have all been shown to have an effect on reporter responses (Maynard & Wiederman, 1997). Along with this research, a growing awareness of the disproportionality in the ethnicity of children being reported and substantiated as victims of child maltreatment has increased the importance of such studies (Fluke, Hedderson, & Curtis, 2003; Lu, Landsverk, Ellis-Macleod, Newton, Ganger, & Johnson, 2004). Previous researchers have examined the impact of both reporter and victim characteristics among professionals such as doctors, nurses, medical students, undergraduates and teachers (Hansen et al., 1997; Warner-Rogers, Hansen, and Spieth, 1996; Webster, O’Toole,
O’Toole, and Lucal, 2005). The results of such research have been used in hypothesizing the reason for the growing disparity in the child maltreatment statistics. Researchers have suggested that Child Protective Investigators’ lack of cultural sensitivity training along with reporter biases have been contributing factors (Barth, 2005). In order to isolate ethnicity as the variable of interest, gender of the child will be held constant in the following study.
CHAPTER 2
METHOD

The purpose of this study is to examine how reporter characteristics and child characteristics affect reporter response among currently employed Child Protective Investigators. To this end, the following questions will be addressed:

1. Do Child Protective Investigators rate severity of maltreatment differently based on victim’s race/ethnicity when gender is controlled?

2. Do Child Protective Investigators rate severity of maltreatment differently based on characteristics such as race/ethnicity, gender, amount of cultural sensitivity training of the reporter, and setting of employment (i.e., rural versus suburban)?

3. Is there a difference in willingness to report based on the child’s race/ethnicity, reporter race/ethnicity, reporter gender, amount of cultural sensitivity training of the reporter, or the populations of the county in which the reporter works?

Participants

A total of 162 participants completed the study. After examining the data for missing values, twelve participants were removed from analyses due to missing independent variables. Therefore, the final sample consisted of 150 Child Protective Investigators employed by the Department of Children and Families (DCF) who are currently working in seventeen different counties in North Central Florida. The participants were asked to identify themselves as African-American or Black (B), Caucasian or White (W), Hispanic or Latino (LA), or belonging to some other cultural group (OT). Table 2-1 illustrates the frequency distribution of gender, ethnicity, and county among the participants within the three forms. The entire sample was comprised of 111 females (74%) and 39 males (26%), ranging in age from 22 to 64 years. Thirty-two percent of the sample was African-American, 66% was Caucasian, 6% was Hispanic, and 5% identified themselves as Other. Twenty-seven percent of the sample worked in a rural county, while 72% reported working in an urban/suburban county. The participants reported time on the job ranged from 1 month to 38 years of experience.
The participants were recruited through their DCF supervisors. Every Child Protective Investigator in the sampled 16 counties was asked to participate in the study. The first 150 participants who volunteered were included in the study. Each supervisor was given a summary of the purpose of the study, type of subjects needed, confidentiality of information, and procedures. Additionally, a less detailed summary of the study was also distributed to the supervisors to be disseminated among the participants. The supervisors were consulted regarding the least disruptive manner in which to conduct the study. Dates for data collection were scheduled with the supervisors who were instructed to disperse the summary of the study one day prior. Supervisors were informed that a copy of the results will be available for all participating DCF facilities. Informed consent was read aloud and obtained from each participant prior to the participant’s data being used for the study.

**Measures**

**Demographic questionnaire**

The measures used in this included a demographic questionnaire (see Appendix A), along with eight vignettes adapted from Ashton (1999) (see Appendix B). The demographic questionnaire was specifically developed for this project and requested participants provide the following information: gender, ethnicity, age, degree, marital status, religion, childhood history of abuse, number of children, population of county in which they work, amount of training, and amount of time with current employer.

