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The development of a vertebrate embryo is a complex process that requires many precisely regulated morphogenetic events. For decades, scientists have studied vertebrate development in the context of the limbs because of their accessibility for manipulations and organisms’ resistance to perturbations of limb development. Two signaling centers in the vertebrate limb, the zone of polarizing activity (ZPA) and the apical ectodermal ridge (AER), were identified by classical embryo manipulations. Such manipulations provide the context into which we incorporate molecular data.

It has been shown that the secreted molecule sonic hedgehog (SHH) mediates the polarizing activity of the ZPA in the limb. Deletion or misexpression of Shh results in severe patterning defects that recapitulate those observed in early embryological experiments. We are now beginning to understand the mechanisms by which SHH influences digit identity and limb outgrowth on a cellular level.

To identify additional genes expressed in the ZPA, we performed a microarray screen that compared the levels of over 39,000 transcripts between the ZPA and the rest of the limb bud. From this data, we confirmed the expression of six genes in the limb bud whose expression there
had not been previously reported. RNA in situ hybridization showed that at least four of these genes were expressed in the ZPA and might influence its function.

To investigate the function of one of these genes, Tmem16a, in vertebrate development, we generated a null allele of this gene in mouse embryonic stem cells. Mice homozygous for this allele do not have an apparent limb defect, but all homozygous mutants die within a month of birth. This demonstrates a requirement for Tmem16a in vertebrate development.

The epithelium of the mid-gestational trachea is stratified, or multi-layered, during normal mouse development. The molecular events responsible for this stratification are currently poorly understood. We report that the epithelium of Tmem16a mutant tracheae fails to stratify and the resulting lateral arrangement of epithelial cells causes an expansion of the tracheal tube. This expansion redirects the formation of cartilage in the surrounding mesenchyme so that multiple disconnected cartilage elements form instead of C-shaped rings that keep the trachea open during respiration.

Tmem16a is a member of a family of genes that comprises at least ten members in mice and humans. In support of our observation of an abnormal epithelial organization of Tmem16a mutant tracheae, two other members from this protein family have been shown to influence cellular morphology. In an attempt to better understand the functions of these proteins in vertebrate development, we have examined the in vivo expression patterns of Tmem16a and several other members of this family during mouse development. The expression of these genes is widespread during embryogenesis and, as we show for Tmem16a and Tmem16f, overlapping in a variety of tissues. The mutation of at least one member, TMEM16E, has been linked to the human disease gnathodiaphyseal dysplasia and the clinical relevance of this family is underscored by the expression of several TMEM16s in multiple types of cancer.
CHAPTER 1
INTRODUCTION

Limb Development

For decades scientists have investigated the complex mechanisms that regulate the development of the vertebrate limb. In a fortuitous experiment, John Saunders and Mary Gasseling grafted a population of mesenchymal cells from the posterior of one chick limb bud to the anterior of a recipient chick limb bud (Saunders JW and Gasseling, MT. 1968). This manipulation resulted in supernumerary digits that were patterned so that those closest to the anterior site of the graft assumed a more posterior identity. In this manner, the zone of polarizing activity, or ZPA, was identified as a signaling center that is necessary for the normal anteroposterior patterning of the vertebrate limb.

The ZPA was hypothesized to function by secreting a morphogen that established a gradient along the anteroposterior axis with different concentrations of the unidentified morphogen specifying digit identities (Wolpert, 1969). It was later discovered that retinoic acid (RA) was capable of inducing mirror-image duplications (Tickle et al., 1982) and that these duplications were dose-dependant (Tickle et al., 1985). However, the concentration of RA necessary to induce and pattern ectopic digits elicited responses in the anterior of the limb that were not observed in the endogenous ZPA (Noji et al., 1991). Careful study demonstrated that RA functions upstream of the ZPA (Wanek et al., 1991) but does not directly polarize the limb.

Sonic hedgehog, a homolog of Drosophila hedgehog, was identified as the ZPA morphogen (Riddle et al., 1993). Shh expression in the limb is confined to the domain shown to possess polarizing activity in ZPA grafting experiments. Furthermore, when fibroblasts expressing Shh were transplanted to the anterior of the limb, mirror-image duplications reminiscent of those seen in ZPA grafts were observed. Genetic knockout in mice demonstrated
that SHH functions not only to pattern the anteroposterior axis of the limb bud, but also plays a role in regulating the outgrowth of the limb (Chiang et al., 1996).

In addition to the ZPA, a second signaling center, the apical ectodermal ridge (AER), is necessary for the outgrowth of the limb (Saunders JW, 1948). Fibroblast growth factors (FGFs) expressed in the AER are necessary for the maintenance of Shh expression in the ZPA (Niswander et al., 1994). Conversely, SHH in the ZPA is capable of regulating Fgf4 expression and functions in a positive feedback loop that promotes the outgrowth of the limb (Laufer et al., 1994; Niswander et al., 1994). The bone morphogenetic protein (BMP) antagonist gremlin is induced in the limb bud mesenchyme in response to low levels of BMP2 (Nissim et al., 2006) and prevents the Bmp-mediated regression of the AER (Ganan et al., 1998). It has recently been shown that descendants of Shh-expressing cells do not express gremlin (Scherz et al., 2004). As the limb bud grows, a wedge of gremlin-negative cells forms between the Shh-expressing cells of the ZPA and the Fgf-expressing cells of the AER. This results in the eventual breakdown of the Shh-Fgf feedback loop and the termination of limb outgrowth.

By irreversibly marking the descendants of Shh-expressing cells using the Shhgfpcre allele (Harfe et al., 2004) and a lacZ reporter allele (Soriano, 1999), we have previously shown that the most posterior digits arise from cells that expressed Shh in the ZPA (Harfe et al., 2004). The identities of digit 4 and digit 5, which are completely derived from cells that have expressed Shh, are specified by the duration of their exposure to SHH. Cells giving rise to digit 5 are exposed to high concentrations of SHH for a longer period of time than those giving rise to digit 4. In contrast, specification of digit 2 is determined only by the concentration of SHH that has diffused across the limb field. Digit 3 is composed of a mixture of cells, some of which have actively expressed Shh and some that have only responded to SHH protein (Harfe et al., 2004).
Consistent with the data from Shh null mice (Chiang et al., 1996), digit 1 specification is Shh-independent.

**Identifying Additional Genes Expressed During Mouse Limb Development**

To date, Shh is the only gene known to be specifically expressed in the ZPA of the vertebrate limb. Initially, this work focuses on the identification of other genes expressed in the ZPA. These factors might be involved in the secretion or reception of SHH, serve as a cellular memory of previous exposure to SHH, regulate proliferation and/or differentiation in the limb or function in other unforeseen pathways.

To identify additional genes expressed in the ZPA, we used the Shhgfpcre allele (Harfe et al., 2004) in combination with fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) to purify two populations of cells from the mouse limb: one from the ZPA and one from the rest of the limb bud. From these populations, labeled cRNA was synthesized and hybridized to Affymetrix GeneChips to identify genes differentially expressed between the ZPA and the rest of the limb. Analysis of the microarray data identified a number of genes that might have higher levels of expression in the ZPA compared to the rest of the limb bud. From this list, we confirmed the expression of six genes with previously unidentified expression in the mouse limb bud (Rock et al., 2007).

