

At some locations, values of percent sunshine hours ( $S$ ) are available that can be used to estimate  $R_s$ . Fritz and MacDonald (1949) proposed a relationship of the form

$$R_s = (0.35 + 0.61S) R_{s0} \quad (8)$$

Values of  $R_s$  calculated from the above equation are subject to more error than measured values of  $R_s$ , but when averaged over several days to a month, should not be in error by more than 5% to 10%.

Where local orographic features do not strongly influence cloud cover, daily measurements of  $R_s$  at a single station can be used over large areas for  $ET$  estimates over 5 and 10 day periods. In Florida, areas within 5 to 9 km of the coast may have significantly different cloud cover than the central portion of the state. In these coastal areas,  $R_s$  values for stations in the center of the state should be used with caution.

Total incoming radiation,  $R_s$ , can also be estimated from cloud cover data presented by NOAA for several locations in Florida (or obtained from other methods). Readers are referred to a publication by Doorenbos and Pruitt (1977) for details on the procedure for estimating  $R_s$  from cloud cover.

Since wind speed is measured at many different heights above the ground surface, and since the Penman equation requires wind speed at a height of 2 m, wind speed will normally need to be adjusted to a height of 2 m.

$$u_2 = u_z \left( \frac{2}{z} \right)^{0.2} \quad (9)$$

where  $u_2$  = wind speed at height of 2 meters in km/day  
 $u_z$  = wind speed at height  $z$  in km/day  
 $z$  = height of wind measurement in m.

The working Penman equation for potential  $ET$  in mm/day becomes

$$ET_p = \frac{\Delta}{\Delta + \gamma} \left[ (1 - \alpha) R_s - \sigma T^4 (0.56 - 0.08 \sqrt{e_d}) \right. \\ \left. \left( 1.42 \frac{R_s}{R_{s0}} - 0.42 \right) \right] / \lambda \\ + \frac{\gamma}{\Delta + \gamma} [0.263 (e_a - e_d) (0.5 + 0.0062 u_2)] \quad (10)$$

The above equation, along with the discussed procedures for estimating  $R_s$  and adjusting wind speed, is considered the most accurate method available for estimating potential  $ET$  from a vegetated surface. The other methods which are discussed briefly in the following paragraphs are less accurate and should be used only when data are not available for the Penman equation.