**Vignettes**

Following completion of the demographic data sheet, participants then read and completed several questions pertaining to eight vignettes. Each vignette depicted a fictitious description of problematic parental behaviors. The participants were then asked to imagine that as caseworkers they were approached by an educator who became aware of certain circumstances. The
participants were then asked to read each vignette and rate the information on a Likert scale of “seriousness” from 1 to 7, with “1” being not serious and “7” being very serious. After the respondents rated the seriousness of the scenario, they were then asked to indicate how they would tell the educator to respond by selecting one of three options: (1) no action, nothing needs to be done about the situation; (2) encourage the family to seek professional help; or (3) notify the child protection agency to investigate the situation. The vignettes were developed by Vicki Ashton (1999) who administered a total of 12 vignettes to first-year graduate students. Ashton tested the vignettes for face content validity of “seriousness” by presenting them to a group of social work professionals. They were asked to rate the seriousness of the vignettes on a scale of 0 to 6, according the amount that each vignette depicted a form of child maltreatment. Ashton also tested the reliability of the vignettes by a 1-week test/retest on a sample of undergraduate social work majors. She reported the median correlation coefficient (Pearson r) for the vignettes as .61. Ashton cites the restricted range of responses as contributing to such a low estimate.

All four vignettes were used from the low and moderate level of severity to construct the eight vignettes that will be utilized in this study. In order to isolate and examine ethnicity as the variable of interest, the gender of the child in the vignettes was controlled for. Some modification of the wording was necessary within each chosen vignette in order to change the victim’s gender. Furthermore, the ethnicity of the child was manipulated and three forms of the vignettes will be created. One form will contain all black children, one form will contain all white children, and one form will provide no information concerning the child’s ethnicity. Each form will be randomly assigned among the participants.

**Procedure**

Each Department of Children and Families supervisor decided which day was optimal for data collection at their respective agency. On the day scheduled, the principal investigator
handed out consent forms that were read aloud to the participants. The participants then had the opportunity to ask any questions they had. Participants were told that if they wished to participate in the study, they should sign the form to indicate that they understood what I read. The consent form instructed the participants not to put their names on any of the study materials in order to protect their privacy. Participants who did not wish to complete the study were free to leave.

Each participant was given a packet containing a demographic questionnaire along with eight vignettes depicting different fictitious cases of child maltreatment. Following each vignette were questions pertaining to the seriousness of the scenario and if the Child Protective Investigator would advise an educator to report the incident. Three forms of the measure were created to allow for changing the ethnicity of the children in the scenarios. Each form was randomly assigned among the participants. Participants were then asked to refrain from discussing the study with or around other Child Protective Investigators.

**Analysis**

The goal of this study was to determine if reporter characteristics and/or case characteristics was related to the reported level of severity and/or the decision to report the incident to Child Protective Services. A one-way, between-subjects ANOVA was utilized to investigate whether the reported rate of severity differed based on the child’s ethnicity. The independent variable was the form code (three levels) and the dependent variable was the reported level of severity per vignette. Multiple regression analyses were utilized in analyzing the second research question for each of the eight vignettes. The dependent variable was the reported level of severity, with the independent variables being child ethnicity, reporter race/ethnicity, reporter gender, population of the county in which the reporter worked, years in social services, and the amount of cultural sensitivity training. Logistic regression was used to
analyze the third research question. The dependent variable was the decision to report the vignette to Child Protective Services. The independent variables were again child ethnicity, reporter race/ethnicity, reporter gender, population of the county in which the reporter works, years in social services, and the amount of cultural sensitivity training.
Table 2-1. Descriptive statistics for participants by form (n=150)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Form A n= 52</th>
<th>Form B n= 47</th>
<th>Form C n= 51</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suburban</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CHAPTER 3
RESULTS

The results of this study are presented in four sections. The first section presents the results of the descriptive statistics for all of the variables investigated in this study. Included in this section are the results of correlation analyses between the variables investigated in this study. The following three sections present the results for each of the main hypotheses of the study. The implications of these findings are discussed in Chapter 4.

Descriptive Statistics

Descriptive statistics illustrating the mean severity rating of each vignette, as well as the percentage of workers who chose to report each vignette are presented in Table 3-1. Mean severity ratings, across each vignette, ranged from a low of 4.27 to a high of 6.74. Standard deviations for the 8 incidents ranged from a low of .60 to a high of 1.65. Internal consistency reliability was calculated for the 8-item overall severity scale using Cronbach’s Coefficient Alpha and the following alphas were found: $\alpha = .79$ for all forms combined, $\alpha = .84$ for form 1, $\alpha = .75$ for form 2, and $\alpha = .77$ for form 3.