**The Role of TMEM16A in Vertebrate Development**

One of the genes identified by this screen, Tmem16a, is a member of the evolutionarily conserved TMEM16 family of genes. The human ortholog, TMEM16A (other published names for this gene include TAOS2, FLJ10261, DOG1), is overexpressed in a variety of cancers including gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GISTs) and oral squamous cell carcinomas (OSCCs) (West et al., 2004) (Huang et al., 2006). The mouse ortholog of Tmem16a was identified on chromosome 7, but had not previously been characterized in vivo (Katoh and Katoh, 2003).
We took a reverse genetics approach to elucidate the normal function of *Tmem16a* in vertebrate development by generating a null mouse allele in embryonic stem (ES) cells. All mice homozygous for this mutation die within one month of birth suggesting that *Tmem16a* is essential for normal development. Widespread embryonic expression of *Tmem16a* implies that a number of developmental processes might not occur normally in *Tmem16a* mutants; however, we focused on characterizing one defect in mice homozygous for this allele.

C-shaped rings of hyaline cartilage normally surround the trachea and prevent its collapse during respiration. Reverse genetic experiments in mice have demonstrated the involvement of several molecules in the development of the cartilage rings including *Shh* (Miller et al., 2004), *Traf4* (Regnier et al., 2002), *FoxF1* (Mahlapuu et al., 2001) and retinoic acid (Mendelsohn et al., 1994; Vermot et al., 2003). Tracheal cartilage defects in these models are accompanied by other defects and have not been investigated in detail. Therefore, the embryology of the tracheal cartilage is not well understood. We found that all *Tmem16a* mutant mice exhibit a severe disruption in the normal pattern of the cartilage rings that extends the entire length of the trachea. Careful examination revealed that this disruption occurs as the result of a mechanical force exerted on the developing cartilage condensations from the tracheal epithelium that they encircle.

In normal mouse development, the cells of the embryonic tracheal epithelium undergo stratification so that the epithelium is 2-3 cell layers thick at E15.5 (Daniely et al., 2004). In *Tmem16a* mutants, the epithelium does not stratify properly and is instead only 1-2 cell layers thick. One consequence of this stratification defect is that the diameter of the tracheal tube is expanded and the epithelium evaginates into the surrounding mesenchyme. The aberrant epithelial evagination in *Tmem16a* mutants divides the normal ventrolateral population of
chondrocytes into discontinuous populations that contribute to disrupted cartilage elements instead of rings.

**The TMEM16 Family of Proteins**

A single TMEM16 homolog, IST2, is found in the genome of the budding yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. This protein has been found to sort asymmetrically during cell division and has been implicated in maintaining osmotic homeostasis (Takizawa et al., 2000) (Entian et al., 1999). There exist in mice and humans 10 paralogs in the TMEM16 family of proteins (Katoh and Katoh, 2005) (Mizuta et al., 2007). Very little data concerning the *in vivo* expression patterns and functions for these genes has been reported.

We have investigated in detail the expression pattern of *Tmem16a* during vertebrate development. We report that *Tmem16a* is expressed in tissues derived from all three germ layers. Examples of mesoderm-derived tissues that express Tmem16a are the trachealis muscle dorsal to the trachea and the ZPA in the limb. Endoderm-derived tissues that express *Tmem16a* include the epithelia of the trachea and lung. We also detected expression of *Tmem16a* in ectodermal derivatives including the skin and sensory epithelia of the retina, inner ear, and olfactory epithelium. *Tmem16a* is expressed in a number of branched organs including the lung, submandibular salivary glands and lacrimal glands.

A second signaling center in the limb bud, the apical ectodermal ridge, secretes members of the fibroblast growth factor family of proteins and is essential for outgrowth of the limb (Sun et al., 2002). We detected expression of a TMEM16 family member, *Tmem16c*, specifically in the AER of the mouse limb.

Gene trapped alleles are randomly generated insertions of a selection cassette into the genome. We identified and obtained a gene trapped allele of *Tmem16f*, a paralog of *Tmem16a*. We generated mice heterozygous for this allele, *Tmem16f*<sup>RRF355/+</sup>, and used expression of the β-
geo reporter construct under the control of the endogenous Tmem16f regulatory elements to indirectly visualize expression of Tmem16f during mouse development. We observed β-galactosidase activity (and presumably expression of Tmem16f) in bones developing via both intramembranous and endochondral ossification. We also performed RNA in situ hybridization to detect Tmem16f in a variety of embryonic tissues, many of which overlapped with sites of Tmem16a expression.

The expression of members of the TMEM16 family of proteins is widespread during vertebrate development. In addition, orthologs of this family are conserved in organisms ranging from S. cerevisiae to humans. Together with the perinatal lethality observed in our Tmem16a mutants, this is strong evidence that members of this family are essential to the development of a variety of structures during embryogenesis. We have shown that Tmem16a might have a function in regulating cell morphology or cell:cell contacts in the embryonic tracheal epithelium and two other family members, Tmem16e and Tmem16g, have been shown to influence cellular morphology as well (Tsutsumi et al., 2004) (Das et al., 2007).
CHAPTER 2
IDENTIFICATION OF GENES EXPRESSED IN THE MOUSE LIMB USING A NOVEL ZPA MICROARRAY APPROACH

One well-studied signaling center in the developing vertebrate limb, the zone of polarizing activity (ZPA), produces the morphogen sonic hedgehog (Shh) that is necessary for normal growth and pattern formation. To identify additional factors expressed in the ZPA of the mouse limb bud, the Shhgfpcre allele was used to purify ZPA cells using fluorescence-activated cell sorting. Microarray technology was then used to identify genes whose expression was elevated in the ZPA compared to the rest of the limb. RNA in situ hybridization confirmed the expression of two known transcription factors, Hlx9 and Tcfap2b, an uncharacterized EST, and a transmembrane protein of unknown function in domains overlapping the ZPA. The expression of two other genes was confirmed by rtPCR.

An in vivo Screen to Identify Genes Expressed in the Mouse ZPA

To purify ZPA cells from the limb, limb buds were dissected from Shhgfpcre-heterozygous embryos (Figure 2-1). Mice heterozygous for this allele appear wild type and express green fluorescent protein (GFP) as well as the bacterial recombinase Cre in all cells that express Shh (Harfe et al., 2004). After dissociating the limbs into single cells, GFP-positive cells (the ZPA) and GFP-negative cells (the rest of the limb) were purified using fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS). Biotin-labeled cRNA was synthesized from each population of cells and hybridized to Affymetrix GeneChips. Analysis of the microarray data identified a number of genes that were differentially expressed in the ZPA and the rest of the limb (Table 2-1, Table 2-2, and data not shown). The raw data is available from the Gene Expression Omnibus of the National Center for Biotechnology Information (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/ accession number GSE7598).
Since our screen was designed to identify genes whose expression was enriched in the ZPA, we expected that \textit{Shh} would be detected at higher levels in the GFP-positive cells. Indeed, this was the case; our array data indicated that \textit{Shh} transcripts were more than 20 times as abundant in the ZPA cells than in the rest of the limb (Table 2-2). Other genes previously described as being present in the ZPA were also identified by our screen. For example, \textit{Gli1}, \textit{Bmp2} and \textit{Hand2} were all detected at higher levels in the ZPA than in the rest of the limb. Our microarray data analysis of genes previously described as being expressed in the ZPA is summarized in Table 2-2. The identification of these genes suggested that our ZPA array screen might be capable of identifying novel genes expressed in this specific region of the limb bud.

