

2/7/52

RFR

So concentrate on the problem

If our labor law with union labor
 leads in general to a situation
 where you feel with union labor
 dropping quite likely will come up.
 If we it seems only fair that a state
 should be accorded the privilege of determining
 whether they wish to be represented by a union
 or not. I think I think to a certain extent a
 protection to employees when employees are not
 organized. If properly drawn such a law
 could go a long way toward preventing pressure
 by unions, this type of other regulations
 practices. These general concerns (including things
 matters) which come under NLRB definition
 as engaged in labor activities, and therefore
 under Taylor-Hartley are expected to arise by
 acts of employees - while other general concerns
 are not, which seems unfair.
 But simply as a matter of principle I think
 all employees should be accorded the right.
 However, any position you may take
 should be approached with caution. There is
 a fear among business men (and their labor
 relations advisers) of a state little Taylor-Hartley
 law (such as a number of states have a state law)
 with the feeling that if you give law, and then later
 a little later - you will have state agreements
 of Taylor-Hartley - plus a report of labor, before you
 meet.

James

2/7/52