Correlations

The correlations between overall severity rating, gender, ethnicity, county, cultural sensitively training, and years in social services are given in Table 3-2. The overall severity rating was found to significantly correlate with years in social services ($r = -.20$, $p = .01$). The relationship is negative, indicating that participants with more tenure in social services were more likely to rate vignettes as less severe.

Research Question 1

Do Child Protective Investigators rate severity of maltreatment differently based on victim’s race/ethnicity when victim's gender is controlled? This research question was
investigated through the use of a one-way, between-subjects ANOVA. The means between groups for each vignette can be found in Table 3-1. The 8-item overall severity scale means for the different forms were compared for Form A/black child \((M = 5.49, SD = 0.82)\), Form B/white child \((M = 5.68, SD = 0.63)\), and Form C/No Race Given \((M = 5.49, SD = 0.79)\). A significant difference was not found between groups based on the ethnicity of the child reported in the vignette, \(F(2, 147) = 1.02, p = 0.36\). Therefore, no evidence was found in support of hypothesis 1. Exploratory analyses were completed on the 8-item vignettes separately and no significant differences were found. These results can be found in Table 3-3.

**Research Question 2**

Do Child Protective Investigators rate severity of maltreatment differently based on characteristics such as reporter race/ethnicity, reporter gender, amount of cultural sensitivity training of the reporter, and setting of employment (i.e., rural versus suburban)? Multiple regression analysis was utilized in answering the second research question. These results are illustrated in Table 3-4. Partial support was found for this hypothesis.

None of the variables investigated were found to be significant predictors of the 8-item overall severity rating scale. Exploratory analyses were completed on each vignette separately. Since there were no apriori predictions, we used a more conservative Bonferroni-corrected significance value corrected for 8 items \((p < .05/8 = .006)\). Years in social services was found to be a significant predictor of severity ratings on Vignette 2 \((b = -0.04, p = 0.00)\), vignette 3 \((b = -0.04, p = 0.00)\), and Vignette 6 \((b = -0.04, p = 0.00)\). The relationship between time in social services and severity rating is negative for each vignette, indicating a general pattern that the longer the participants have been in their field the less likely they are to rate the vignettes as severe.
Research Question 3

Is there a difference in willingness to report based on the child’s race/ethnicity, reporter race/ethnicity, reporter gender, amount of cultural sensitivity training of the reporter, or the populations of the county in which the reporter works? Descriptive statistics for the percentage of vignettes on which a referral was made can be found in Table 3-1. Logistic regression was utilized regressing the outcome willingness to report onto six predictors: child ethnicity (3 levels), reporter ethnicity (4 levels), reporter gender (2 levels), amount of cultural sensitivity training, years in social services, and the population of the county in which the reporter works. Table 3-5 illustrates the results of the analysis and contains both b weights and odds ratios. This analysis was exploratory in nature and did not utilize any apriori predications. Thus, the significance value must be conservative and a Bonferroni correction was used for 8 items ($p < .05/8 = .006$).

Unfortunately, only five vignettes were able to be used in this analysis due to lack of variance in the sample. For Vignettes 1 and 6, a lack of variance on the willingness to report outcome caused quasi-complete separation of data points and thus regression coefficients could not be reported for these vignettes. This lack of variance can be seen in the very high reporting percentages given in Table 3-1 for these vignettes. For Vignette 5, all respondents chose to report the incident, leaving no variance to predict. Also, one predictor variable, reporter race/ethnicity, was removed from further analyses because lack of sample size at certain levels of the ethnicity variable caused quasi-complete separation of data points in all 8 vignettes.

Results indicate that time in social services was found to significantly predict willingness to report for Vignette 3 ($b = -.07, p = 0.00$). The relationship is negative, indicating that investigators with more time spent working in social services are less likely to report abuse. However, the odds ratio of 0.93 indicates that individuals with higher tenure are approximately
just as likely to report as those with lower tenure, so this effect may not have practical significance.
Table 3-1. Descriptive statistics for vignettes by form