\textit{In vivo} Validation of Novel Genes Identified in the ZPA

To confirm \textit{in vivo} that genes identified in our ZPA array screen were expressed in the limb bud, we performed whole mount RNA \textit{in situ} hybridization for nine genes from our list of potential ZPA-specific genes (Table 2-1). None of these genes had been previously described in the embryonic day (E) 10.5 limb bud. Whole mount RNA \textit{in situ} hybridization confirmed that four of these nine genes were expressed in domains overlapping the ZPA (Figure 2-2). For three of these genes, RNA \textit{in situ} hybridization did not detect expression in the limb bud at E10.5, the stage at which the ZPA screen was performed (Figure 2-2F,J,N). Instead, for these genes, expression was first detected at E11.5 (Figure 2-2G,K,O). It is possible that these genes were expressed in the ZPA at E10.5 but were present below the level of detection of RNA \textit{in situ} hybridization. A second possibility was that some of the embryos pooled to generate cRNA might have been slightly older than E10.5 (see Experimental Procedures).

Of the nine potentially ZPA-enriched genes for which we performed whole mount RNA \textit{in situ} hybridization, we were unable to detect the expression of five in the limb bud. One explanation is that these genes were expressed in the limb bud, but present at levels below the
detection limit of RNA *in situ* hybridization. It was also possible that these five genes were false positives. To determine if these genes were expressed in the limb bud, we performed rtPCR using RNA isolated from E10.5 forelimbs as starting material. For two of these five genes, *Ppp1cb* and *Ywhaz*, we detected transcription in the E10.5 limb bud (Table 2-1).

**Identification of Genes Expressed Outside the ZPA in the E10.5 Mouse Limb Bud**

In addition to identifying genes expressed at elevated levels within the ZPA, the ZPA screen also identified genes expressed at higher levels outside of the ZPA. This list of genes contained some genes that had been previously characterized in the limb bud, for example gremlin (Zuniga et al., 1999), netrin (Puschel, 1999) and *Sox9* (Asou et al., 2002) (Table 2-2), as well as genes that were not previously described in the E10.5 limb bud (Table 2-1 and data not shown). We confirmed by RNA *in situ* hybridization the expression of netrin and an EST selected at random from this class of genes (EST BF583715; Figure 2-3A,B). In this report, we have focused on characterizing genes expressed in the ZPA. Our raw data, describing the entire transcriptome of the E10.5 mouse limb bud, has been made available from the Gene Expression Omnibus of the National Center for Biotechnology Information (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/ accession number GSE7598).

**Genes with Expression in the ZPA**

*Tcfap2b*

Analysis of the expression data indicated that the gene *Tcfap2b* was expressed at levels nearly four times higher in the ZPA than in the rest of the limb (Table 2-1). *Tcfap2b* encodes a member of the AP-2 family of transcription factors (Moser et al., 1997b) and had not previously been described in the limb. To confirm that the expression of this gene was elevated in the ZPA, we performed whole mount RNA *in situ* hybridization with an antisense probe homologous to the 3’ end of the *Tcfap2b* transcript. Using this approach we first detected *Tcfap2b* transcripts in
the distal posterior of the limb bud at E11.5 in a region that overlapped the ZPA (Figure 2-2G). The domain of Tcfap2b expression at E11.5 extended much more anteriorly than that of Shh in the distal mesenchyme of the limb bud. Transcription of Tcfap2b continued until E12.5 with expression restricted to a very narrow posterior domain immediately adjacent to the overlying ectoderm (Figure 2-2H). At this later stage (E12.5), Shh is no longer expressed in the limb (Figure 2-2D).

Mice homozygous for a null allele of Tcfap2b have been generated. Tcfap2b homozygotes do not exhibit limb defects but die shortly after birth with polycystic kidney disease (Moser et al., 1997a).

_Hlxb9_

The gene Hlxb9 encodes the homeodomain protein HB9. Transcription factors belonging to this family have a conserved DNA binding domain and are involved in the regulation of many genes that control a number of cellular functions (Cillo et al., 2001). Homeodomain transcription factors have been well studied in normal development and in disease (reviewed in (Manak and Scott, 1994; Samuel and Naora, 2005)). HB9 has been shown to play essential roles in mouse pancreatic development (Harrison et al., 1999) and the establishment of motor neuron identity (Thaler et al., 1999). In our screen, Hlxb9 transcripts were detected at levels four times greater in the ZPA than in the rest of the limb (Table 2-1). Hlxb9 expression had not previously been reported during any stage of limb development. To confirm that Hlxb9 was expressed in the ZPA, we performed whole mount RNA in situ hybridization using an antisense probe with homology to the 5’ end of the Hlxb9 transcript. RNA in situ hybridization confirmed that Hlxb9 expression was restricted to the posterior of the limb at E11.5 in a domain indistinguishable from that of Shh (Figure 2-2K). Hlxb9 transcripts were not detected in the limb bud before (E10.5) or after (E12.5) by RNA in situ hybridization (Figure 2-2J,L).
A targeted deletion of Hlxb9 in mouse embryonic stem cells has been generated (Thaler et al., 1999). Mice homozygous for this deletion are smaller than littermates, have a “curled appearance,” and die at birth of respiratory failure due to improper motor neuron specification (Thaler et al., 1999). These mice also exhibit defects in pancreatic development, but have no reported limb abnormalities (Harrison et al., 1999; Thaler et al., 1999).

In both mice and humans, Hlxb9 is located about 100kb upstream of Lmbr1. Intron 5 of Lmbr1 contains an enhancer element that is responsible for driving expression of Shh in the ZPA (Lettice et al., 2003). It is not known if this enhancer is also responsible for Hlxb9 expression in the ZPA.

EST BI734849

A number of previously uncharacterized mouse expressed sequence tags (ESTs) were identified in our ZPA array screen. One of these, BI734849, was detected by RNA in situ hybridization at E11.5 in a narrow region of the posterior limb mesenchyme (Figure 2-2O). This expression domain did not extend to the anterior limit of the ZPA. An EST that partially overlapped with BI734849 but extended further 5’ (AI853140) showed identical expression to BI734849 at E11.5 (data not shown). Expression of both ESTs persisted until E12.5 in a small domain in the proximal posterior of the developing autopod (Figure 2-2P). Although no protein product is reported from this locus, in silico translation yielded a protein with weak similarity to murine axonemal dynein heavy chains (~65% conservation across 60 amino acids). The syntenic locus in humans encodes the putative non-coding RNA NCRMS (Chan et al., 2002). In addition, we have found that the microRNA miR-135-a2 lies within an intron of this transcript. Whether or not miR-135a-2 is expressed in the ZPA remains to be determined.
**Tmem16a**

*Tmem16a* encodes one member of a recently identified family of eight-pass transmembrane proteins (reviewed in (Galindo and Vacquier, 2005)). In addition to the nine reported members of this protein family, *Tmem16a-Tmem16h* and *Trp53i5* (Katoh and Katoh, 2005), we have noted the existence of a tenth family member, *Tmem16k* (NM_133979). Although the TMEM16 family is highly conserved with homologs found in organisms ranging from *S. cerevisiae* to humans, the cellular functions of the 10 mammalian TMEM16 proteins are not known in any species.

In our ZPA screen, *Tmem16a* transcript levels were elevated 40 times higher in the ZPA than in the rest of the limb (Table 2-1). Whole mount RNA *in situ* hybridization confirmed that *Tmem16a* was expressed in the posterior fore- and hindlimb mesenchyme at E10.5 and E11.5 (Figure 2-2R,S). The expression domains of *Tmem16a* and *Shh* partially overlapped at these stages in the limb bud, but *Tmem16a* expression was restricted to a more proximal and posterior domain than *Shh*. *Tmem16a* expression was not observed at E9.5 in the limb (when *Shh* is first detected) or at E12.5 (Figure 2-2Q,T). In addition to *Tmem16a* expression in the posterior limb bud mesenchyme, RNA *in situ* hybridization detected Tmem16a expression in a variety of embryonic structures (see Chapters 3, 4, and 5).

