

Piloting a Peer-to-Peer Process for becoming a Trusted Digital Repository

MARK E. PHILLIPS (UNT); LAURIE N. TAYLOR,
CHELSEA DINSMORE, and SUCHITRA
YELLAPANTULA (UF)

BACKGROUND



March 2013: Government Documents Project Meeting, UF

UNT & UF

Maturity of infrastructure

Preparing for longer-term steps

Collaboration at scale



CC BY-NC-ND 2.0 <https://flic.kr/p/jdn3cG>

Small to Large, Institutions & Groups

PROCESS

- Framing and set-up
- Kick-off and activity to date
- Unexpected results so far

Document current repository services & systems, technical & human infrastructures, & overall operations using TRAC process

```
graph TD; A[Document current repository services & systems, technical & human infrastructures, & overall operations using TRAC process] --> B[Demonstrate maturity of repository services, infrastructure and governance at both institutions]; B --> C[Share information to support increasing UF & UNT collaboration]; C --> D[Pilot peer review option for more rigor & external feedback than a self-audit, and lower financial requirements]; D --> E[Leverage the process at each institution to share information, increase collaboration among different internal & external groups];
```

Demonstrate maturity of repository services, infrastructure and governance at both institutions

Share information to support increasing UF & UNT collaboration

Pilot peer review option for more rigor & external feedback than a self-audit, and lower financial requirements

Leverage the process at each institution to share information, increase collaboration among different internal & external groups

PROJECT PROCESS

Project Charter

Kick-off phone meeting (Sept. 2)

Tools: Basecamp & Drupal @ UNT

Plan: 1 year; 4 mo/each Section A-C

KICK-OFF, SEPT. 2



UF Archives

<http://ufdc.ufl.edu/UF00029617>

Schedules & deadlines as forcing functions

ACTIVITIES

Creating and compiling
documentation

Establish internal reporting

Adding deadlines/forcing
functions

ACTIVITIES & RESULTS



ACTIVITIES & RESULTS



PEERS, TOWARDS PEER REVIEW

Validation

Improvement

Community-building

REFERENCES

Presentation slides with all sources:

<http://ufdc.ufl.edu/AA00024188/00006>

THANK YOU
&
QUESTIONS



[Return to Menu](#)



To submit a proposal for the [2014 DLF Forum](#), complete and submit the form below. Fields marked with an asterisk are required.

Session Title Piloting a Peer-to-Peer Process for becoming a Trusted Digital Repository

Session Genre Presentation/Panel

Session Description and Abstract

Session Description (300 words max.)

In this presentation, representatives from UF and UNT will share on their work in collaboratively creating a pilot peer-to-peer process for TRAC to build towards becoming a Trusted Digital Repository, and how the process supports other concerns including needs for different types of collaborations and scales of collaboration for achieving TRAC goals, with peer-to-peer style collaboration for peer review of TRAC offering an important option for building capacity locally and as a community.

In 2014 the University of Florida (UF) and the University of North Texas (UNT) began a collaborative process to each complete a full self-audit using the Trusted Repository Audit Checklist (TRAC) for both institution’s digital repositories. In addition to the self-audit, each institution agreed to participate in a peer review process evaluating and scoring each other’s self-audit and supplied documentation.

The goals of the project are as follows:

*Document the current repository services and systems, technical and human infrastructures, and overall operations following the TRAC process

*Demonstrate the maturity of repository services, infrastructure and governance at both institutions

*Share information and knowledge to support increasing the collaboration between project teams at UF and UNT

*Pilot a peer review option that aims at offers more rigor and external feedback than a self-audit, but which also does not have the same financial requirements as a full external certification by a third party

*Leverage the process internally at each institution to share information and knowledge to support increasing collaboration among different internal and external groups, including Research Computing and High Performance Computing groups at each institution

Session Abstract

In 2014 the University of Florida (UF) and the University of North Texas (UNT) began a collaborative process to each complete a full self-audit using the Trusted Repository Audit Checklist (TRAC). In addition to the self-audit, each institution agreed to participate in a peer review process evaluating and scoring each others self-audit and supplied documentation. The goals of the project were as follows: demonstrate the maturity of repository services, infrastructure and governance at both institutions, increase collaboration among project teams at UF and UNT, and pilot a peer review option that aims at offers more rigor and external feedback

Related Documentation (optional)

Session Presenters

Please provide below the contact information for the session presenter(s). Information for at least one presenter is required. To add more than one additional co-presenter, click the green "add" button below.

First Name	Mark
Last Name	Phillips
Title	Assistant Dean for Digital Libraries
Organization	University of North Texas
Email	Mark.Phillips@unt.edu

Co-leaders/Authors/Panelists

First Name	Laurie
------------	--------

Last Name

Taylor

Title

Digital Scholarship Librarian

Organization

University of Florida

Email

laurien@uf.edu

[Return to Menu](#)

POWERED BY
wizehive 