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vignette</th>
<th>Severity mean rating</th>
<th>Percent of respondents reporting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Black child</td>
<td>White child</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All 8 vignettes</td>
<td>5.49</td>
<td>5.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1: Child wets bed and is immersed in hot water</td>
<td>6.46</td>
<td>6.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2: Father punches drunk teen</td>
<td>5.39</td>
<td>5.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3: Mother calls child evil and hits with broom</td>
<td>5.31</td>
<td>5.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4: Parents lock 14-year-old in his room</td>
<td>5.31</td>
<td>5.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5: Parents beat 11-year-old with cane and burn a mark on his arm for stealing</td>
<td>6.57</td>
<td>6.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6: Child mumbled, parent banged child against wall, bruising his shoulders</td>
<td>5.83</td>
<td>6.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7: Parents make child kneel in closet</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>4.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8: 9-year-old left alone most of day and night, parents working</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>4.86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. black child: N=52, white child: N=47, no race given: N=51
Table 3-2. Correlation matrix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables of interest</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Overall severity rating</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Gender</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Ethnicity</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Rural vs. urban county</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. CST hours</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Years in social services</td>
<td>-0.20*</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.19*</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. CST = cultural sensitivity training.
*p < .05.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vignette</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall severity rating</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1: Child wets bed and is immersed in hot water</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2: Father punches drunk teen</td>
<td>4.47</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3: Mother calls child evil and hits with broom</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4: Parents lock 14-year-old in his room</td>
<td>5.61</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.81</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5: Parents beat 11-year-old with cane and burn a mark on his arm for stealing</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6: Child mumbled, parent banged child against wall, bruising his shoulders</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7: Parents make child kneel in closet</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8: 9-year-old left alone most of day and night, parents working</td>
<td>9.27</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.64</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3-4. Regression analyses for severity rating

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vignette</th>
<th>Black child vs. no race given</th>
<th>White child vs. no race given</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Respondent ethnicity: black vs. white</th>
<th>Respondent ethnicity: hispanic vs. white</th>
<th>Respondent ethnicity: other vs. white</th>
<th>CST hours</th>
<th>Rural vs. urban county</th>
<th>Years in social services</th>
<th>Adjusted $R^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall severity rating</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1: Child wets bed and is immersed in hot water</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2: Father punches drunk teen</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-0.28</td>
<td>-0.04*</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3: Mother calls child evil and hits with broom</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>-0.04*</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4: Parents lock 14-year-old in his room</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>-0.46</td>
<td>-0.52</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5: Parents beat 11-year-old with cane and burn a mark on his arm for stealing</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6: Child mumbled, parent banged child against wall, bruising his shoulders</td>
<td>-0.41</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>-0.04*</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7: Parents make child kneel in closet</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-0.52</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8: 9-year-old left alone most of day and night, parents working</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. CST = cultural sensitivity training; values are b-weights.

*p < .05/8 = .006.
Table 3-5. Logistic regression analyses for willingness to report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting of vignette</th>
<th>Black child vs. no race given</th>
<th>White child vs. no race given</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>CST hours</th>
<th>Rural vs. urban county</th>
<th>Years in social services</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of vignettes reported overall</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2: Father punches drunk teen</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3: Mother calls child evil and hits with broom</td>
<td>-0.29</td>
<td>-0.39</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>-0.00</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4: Parents lock 14-year-old in his room</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7: Parents make child kneel in closet</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>-0.28</td>
<td>-0.00</td>
<td>-0.31</td>
<td>-0.07*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8: 9-year-old left alone most of day and night, parents working</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.31</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.27</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>-0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. CST = cultural sensitivity training; first values in each cell are b-weights, second values are odds ratio estimates. *p < .05/8 = .006.
CHAPTER 4
DISCUSSION

This study achieved the goals of investigating whether (1) Child Protective Investigators rate severity of maltreatment differently based on victim’s race/ethnicity; (2) Child Protective Investigators rate severity of maltreatment differently based on characteristics such as race/ethnicity, gender, amount of cultural sensitivity training of the reporter, and setting of employment; and (3) if the child’s race/ethnicity, reporter race/ethnicity, reporter gender, amount of cultural sensitivity training of the reporter, or the population of the county in which the reporter works affects the investigators willingness to report. While some statistically significant results were found among the exploratory analyses, none of the above hypotheses were supported.