Since TMEM16A is highly conserved at the protein level across species, it was of interest to determine if its expression pattern was also conserved. A search of the chicken EST database for *Tmem16a* indicated that there was an ortholog of this gene in chickens. RNA *in situ* hybridization using a *cTmem16a* probe indicated that, similarly to the expression pattern in mice, *cTmem16a* was expressed in the chicken forelimb ZPA (Figure 2-3C). However, unlike the situation in mice, *cTmem16a* was not detected in the chick hindlimb.
A previous cDNA microarray experiment identified the human ortholog of \textit{TMEM16A} as a transcript expressed at elevated levels in gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GISTs) (Nielsen et al., 2002). Human \textit{TMEM16A} is on chromosome 11q13, a region frequently amplified in esophageal cancer, bladder tumors, breast cancer (Katoh and Katoh, 2003), and oral squamous cell carcinomas (Huang et al., 2006). Murine \textit{Tmem16a} was previously identified as the ortholog of human \textit{TMEM16A}, but its \textit{in vivo} expression had not previously been investigated (Katoh and Katoh, 2003).

\textit{Tcfap2b, Hlx9, EST BI734840, and Tmem16a Are Expressed in Shh Null Limb Buds}

After identifying genes with expression domains overlapping the ZPA, we used rtPCR to determine if their expression was \textit{Shh}-dependent or \textit{Shh}-independent. cDNA was synthesized from E11 wild type and \textit{Shh} null limb buds and used as template to amplify fragments of \textit{Tcfap2b, Hlx9, EST BI734840, and Tmem16a}. All four transcripts were amplified from wild type and \textit{Shh} null limb bud cDNA (Figure 2-3D). These data suggest that transcription of \textit{Tcfap2b, Hlx9, EST BI734840, and Tmem16a} is independent of \textit{Shh} signaling.

\textit{Tmem16a Expression in the Limb is Bmp-Independent but Requires Ectodermal Fgf Expression}

Members of the bone morphogenetic protein (BMP) family are essential for a number of developmental processes (Hogan, 1996). Recently, the removal of \textit{Bmp2} and \textit{Bmp4} from the limb mesenchyme was shown to result in soft tissue syndactyly and the loss of posterior digits in the forelimb (Bandyopadhyay et al., 2006). To determine if \textit{Tmem16a} expression in the limb bud required BMPs, we analyzed expression of \textit{Tmem16a} in limb buds in which both \textit{Bmp2} and \textit{Bmp4} had been removed from the mesenchyme. \textit{Tmem16a} expression in \textit{Bmp2c/c;Bmp4c/c;Prx1-cre} limbs was indistinguishable from the expression pattern observed in wild type littermates (Figure 2-4A,B).
Two fibroblast growth factors, Fgf4 and Fgf8, are necessary for the initiation and outgrowth of the vertebrate limb (Boulet et al., 2004). Mice lacking both Fgf4 and Fgf8 in the AER were created using conditional alleles of Fgf4 and Fgf8 in conjunction with the ectoderm-specific cre allele Msx2-cre (Sun et al., 2002). Mice that lack both Fgf4 and Fgf8 in the ectoderm fail to develop hindlimbs. Interestingly, removal of these factors from the AER resulted in a complete loss of Tmem16a expression in the underlying hindlimb mesenchyme (Figure 2-4C,D). It has been shown that Msx2-cre expression in the forelimb occurs after expression of Fgf8 has been initiated and that deletion of Fgf8 with this cre allele leads to precocious expression of Fgf4 (Sun et al., 2002). We observed a wild type expression pattern of Tmem16a in Fgf4c/c;Fgf8c/c;Msx2-cre forelimbs (data not shown).

Experimental Procedures

Fluorescence-Activated Cell Sorting of GFP-Positive Cells from the E10.5 Limb Bud

Mice heterozygous for the Shhgfpcre allele (Harfe et al., 2004) were crossed to wild type mice to generate heterozygous offspring. The morning a vaginal plug was found was considered E0.5. At E10.5, dams were sacrificed by cervical dislocation and the embryos harvested in ice-cold phosphate buffered saline (PBS). Shhgfpcre heterozygotes expressing green fluorescent protein (GFP) in the ZPA were identified using a fluorescent dissection microscope. Forelimbs and hindlimbs from heterozygous embryos were dissected from the body, pooled and placed in 0.05% trypsin at 37°C for 5 minutes. The limbs were then triturated using a Pasteur pipette to obtain a single-cell suspension. The cells were centrifuged at 1,800 rpm for 2 minutes and resuspended in 0.5 uM EDTA, 0.05% BSA in PBS for sorting. GFP-positive and GFP-negative cells were collected using a FACS Vantage SE TurboSort (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA). Approximately 1,000 GFP-positive (ZPA) cells were obtained from each limb bud which corresponded to ~3% of the cells sorted. A total of four cell sorts were performed to produce
four independent GFP-positive (ZPA cells) and GFP-negative (cells outside the ZPA) cell samples.

**Microarray Target Preparation, GeneChip Hybridization, and Data Analysis**

From each independent population of sorted cells, total RNA was isolated using the RNeasy Mini Kit (QIAGEN, Inc., Valencia, CA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Sample integrity was assessed using an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Inc., Santa Clara, CA) to compare the relative amounts of 18S and 28S rRNA. For each sample, 50 ng of total RNA was used as the starting material in the Affymetrix Two-Cycle cDNA Synthesis kit according to the Affymetrix Eukaryotic Target Preparation Manual (Affymetrix, Inc., Santa Clara CA). Following cleanup, biotin-labeled cRNA was synthesized using the Affymetrix GeneChip IVT Labeling Kit. For each sample, 15 ug of labeled cRNA was fragmented and hybridized to an Affymetrix Mouse Genome 430 2.0 GeneChip at 45ºC for 16 hours. The GeneChips were washed and stained with a GeneChip Fluidics Station 450 according to protocol EukGE Wsv4_450. A GeneChip Scanner 3000 was used to collect data into the GeneChip Operating Software (v1.3) using default parameters and global scaling as the normalization protocol. The trimmed mean target intensity was arbitrarily set at 500 for each GeneChip. This data has been made available from the Gene Expression Omnibus of the National Center for Biotechnology Information (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/ accession number GSE7598). To identify genes differentially expressed between the ZPA and the rest of the limb bud, we carried out a modified t-test on log transformed expression values using a random variance model as implemented in BRB ArrayTools developed by Richard Simon and Amy Peng Lam.

**Whole Mount RNA in situ Hybridization and Generation of Mutant Embryos**

Embryos were collected from timed matings for analysis by RNA *in situ* hybridization on E9.5, E10.5, E11.5, or E12.5. Embryos were fixed overnight at 4ºC in 4% paraformaldehyde in
PBS. RNA in situ hybridization was performed as described (Wilkinson, 1992). To analyze cTmem16a expression, HH19-24 chick embryos were harvested, fixed, and processed in an identical manner.

The expressed sequence tags (ESTs) used to generate antisense riboprobes for RNA in situ hybridizations can be found in Table 2-1. The plasmid used to generate the Shh riboprobe has been described previously (Echelard et al., 1993). The chicken EST chEST561F4 was used to generate a cTmem16a antisense riboprobe.

