

The *ET* data presented for pasture turfgrass *ET* data were reported by Stewart and Mills (1967) and Stewart et al. (1969). Annual *ET* was 11% lower than annual  $ET_p$  for Hialeah (Table 3). Monthly *ET* values were consistently lower than monthly  $ET_p$  values.

Sugarcane *ET* data reported by Shih and Gascho (1980) were taken in lysimeters in Belle Glade. On an annual basis, sugarcane *ET* was about equal to  $ET_p$  for Hialeah given by the Penman Method (Table 3). On a monthly basis, these sugarcane *ET* data were higher than  $ET_p$  values during June through December and lower during the other months.

Monthly *ET* values for rice were similar to sugarcane *ET*. The data shown in Table 9 were averaged from five different planting dates (March, April, May, June, and July) and were also adjusted (normalized) to a rough grain yield of 5500 kg/ha.

In summary, data presented in Figure 4 and in Table 9 verify the utility of the Penman method for estimating monthly *ET* for situations in which conditions exist for potential *ET* to occur. The peach orchard also demonstrated a case in which incomplete vegetative cover and management practices could significantly influence *ET*.

### 3.2.2 Seasonal Crop Evapotranspiration

Many crops are produced from seed to harvest over a 3- to 5-month time period. The *ET* for these seasonal crops changes with physiological development and ground cover as plants grow. Examples of water budget *ET* data are presented for several seasonal crops in Figures 5-9.

Doss et al. (1962) presented *ET* by irrigated corn from four years of experiments at Thorsby, Alabama. Figure 5 shows the four-year average *ET* by corn from those experiments. Since the summer climate in the Thorsby area is similar to that in north Florida, the potential *ET* and free water surface evaporation ( $E_o$ ) calculated by the Penman method for Milton are plotted in Figure 5 for comparison. The  $ET_p$  calculations are based on  $\alpha = 0.05$  and  $k_1 = 0.7$ , using Equations 10 and 18. These data demonstrate the canopy development, full canopy, and senescing canopy stages of seasonal crop *ET*. During the corn canopy development from emergence to early June, *ET* was below  $ET_p$ . Between July 1 and August 1, *ET* was higher than the reference  $ET_p$ , possibly because of advection from surrounding areas that were not irrigated. The *ET* dropped below  $ET_p$  as senescence progressed between August 1 and August 15 (maturity). Actual *ET* was lower than  $E_o$  throughout the season.

Figure 6 shows water budget *ET* data from Doss et al. (1965) for bahiagrass experimental plots averaged over the 1957 and 1958 seasons. These plots were irrigated when available soil water depletion reached 65 percent. This level of irrigation could have allowed some stress, since *ET* was consistently lower than  $ET_p$ . Also, these grass plots were periodically clipped, causing reductions in *ET* immediately after clipping. Figure 7