The results demonstrated that one of the reporter characteristics examined during exploratory analyses, years spent in social services, or tenure on the job, was found to significantly predict severity ratings across three different vignettes as well as the decision to report on one vignette. The relationship was negative, indicating that participants with more tenure in social services were more likely to rate vignettes as less severe and were less likely to report the abuse. This reporter characteristic has not received much attention in the literature and thus was not one of central focus before completing this study. Research completed by Crenshaw, Crenshaw and Lichtenberg (1995) investigated the reporting behaviors of teachers, counselors, school psychologists, and principles. The results of that study showed no relationship between educator tenure and reported severity among five scenarios of child abuse. Similarly, Warner-Rogers, Hansen, and Spieth (1996) who sampled third and fourth year medical students, found no influence of experience. Uniquely, the current sample was comprised of a large range of experience levels, ranging from 1 month on the job to 38 years of experience.
The present findings could indicate that Child Protective Investigators become desensitized to situations involving child maltreatment and consequently rate them as less severe over time.

Along with results found in Ashton (1999), Hansen et al. (1997), and Warner-Rogers, Hansen, & Spieth (1996), who utilized medical students, psychologists, social workers, and graduate students, the current study found no evidence of gender differences among reported severity ratings. Previous studies that have not found gender to be a significant predictor of severity ratings have utilized overwhelmingly female and Caucasian populations, all calling for a more culturally diverse sample. The sample for the present study was more diverse than samples have been in previous studies. Additionally, the significance of this research is its focus on Child Protective Investigators, the individuals deemed with the responsibility of investigating and intervening in cases of child abuse. Thus, this finding may further indicate that gender differences may not exist within the system and should be used to further evaluate evidence among this population.

Similarly, reporter ethnicity was not found to be a significant predictor of severity ratings. Evidence that reporter ethnicity does not affect judgments concerning child maltreatment is inconsistent with research completed by Ashton (2004) and Hansen et al. (1997). One explanation for this inconsistency may be that previous studies that have found differences in reporting rates based on reporter ethnicity have only sampled mandated reporters and not Child Protective Investigators, the individuals responsible for verification. Thus, one might hypothesize that mandated reporters, and not Child Protective Investigators, are responsible for the overrepresentation of minority children in the reporting rates. Additionally, reporter ethnicity had to be removed as a predictor variable for willingness to report due to lack of sample size at certain levels of ethnicity. Thus, while this study aimed to utilize a more diverse sample, there
were not enough participants within each ethnicity to analyze whether or not it significantly predicted willingness to report.

The population of the county in which one works was not found to significantly predict reporting behavior. This result is in contrast to previous research conducted by Webster, O’Toole, O’Toole, & Lucal (2005) who found an effect for reporting behavior among teachers in rural and suburban districts, with rural teachers being less likely to report. Traditionally, rural areas have a higher percentage of families living in poverty than that of urbanized areas. Researchers have hypothesized that people living in rural areas may have more well-developed social networks and a strong sense of community and thus may rely on informal channels of support when dealing with child maltreatment (Schuck, 2005). With such a limited number of Child Protective Investigators being employed within rural counties, it made recruiting an equal number of participants for this study impossible. Future research should focus on recruiting an equal number of participants from each area to further investigate this variable as a possible predictor of reporting behavior.

Finally, no evidence was found to support that the ethnicity of the child depicted in the scenarios affects the severity ratings or decision to report among the Child Protective Investigators sampled. The finding that race of the child does not affect judgments of abuse is consistent with previous research completed by Egu & Weiss (2003) and Turbett & O’Toole (1983). In the previous studies that did find the race of the child as a significant factor in reporting, the populations sampled included physicians, clinical psychologists, and social workers (Nalepka, O’Toole & Turbett, 1981; Hansen et al., 1997). While being mandated reporters, these professionals have not had the specific training that actual Child Protective Investigators complete. This finding provides evidence against current theory concerning the
overrepresentation of minority children within the child welfare system. Barth (2005) maintains that the race of the child plays a role in every decision making point throughout the child welfare system. The current study found that the ethnicity of the child was one of the only characteristics investigated that did not affect reporter behavior. Additionally the reported amount of cultural sensitivity training was not found to be a significant predictor of severity ratings or willingness to report. Thus, it did not appear that the amount of cultural sensitivity training received affected one’s judgment of child maltreatment.