Generation of conditional alleles of Bmp2 (Tsuji et al., 2006) and Bmp4 (Liu et al., 2004) has been reported. To analyze Tmem16a expression in limbs lacking mesenchymal Bmp2 and Bmp4, we crossed mice heterozygous for both conditional alleles that also carried a Prx1-cre transgene (Logan et al., 2002) to generate Bmp2c/c;Bmp4c/c;Prx1-cre offspring. Embryos were harvested at E10.5, genotyped as previously described for each allele, and fixed for RNA in situ hybridization. Fgf4c/c;Fgf8c/c;Msx2-cre embryos, which lack Fgf4 and Fgf8 expression in the AER, were a kind gift from Xin Sun (University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI).

rtPCR Analysis of Gene Expression

To confirm the expression of genes from Table 2-I we were unable to confirm by RNA in situ hybridization in the limb, wild type forelimbs were dissected into ice-cold TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). RNA was isolated according to the manufacturer’s protocol. cDNA was synthesized using AMV reverse transcriptase (Roche Applied Science, Indianapolis, IN), oligo dT oligonucleotides, and 5 ug of total RNA. Oligonucleotides used as PCR primers are listed in Table 2-3.

To verify gene expression in Shh null embryos, forelimb buds were dissected from wild type or Shh null E11.0 embryos and cDNA was synthesized as above. Oligonucleotides used as PCR primers are listed in Table 2-3.
Figure 2-1. Analysis of gene expression in Shh-expressing cells of the ZPA and the rest of the E10.5 limb bud. Limbs were dissected from E10.5 Shhgfpcre heterozygous embryos and dissociated into single cells (see Experimental Procedures). GFP-positive (ZPA) and GFP-negative (rest of the limb) cell populations were purified by FACS. From these populations, labeled cRNA was synthesized and hybridized to Affymetrix GeneChips to compare whole-genome expression between cells of the ZPA and the cells of the rest of the limb. A total of eight GeneChips were used: four with purified ZPA cells and four with cells from the rest of the E10.5 limb bud.
Figure 2-2. RNA *in situ* hybridization confirmed expression of four novel genes in the ZPA. Limbs from E9.5, E10.5, E11.5, and E12.5 wild type embryos were hybridized with probes corresponding to the list of genes whose expression is enriched in the ZPA from Table 2-1. *Shh* expression data is shown for comparison (A-D). *Tcfap2b* (G and H) and BI734849 (O and P) transcripts were detected on E11.5 and E12.5. *Hlx9* expression was only observed on E11.5 (K). Expression of *Tmem16a* in the limb bud was observed in E10.5 and E11.5 limb buds (R and S).
Figure 2-3. Further characterization of microarray data. RNA *in situ* hybridization detected transcripts of netrin (A) and the EST BF583715 (B) in the E11.5 limb bud. Consistent with the microarray data, these transcripts were detected in the limb bud but not in the ZPA. (C) *cTmem16a* expression was observed in the ZPA of chicken forelimbs (HH19 shown), but not in the hindlimbs at any stage examined (HH19-24). (D) rtPCR demonstrated that expression of *Tcfap2b*, *Hlxb9*, EST BI734849, and *Tmem16a* occurs in both wild type and *Shh* null forelimbs from E11.0 embryos.
Figure 2-4. *Tmem16a* expression in the limb is *Bmp*-independent but requires ectodermal *Fgf* expression. RNA *in situ* hybridization showed that the pattern of *Tmem16a* expression was not changed in E10.5 *Bmp2c/c;Bmp4c/c;Prx1-cre* (B) forelimbs when compared to wild type littermates (A). In contrast, *Tmem16a* expression was lost from the hindlimb AER in *Fgf4c/c;Fgf8c/c;Msx2-cre* embryos (D).
Table 2-1. Partial list of genes identified as enriched in the ZPA or outside of the ZPA in the E10.5 limb bud.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Affymetrix Probe Set ID</th>
<th>Annotation</th>
<th>Geometric mean of intensities (GFP-negative/GFP-positive)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>Probe</th>
<th>Confirmed by in situ</th>
<th>Confirmed by rtPCR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Genes enriched in ZPA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1419473_a_at</td>
<td>Cck</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>5.09E-05</td>
<td>BU560753</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1459713_s_at</td>
<td>Tmem16a</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>2.52E-03</td>
<td>BC006062</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1436981_a_at</td>
<td>Ywhaz</td>
<td>0.114</td>
<td>5.74E-03</td>
<td>BQ044210</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1420784_at</td>
<td>Scn11a</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>7.15E-03</td>
<td>BG694370</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1438418_at</td>
<td>Ncrms</td>
<td>0.157</td>
<td>5.42E-02</td>
<td>AI853140</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1435670_at</td>
<td>Tcfap2b</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>8.77E-02</td>
<td>AI585585</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1436450_at</td>
<td>D11Bwg0517e</td>
<td>0.313</td>
<td>1.12E-01</td>
<td>BU563678</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1460299_at</td>
<td>Hlxb9</td>
<td>0.223</td>
<td>1.27E-01</td>
<td>BE648171</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1431328_at</td>
<td>Ppp1cb</td>
<td>0.509</td>
<td>1.67E-01</td>
<td>CB723562</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genes enriched outside the ZPA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1424214_at</td>
<td>9130213B05Rik</td>
<td>14.705</td>
<td>3.01E-03</td>
<td>BF583715</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Analysis of the array data resulted in the identification of a large number of genes differentially expressed between the ZPA and the rest of the limb bud. From this list, we chose 9 genes that were enriched in the ZPA and 1 gene that was enriched in the rest of the limb to confirm by RNA in situ hybridization. These genes were ranked by the probability that each is differentially expressed between the two cell populations (p-value). For those genes enriched in the ZPA, ratios of geometric means closest to zero suggest highest expression levels in the ZPA compared to the rest of the limb. The ESTs used to generate in situ riboprobes are shown.
Table 2-2. Genes previously described in the limb identified by the ZPA screen.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Affymetrix Probe Set ID</th>
<th>Annotation</th>
<th>Geometric mean of intensities (GFP-negative/GFP-positive)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>Probe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Genes enriched in ZPA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1422912_at</td>
<td>Bmp4</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>2.22E-04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1436869_at</td>
<td>Shh</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>4.46E-04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1422545_at</td>
<td>Tbx2</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>8.14E-04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1450584_at</td>
<td>Hoxd11</td>
<td>0.278</td>
<td>3.65E-03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1446812_at</td>
<td>Hand2</td>
<td>0.388</td>
<td>1.78E-02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1439663_at</td>
<td>Ptc1</td>
<td>0.187</td>
<td>2.44E-02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1422239_at</td>
<td>Hoxd13</td>
<td>0.176</td>
<td>6.24E-02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1423635_at</td>
<td>Bmp2</td>
<td>0.214</td>
<td>1.27E-01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1449058_at</td>
<td>Gli1</td>
<td>0.636</td>
<td>2.56E-01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genes enriched outside the ZPA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1454974_at</td>
<td>Ntn1</td>
<td>66.635</td>
<td>3.80E-06</td>
<td>BE196965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1424950_at</td>
<td>Sox9</td>
<td>18.883</td>
<td>6.26E-03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1425357_a_at</td>
<td>Greml1</td>
<td>2.781</td>
<td>3.34E-02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2-3. Oligonucleotide primers used for rtPCR.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oligonucleotide</th>
<th>Forward Primer</th>
<th>Reverse Primer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tcfap2b</strong></td>
<td>5'-CACTAACAGGCACACGTCTG-3'</td>
<td>5'-CGTCTCAGTCAACCAGCTCTC-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hlx9</strong></td>
<td>5'-ATTGGTTCCAGAACCGCCGAATG-3'</td>
<td>5'-TGGTTGTCTCAAAAGGGAGGTTCA-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BI734849</strong></td>
<td>5'-CCAGAATGACTGTGAACGTTCTC-3'</td>
<td>5'-GAAGGATCTGCTCAAGCTCAC-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tmem16a</strong></td>
<td>5'-CAAGTTTGTGAACACTCTTA</td>
<td>5'-TCGCGGTAGCCGGCGAAAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ppp1cb</strong></td>
<td>5'-GAACGTGGACAGCCTCATCAC</td>
<td>5'-GATGCTACACACTCATG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ywhaz</strong></td>
<td>5'-GACGCGAGCTCGCGACTGG</td>
<td>5'-CATAACTGGATATTCTGTGTC-3'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CHAPTER 3
DEFECTIVE TRACHEAL CARTILAGE RING FORMATION CAUSED BY DELETION OF THE TRANSMEMBRANE PROTEIN TMEM16A