**Limitations**

First, it is not known whether the present findings generalize to other locations. Census data suggest that the demographic characteristics of residents of Florida are similar to the nation as a whole; however, Florida has one of the highest child victimization rates in the nation (Schuck, 2005). Additionally, only seventeen of Florida’s sixty-seven counties were sampled in this study. Furthermore, the sample was not randomly selected and utilized the first 150 Child Protective Investigators agreeing to participate within the seventeen most immediate counties surrounding the University of Florida.

The present study utilized vignette and self-report methodology. Real-life situations contain many additional facts and ambiguities that affect personal judgments about suspicion and reporting of child maltreatment. Anecdotally, a large percentage of the participants reported feeling uncomfortable with making a decision based on such ambiguous information. Although use of hypothetical cases of maltreatment presented in vignettes permit the systematic manipulation of case variables, not all important case variables can be included in one study. Thus, a limitation of the current study was using gender as a control in order to isolate the ethnicity of the child. The child in the scenarios was always male, thus limiting the
generalizability of the study. Future research should replicate this study investigating if an effect of child gender is present within this population.

While no significant effects of child ethnicity were found, participants demonstrated a tendency to give less severe ratings on the vignettes depicting the victim as African-American. This trend is consistent with the results found in the literature. While a between-subjects design brings certain advantages to this study (e.g., random assignment, reduction of effects of demand characteristics and social desirability), it may have masked true differences because participants were reluctant to answer honestly for fear of being perceived as racist when all the vignettes depicted minority children. Other studies, on the other hand, utilized within-subjects designs that may overestimate effects. Thus, a confounding issue in completing research of this nature is getting accurate and honest responses from workers within the field. Anecdotally, many of the participants in this study expressed concern upon receiving Form A with the children depicted as black. This may be representative of what an emotionally charged issue culture is within the child welfare system. Future research should work on finding an alternate method of gathering reporter data which allows the respondent to answer honestly and without the influence of social desirability.

Additionally, only five vignettes were able to be used in analysis of the third hypothesis due to lack of variance in the sample on the willingness to report outcome for these vignettes. For vignette 5, all respondents chose to report the incident, leaving no variance to predict. Also, one predictor variable, ethnicity, was removed from further analyses because lack of sample size at certain levels of the ethnicity variable. While years in social services was found to be statistically significant, only a small amount of the variance in predicting severity ratings and willingness to report was accounted for by this variable. Future research should focus on
increasing the effectiveness of the vignettes used in the study. The present study yielded results which showed a restriction in range of the respondents reporting of severity levels. Future studies should utilize vignettes which allow the respondents to use the lower values of the scale, creating more variability in responses.

**Conclusion**

Despite its limitations, this study provides important information about a previously unstudied population. The significance of this research is its focus on Child Protective Investigators, the individuals responsible for investigating and verifying cases of child maltreatment. While CPS workers comprise a neglected source of data, their reporting behaviors have gained much attention and speculation among researchers. There have been increasing concerns about the overrepresentation of minority children within the child welfare system in this country. Researchers have spent much time and attention conducting studies with undergraduates, graduate students, teachers, physicians, and social workers and have extrapolated results to hypothesize reporting behaviors of CPS workers. In his theoretical model pertaining to this overrepresentation, Barth (2005) maintains that agency characteristics allow the race of the child to play a role in every decision making point throughout the child welfare system. More specifically, factors such as “staffing patterns, culturally incompetent staff, institutionalized racism, and inadequate duration or configuration of services” (p.36) are provided as possible contributing characteristics. The present research study provides direct evidence that the race of the child does not play a role in the severity ratings or decision to report among actual Child Protective Investigators.

The present study also adds to our understanding of what factors may influence identification and reporting of maltreatment. Tenure on the job has received little to no previous attention in the literature as a reporter characteristic of interest. Thus, this characteristic should
be the focus of future research among this population to help in further understanding the effect it has on reporting behavior. The findings presented here should be viewed as exploratory in nature and warrant replication with larger groups of investigators. Readers are reminded the demographic variables chosen for this study represent only a part of an individual’s psychosocial characteristics. Further research is needed to better understand the underlying factors within cultures that affect differences in reporting.
APPENDIX A
DEMOGRAPHIC QUESTIONNAIRE

Answer the following questions:

1) Please circle the group that best describes your own cultural identification?