Pathological collapsibility of the upper airways, known as tracheomalacia in humans, can occur either independently or in combination with other anomalies. Depending on its etiology and severity, the symptoms of tracheomalacia range from respiratory stridor to fatal airway obstruction. Since the clinical presentations of tracheomalacia are so diverse, the condition is often misdiagnosed or not diagnosed at all and its true incidence is not known. We determined that Tmem16a, a member of an uncharacterized evolutionarily conserved family of predicted transmembrane proteins, is expressed in the epithelium of the developing trachea. To investigate the role of Tmem16a in tracheal development, we generated a null allele of this gene in mice. All mice homozygous for this null allele exhibited severe tracheomalacia with gaps in the tracheal cartilage rings along the entire length of the trachea. We show that the embryonic tracheal epithelium was improperly stratified in Tmem16a mutants and this caused an expansion of the tracheal lumen. The expanded epithelial tube displaced chondrogenic condensations in the surrounding mesenchyme and caused the malformation of the tracheal cartilage rings. These data identify Tmem16a as a novel regulator of epithelial organization in murine tracheal development. Furthermore, this mutant, the first knockout of a vertebrate TMEM16 family member, provides a mouse model of tracheomalacia.

Introduction

The murine respiratory system is first recognizable as two primary lung buds emerge from the ventral foregut endoderm on embryonic day (E) 9.5 (reviewed in (Cardoso and Lu, 2006)). These buds elongate and branch in a reiterative process, known as branching morphogenesis, to generate the distal respiratory tree. The foregut endoderm rostral to the site of the primary lung buds gives rise to two epithelial tubes: the esophagus and trachea. The mechanisms governing
the separation of these two tubes are not entirely clear, but errors in this process carry significant clinical implications in the forms of tracheoesophageal fistula and esophageal atresia (Que et al., 2006).

C-shaped rings of hyaline cartilage encircle the ventrolateral surfaces of the mature trachea and prevent its collapse during respiration. In humans, structural weakness of the trachea, known as tracheomalacia, results in collapse of the airway during respiration (for reviews see (Carden et al., 2005; McNamara and Crabbe, 2004)). Depending on the degree of tracheal collapse, symptoms range from respiratory stridor to fatal airway obstruction. The true incidence of tracheomalacia is not known because many of its symptoms overlap with those of other pulmonary disorders and it is often misdiagnosed; however, estimates range from 1 in 2,600 to 1 in 1,445 births (Boogaard et al., 2005; Carden et al., 2005). In some instances, tracheomalacia arises through developmental malformation of the trachea itself; this congenital form is known as primary tracheomalacia (reviewed in (Carden et al., 2005)). Examples of primary tracheomalacia include defects in cartilage matrix production or the shortened cartilage elements observed in patients with tracheoesophageal fistula. In other cases, classified as secondary tracheomalacia, the cause of tracheal collapse is extrinsic to the trachea. Examples of secondary tracheomalacia include trauma and external pressure on the trachea from adjacent organs.

In mice, the tracheal cartilage rings develop in the splanchnic mesenchyme surrounding the trachea between embryonic day (E) 13.5 and E15.5 (Miller et al., 2004). Very little is currently known about the embryology of the tracheal cartilage rings, but reverse genetic experiments in mice have identified several genes that affect their development. These include Shh (Miller et al., 2004), Hoxa-5 (Aubin et al., 1997), Traf4 (Regnier et al., 2002), FoxF1 (Mahlapuu et al., 2001), Raldh2 (Vermot et al., 2003) and various retinoic acid receptors (Mendelsohn et al.,
1994). Unfortunately, the characterizations of these mutants have focused on other defects and the mechanisms underlying their tracheal cartilage malformations have not been investigated in detail.

*Tmem16a* is a member of the TMEM16 family of proteins with orthologs in organisms ranging from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* to humans (Entian et al., 1999) (Katoh and Katoh, 2003). Human *TMEM16A* (other published names for this protein include *DOG1*, *TAOS2* and *FLJ10621*) is amplified in a number of cancers including oral squamous cell carcinoma and gastrointestinal stromal tumors (Huang et al., 2006) (West et al., 2004). Despite this evolutionary conservation and potential clinical significance, the functions of the vertebrate TMEM16 proteins are unknown.

We report that murine *Tmem16a* is expressed in the epithelium of the developing trachea and that mice homozygous for a null allele of *Tmem16a* failed to thrive during postnatal life. These mice exhibited severe primary tracheomalacia with ventral gaps in the tracheal rings along the entire rostrocaudal axis of the trachea. The cells of the tracheal epithelium in *Tmem16a* mutants were improperly organized during embryogenesis and the trachea expanded into the surrounding mesenchyme. This abnormal expansion of the trachea partitioned the normal chondrogenic C-shaped rings into multiple chondrogenic condensations in *Tmem16a* mutants. This phenotype resulted in symptoms that mimic those in humans with tracheomalacia.

**Results**

*Tmem16a* Is Expressed in the Tracheal Epithelium

We performed whole mount and section RNA *in situ* hybridizations with an antisense riboprobe to detect *Tmem16a* transcripts during murine tracheal development. At E10.5 we observed *Tmem16a* expression throughout the epithelia of the foregut and primary lung buds (Figure 3-1A). *Tmem16a* expression continued in the proximal airway epithelium (trachea and
conducting airways of the lung) at E11.5 and E12.5, but was absent from the distal tips of peripheral lung epithelium at these stages (Figure 3-1B,C,D). At E14.5 and E16.5 Tmem16a expression was detected in cells of the tracheal epithelium (Figure 3-1E,F). In addition to epithelial expression, intense signal was observed in the mesenchyme dorsal to the trachea (Figure 3-1D,E,F). This dorsal mesenchyme does not form cartilage but instead forms the trachealis muscle which bridges the gaps in the cartilage rings. Tmem16a expression was not detected in the chondrogenic mesenchyme of the ventrolateral trachea at any stage examined (E10.5-E18.5).

*Tmem16a Null Mice Fail to Thrive During Postnatal Life*

To investigate the functions of Tmem16a in vertebrate development, we generated a null allele of this gene by homologous recombination in murine embryonic stem (ES) cells (Figure 3-2A). Our targeting strategy removed 53 amino acids of the second (of eight) predicted transmembrane domains and the extracellular loop between the first and second transmembrane domains. This strategy also induced a frameshift mutation 3’ of the deletion, which resulted in the creation of a termination codon 40 amino acids downstream of the deletion. One correctly targeted ES cell clone was identified by Southern blot and these cells were used to generate chimeric animals by blastocyst injection. Germline transmission of this allele, Tmem16a<sup>tm1Bdh</sup>, was confirmed by polymerase chain reaction (PCR). Mice heterozygous for Tmem16a<sup>tm1Bdh</sup> had no apparent phenotype and were mated to generate homozygous offspring.

Greater than 90% of Tmem16a<sup>tm1Bdh/tm1Bdh</sup> pups (hereafter referred to as Tmem16a mutants) died within the first nine days of postnatal life and no Tmem16a mutants survived longer than 30 days postpartum (Figure 3-2B and data not shown). Tmem16a mutants were born with the expected Mendelian frequency (19/81 or 27%) and newborn mutants had a body weight similar to that of wild type and heterozygous littermates (Figure 3-2C). However, Tmem16a null
animals did not gain weight at the same rate as their littermates (Figure 3-2C). Three days after parturition, Tmem16a mutants weighed only ~65% as much as their littermates. Tmem16a nulls that survived 21 days postpartum weighed less than half as much as wild type littermates. Milk was observed in the stomachs of Tmem16a null pups suggesting that these pups were able to locate nipples and initiate suckling behavior.

A portion of Tmem16a null pups developed grossly distended bellies within the first postnatal week. Varying amounts of air were encountered in the esophagi, stomachs, and intestines of all Tmem16a null pups upon sacrifice and subsequent dissection (data not shown). This aerophagia was frequently associated with a cyanotic appearance prior to sacrifice and suggested a defect in the development of the upper respiratory and/or digestive tracts in Tmem16a mutants. Neither gross nor histological examination of craniofacial development revealed any obvious patterning defects of the palate, tongue, larynx, or crania of Tmem16a null pups (data not shown).

**Tmem16a Mutants Exhibit Defects in Tracheal Cartilage Ring Formation**

Because Tmem16a was expressed in the developing trachea and the mutant phenotype was consistent with an upper airway anomaly, tracheae were dissected from Tmem16a mutants and littermates and stained with alcian blue to examine cartilage elements. Ventral gaps were observed along the entire rostrocaudal axis of mutant tracheae and the cartilage plates on the bronchi were also abnormally patterned (Figure 3-2D and data not shown). This phenotype was 100% penetrant in Tmem16a mutants; however, the pattern of rudimentary cartilage elements was variable. Transverse sections of Tmem16a mutant tracheae stained with alcian blue and eosin demonstrated multiple lateral cartilage condensations with intervening epithelial evaginations (compare Figure 3-2E and F). Development of the thyroid and cricoid cartilages was not disrupted (Figure 3-2D and data not shown).
Chondrogenic Cells Are Present in the Ventral Mesenchyme of Tmem16a Mutants

Tracheal cartilage rings develop in the splanchnic mesenchyme surrounding the trachea between E13.5 and E15.5 (Miller et al., 2004). Expression of Sox9, a high mobility group transcription factor, has been reported in cells fated to form cartilage in the peritracheal mesenchyme beginning at E9.0 (Elluru and Whitsett, 2004). Immunohistochemistry and RNA in situ hybridization revealed that Sox9 positive cells were present in the ventrolateral tracheal mesenchyme of both wild type and Tmem16a mutant embryos at E12.5 and E13.5 (Figure 3-3A,B,D,E and data not shown). This suggested that, similar to the situation in wild type, the ventral mesenchymal cells in Tmem16a mutants were specified to undergo chondrogenesis. However, at E14.5 the tracheal epithelium was noticeably thinner and had evaginated into the ventrolateral mesenchyme of Tmem16a mutants. This evagination aberrantly partitioned the Sox9 positive cells into separate populations (compare Figure 3-3C and F).

The evagination of the tracheal epithelium and disruption of the mesenchymal chondrogenic condensations was not due to ectopic cell death or cell proliferation. TdT-mediated dUTP nick end labeling (TUNEL) did not reveal any apoptotic nuclei in mutant tracheae at any stage examined (data not shown). In addition, 5’-bromo-2’-deoxyuridine (BrdU) incorporation did not reveal a change in the proliferative indices of mutant tracheal mesenchyme (or epithelium) at E13.5 or E14.5 (data not shown).

Sox9 has been shown to directly activate transcription of genes encoding cartilage components such as Col2a1 (Lefebvre et al., 1997) and aggrecan (Sekiya et al., 2000). In E15.5 wild type embryos, immunohistochemistry revealed a single population of type II collagen positive chondrocytes in a C-shaped ventrolateral ring (Figure 3-3G). In stark contrast, two or more type II collagen positive condensations were observed at this stage in mutants with intervening epithelial evaginations (Figure 3-3H). These data together suggested that
prechondrocytes were present in the mutant ventral tracheal mesenchyme but ultimately were redirected by an abnormal epithelium to contribute to disconnected lateral chondrogenic condensations.

**Increased Tracheal Circumference in Tmem16a Null Mice**

Epithelial evaginations occurred along the entire rostrocaudal axis of *Tmem16a* mutant tracheae (Figures 3-2D,F and 3-3) and we reasoned this could result from an increase in the circumference of the epithelial tube. In addition, the lumens of mutant tracheae appeared dilated in comparison to those of wild type tracheae (see Figures 3-3 and 3-4). To quantify this defect, we photographed transverse histological sections of tracheae from *Tmem16a* mutants and littermates at E13.5 and E14.5 at the same relative rostrocaudal location. For each image, the luminal circumference of the trachea was measured by outlining the apical surface of the tracheal epithelium using image analysis software (see Experimental Procedures). At E13.5, the luminal circumference was nearly 40% greater in mutants (n=2) than in wild type and heterozygous controls (n=2) (Figure 3-3I). This defect was even more severe in E14.5 embryos where the epithelial circumference of *Tmem16a* mutants (n=2) was nearly 75% larger than heterozygous littermates (n=2) (Figure 3-3I).

**Ciliated Cells Are Absent from Evaginated Regions of the Tracheal Epithelium**

The disorganization of *Tmem16a* mutant tracheal epithelium might have been attributable to abnormal differentiation of epithelial cell types. Ciliated columnar cells and non-ciliated secretory Clara cells are abundant in the mature tracheal epithelium of the mouse (Rawlins and Hogan, 2006). Although a population of *p63*-expressing cells is found in the wild type embryonic tracheal epithelium, mature basal cells are not established until late gestation (Daniely et al., 2004). To assess differentiation, we immunolocalized markers of epithelial cell types in mutant and wild type newborn tracheae.
Acetylated α-tubulin is localized to the cilia on the apical surfaces of epithelial cells in the trachea (Gomperts et al., 2004). Immunofluorescence on newborn wild type trachea sections revealed an even distribution of ciliated cells around the tracheal epithelium (Figure 3-4A). In *Tmem16a* mutant trachea, we observed ciliated cells in the tracheal epithelium with a relatively normal distribution (Figure 3-4B); the one exception was the evaginated epithelium that persisted until birth. These regions of the mutant tracheae were conspicuously devoid of ciliated cells (arrow in Figure 3-4B). Since ciliated cells were present throughout most of the mutant trachea, we propose that this is the result of the juxtaposition of two apical surfaces and not a defect in specification of the ciliated lineage. Apical localization of ezrin, a member of the ERM (ezrin, radixin, moesin) protein family, precedes the formation of cilia in tracheal epithelium in air-liquid interface conditions (Huang et al., 2003). We observed normal apical distribution of ezrin in ciliated cells of *Tmem16a* mutants (data not shown).

Clara cells of the murine tracheal epithelium can be identified by the presence of the secretoglobin *Scgb1a1* (Perl et al., 2005). We detected Clara cells throughout the tracheal epithelium of newborn wild type and *Tmem16a* mutant pups (Figure 3-4A,B). In particular, we detected *Scgb1a1*-expressing cells in regions of trachea that had undergone abnormal epithelial evagination.