   African-American or Black
   Caucasian, or White
   Hispanic, or Latino
   Some other group (specify) ________________________________

2) What is your gender? Male or Female

3) What country were you born in? _______________________

4) If you were not born in the U.S., how long have you lived here? _______

5) What is your religious affiliation? ________________

6) What type of work does (did) your father do?

   Professional
   Skilled
   Semi-skilled
   Unskilled

7) What is your current age? __________

8) Do you have a childhood history of harsh discipline? ____________

9) What is your marital status?

   Single
   Married
   Divorced
   Widowed
   Living with Significant Other

10) Do you have any children?

   Yes (if yes, how many?) _______
   No

11) What is your highest level of education?
12) What field is your highest degree in? (e.g., social work, education, etc.)

_____________________________

13) How long have you worked in social services (include time with present employer as well as other agencies)?

_______ years ________ months

14) Please indicate your current status with the Department of Children and Families:

- Child Protective Investigator
- Child Protective Service Worker
- Foster Care Worker
- Adoption Worker
- Licensing Worker
- Other (explain)__________________

15) What ethnicity/race is the majority of the clientele you serve? _____________

16) Which best describes the county in which you work?

- Rural
- Urban/Suburban

17) How much cultural sensitivity training have you received (include time with present employer as well as other agencies)?

__________________________ hours

18) Please identify where you received the above training (Circle all that apply)

- Preservice: Undergraduate
  - Entire course
  - Part of a course
- Graduate School (Before current employment)
  - Entire course
  - Part of a course
- Inservice (During current employment)
Workshop
Conference
Other __________________
Please imagine that you are a case worker approached by a teacher who discloses the following information.

1. During a parent-teacher conference, a mother discloses to a 2nd grade teacher that her eight-year-old son wets the bed occasionally. The last time he wet the bed his mother immersed him in a tub of very hot water. His mother explained that the child will not wet the bed again if he associates bed wetting with the punishment.

How serious do you feel the above incident is on a Likert scale of “seriousness” From 1 to 7, with “1” being not serious and “7” being very serious?

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

How would you recommend that the educator respond given the above scenario?

(1) no action, nothing needs to be done about the situation
(2) encourage the family to seek professional help
(3) notify the child protection agency to investigate the situation

2. A high school teacher found out that a 17-year-old boy in her class came home drunk and began to argue with his mother last weekend. His father came into the room just as he yelled an obscene remark at his mother. His father punched him in the face with his fist.

How serious do you feel the above incident is on a Likert scale of “seriousness” From 1 to 7, with “1” being not serious and “7” being very serious?

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

How would you recommend that the educator respond given the above scenario?

(1) no action, nothing needs to be done about the situation
(2) encourage the family to seek professional help
(3) notify the child protection agency to investigate the situation

3. During outside play, a six-year-old boy reveals to his teacher that her parents are separated. The child lives with his mother and occasionally visits his father. Whenever he returns from a visit with his father and talks about the visit, his mother tells him he is evil and hits him with a broom.

How serious do you feel the above incident is on a Likert scale of “seriousness” From 1 to 7, with “1” being not serious and “7” being very serious?

1 2 3 4 5 6 7
How would you recommend that the educator respond given the above scenario?

(1) no action, nothing needs to be done about the situation
(2) encourage the family to seek professional help
(3) notify the child protection agency to investigate the situation

4. A 14-year-old boy tells his history teacher that his parents found out that he is dating outside of his race, which they don’t approve of. He has lied about meeting his girlfriend and he has snuck out of the house on several occasions to see her. When his parents caught him trying to sneak back into the house one morning, they sent him to his room and locked him in for two days, allowing him out only to go to the bathroom.

How serious do you feel the above incident is on a Likert scale of “seriousness” From 1 to 7, with “1” being not serious and “7” being very serious?

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

How would you recommend that the educator respond given the above scenario?

(1) no action, nothing needs to be done about the situation
(2) encourage the family to seek professional help
(3) notify the child protection agency to investigate the situation

5. An 11-year-old boy stole candy from a store. He had been caught stealing before. When his parents found out that he had been stealing again, they beat him with a switch and burned a mark on his arm. They said the mark would remind him not to steal again. He told his P.E. teacher on Monday morning while he was dressing out for gym class.

How serious do you feel the above incident is on a Likert scale of “seriousness” From 1 to 7, with “1” being not serious and “7” being very serious?

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

How would you recommend that the educator respond given the above scenario?

(1) no action, nothing needs to be done about the situation
(2) encourage the family to seek professional help
(3) notify the child protection agency to investigate the situation

6. The parents of an 8-year-old boy want him to answer clearly when spoken to and have warned him in the past about mumbling. Recently when his mother asked him a question, he mumbled the answer under his breath. The mother banged the child against the wall, bruising his shoulders. He told the speech pathologist what happened during their weekly session.

How serious do you feel the above incident is on a Likert scale of “seriousness”
From 1 to 7, with “1” being not serious and “7” being very serious?

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

How would you recommend that the educator respond given the above scenario?

(1) no action, nothing needs to be done about the situation
(2) encourage the family to seek professional help
(3) notify the child protection agency to investigate the situation

7. A family recently arrived in the metropolitan area from a rural location. They are very strict with their ten-year-old son. They want him to go to school and come right home. Recently their son went to a school friend’s house instead of coming straight home. His parents disciplined him in their usual way, which is to make him kneel in the closet for several hours. The parents believe the closeness of the closet and the discomfort of kneeling for long periods of time reinforce the household rules.

How serious do you feel the above incident is on a Likert scale of “seriousness” From 1 to 7, with “1” being not serious and “7” being very serious?

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

How would you recommend that the educator respond given the above scenario?

(1) no action, nothing needs to be done about the situation
(2) encourage the family to seek professional help
(3) notify the child protection agency to investigate the situation

8. Both parents work long hours; they leave very early in the morning and come home late at night. Their nine-year-old son is often on his own. The boy gets himself ready for school in the morning and lets himself in after school. The parents tell their son to eat food prepared and left in the refrigerator, warming it up if he wants. He usually eats it cold. He goes to bed by himself because his parents are usually not back by his bedtime.

How serious do you feel the above incident is on a Likert scale of “seriousness” From 1 to 7, with “1” being not serious and “7” being very serious?

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

How would you recommend that the educator respond given the above scenario?

(1) no action, nothing needs to be done about the situation
(2) encourage the family to seek professional help
(3) notify the child protection agency to investigate the situation
Protocol Title: The effects of reporter and child characteristics on the identification and willingness to report child maltreatment

Please read this consent document carefully before you decide to participate in this study.

Purpose of the research study:

The purpose of this study is to examine the effects of reporter and child characteristics on the identification and willingness to report child maltreatment.

What you will be asked to do in the study:

You will be asked to complete a packet that contains eight vignettes depicting different scenarios followed by a demographic questionnaire. No identifying information (name, social security number, etc.) should be included in order to ensure confidentiality. Each vignette depicts a fictitious description of problematic parental behaviors. You will then be asked to read each vignette and rate the information on a Likert scale of “seriousness” from 1 to 7, with “1” being not serious and “7” being very serious. After you rate the seriousness of the scenario, you will then be asked to indicate how you would tell the educator to respond by selecting one of three options: (1) no action, nothing needs to be done about the situation; (2) encourage the family to seek professional help; or (3) notify the child protection agency to investigate the situation.

Time required:

15 minutes

Risks and Benefits:

We do not anticipate any benefits or risks associated with participation in this study.

Compensation:

No compensation will be given for participation in this study.

Confidentiality:

No identifying information will be gathered during data collection. Your demographic data and vignette response sheet will be kept anonymously and assigned a code number to ensure confidentiality.

Voluntary participation:

Your participation in this study is completely voluntary. There is no penalty for not participating.
Right to withdraw from the study:

You have the right to withdraw from the study at anytime without consequence.

Whom to contact if you have questions about the study:

Danielle Madera, M.Ed., School Psychology Graduate Student, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32608; (352) 334-1300.

Whom to contact about your rights as a research participant in the study:

UFIRB Office, Box 112250, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611-2250; ph 392-0433.

Agreement:

I have read the procedure described above. I voluntarily agree to participate in the procedure and I have received a copy of this description.

Participant: ________________________________ Date: ________________

Principal Investigator: ______________________ Date: ________________
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