In the mature pseudostratified epithelium of the mouse trachea, a population of basal cells can be identified by their expression of the transcription factor *p63* (Daniely et al., 2004). As a multipotent progenitor cell type of the proximal airways, basal cells are capable of restoring tracheal epithelial cell diversity following injury (Hong et al., 2004). In newborn mice, we observed a normal distribution of *p63*+ basal cells in *Tmem16a* mutant tracheal epithelium (Figure 3-4C,D).
Embryonic Tracheal Epithelium Stratification Is Lost in Tmem16a Mutants

Since we did not observe a change in proliferation, apoptosis or differentiation in Tmem16a mutant tracheal epithelium, we reasoned that the luminal expansion could result from an abnormal arrangement of epithelial cells. The mid-gestational tracheal epithelium of the mouse is reported to comprise 2 to 3 cell layers (Daniely et al., 2004). To assess tracheal epithelium organization in Tmem16a mutants, we performed immunofluorescence on transverse sections of Tmem16a mutant and wild type trachea with a Cdh1 antibody to identify basolateral epithelial cell plasma membranes. Sections were counterstained with 4',6-Diamidino-2'-phenylindole (DAPI) to reveal nuclei. In contrast to the stratified epithelium of the E14.5 wild type trachea, a majority of the nuclei in the mutant tracheae were located adjacent to the basement membrane (Figure 3-4E-H). As a result of this lateral arrangement of cells, the epithelium was observably thinner. These data support a mechanism in which the embryonic epithelium of Tmem16a mutants fails to achieve proper stratification and, as a result, an increase in epithelial circumference and expansion of the tracheal lumen occurs.

Discussion

Abnormal Morphogenesis of the Tracheal Epithelium in Tmem16a Mutants

We report that mice lacking the transmembrane protein TMEM16A demonstrate defects in organization of the tracheal epithelium during embryogenesis. The cells of the epithelium fail to stratify and most nuclei are located in close proximity to the basement membrane. The defective stratification is not the result of a global loss in apicobasal polarity or improper localization of proteins to the apical surface; in ciliated cells of Tmem16a mutant tracheae, both the cilia and the cytoskeletal linker protein ezrin were properly localized to the apical surface. Furthermore, we demonstrated normal differentiation of Clara cells, ciliated cells, and basal cells in mutant tracheae.
Previous experiments have shown that oriented and asymmetric cell divisions are necessary for stratification of the skin (Lechler and Fuchs, 2005). One possibility is that similar asymmetric cell divisions are also necessary for tracheal epithelial stratification and require TMEM16A. In support of this hypothesis, IST2, the only TMEM16 ortholog identified in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, has been shown to sort asymmetrically during budding (Takizawa et al., 2000). This protein, however, is distantly related to TMEM16A and does not necessarily share the same function or distribution.

One vertebrate paralog of TMEM16A, TMEM16E, has been localized primarily to intracellular membranes in cultures of myotubes (Mizuta et al., 2007). For the paralog TMEM16G (also known as NGEP), one splice isoform has been reported intracellularly while a second isoform has been identified on the plasma membrane (Bera et al., 2004). These data suggest that TMEM16A may function either at an intracellular membrane and/or at the plasma membrane. If TMEM16A does function at the plasma membrane, it is possible that this protein plays a role in mediating cell-cell contacts or intercellular communication. Interestingly, the paralog TMEM16G has been shown to promote adhesion between LNCaP cells in culture where it is localized to the apical and lateral surfaces (Das et al., 2007). A loss of adhesion between Tmem16a mutant cells could explain the lateral arrangement of cells and lack of stratification of Tmem16a mutant trachea epithelium.

**A Novel Etiology for Tracheomalacia in a Murine Model**

The formation of tracheal cartilage rings normally occurs in the ventrolateral mesenchyme around the tracheal epithelium during mid-embryogenesis. One consequence of the improper organization of Tmem16a mutant tracheal epithelium was an expansion of the epithelial circumference. This, in turn, caused evaginations of the tracheal epithelium into the surrounding mesenchyme that disrupted the chondrogenic condensations of the tracheal cartilage rings.
Instead of the C-shaped rings required to prevent the trachea from collapsing during normal respiration, multiple cartilaginous rudiments formed along the entire length of the trachea. This abnormal arrangement of tracheal cartilage contributed to the aerophagia, cyanosis, and failure to thrive of postnatal Tmem16a mutants. In humans, tracheomalacia is exacerbated by esophageal expansion during feeding and can lead to a failure to thrive similar to what we observed in Tmem16a mutant mice (Ahel et al., 2003).

In mice, previous reports have concluded that deletion of factors from the tracheal epithelium can result in malformation of the tracheal cartilage rings by disrupting paracrine signaling to the surrounding mesenchyme (Miller et al., 2004; Regnier et al., 2002). We propose that in addition to this established role of paracrine signaling between the epithelium and mesenchyme, the physical interaction of these two tissues also drastically affects tracheal development. We propose that tracheomalacia in Tmem16a mutants is the result of mechanical force exerted by an abnormal epithelial tube during development of the tracheal cartilage rings in the surrounding mesenchyme.

In humans, the diagnosis of tracheomalacia is complicated because its symptoms overlap with those of other pulmonary disorders and there is no single etiology for this condition. In many cases of tracheomalacia, the condition is self-limiting and conservative treatment is favored (Carden et al., 2005; Greenholz et al., 1986). In more severe cases, treatment including ventilation and surgery are required. In addition to identifying a novel etiology for tracheomalacia, the Tmem16a mutant mice provide a murine model of severe primary tracheomalacia that could be used to aid in the diagnosis and treatment of this condition.

Currently, very little is known about the embryology and molecular biology of normal tracheal development. We demonstrate that there is a requirement for stratification of the mid-
gestational tracheal epithelium in normal development and identify *Tmem16a* as a novel mediator of this process. Furthermore, we show that TMEM16A is required for normal development of the tracheal cartilage rings and that loss of this protein results in tracheomalacia.

**Experimental Procedures**

**Generation of a Null Allele of *Tmem16a***

Arms of homology flanking exon 12 of *Tmem16a* were PCR amplified from CJ.7 ES cell genomic DNA and cloned into an ES cell targeting vector containing a floxed PGK-neo cassette. The linearized targeting construct was electroporated into 129S1/SvImj derived CJ.7 ES cells (Swiatek and Gridley, 1993) and targeted clones were enriched for by selection with Geneticin (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) and FIAU (Moravek Biochemicals, Brea, CA). Southern blotting confirmed the correct targeting of one ES cell line that was used to generate chimeras by blastocyst injections. Germline transmission of the correctly targeted allele was confirmed by PCR. All experiments were approved by and performed according to the regulations of the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of Florida.

Dams or pups were sacrificed by cervical location or carbon dioxide asphyxiation, respectively, and embryos or tracheae were isolated in PBS prior to fixation overnight at 4°C in 4% paraformaldehyde. Samples were dehydrated, infiltrated with HistoWax (Leica Microsystems, Bannockburn, IL), and embedded in paraffin. 7μm sections were cut on a rotary microtome.

**Alcian Blue Staining of Cartilage**

Whole tracheae or dewaxed and rehydrated 7μm paraffin sections were stained in 0.03% alcian blue (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA) in 25% glacial acetic acid in ethanol. Whole mount samples were cleared by immersion in KOH and glycerol. Sections were counterstained in eosin, dehydrated, and mounted.
**RNA in situ Hybridization**

RNA in situ hybridizations were performed on whole lungs or 14µm cryosections according to an adapted standard protocol (Nieto et al., 1996). The plasmid used to generate antisense probe for Tmem16a has been described previously (Rock et al., 2007).

**Immunohistochemistry and Immunofluorescence**

Sections were dewaxed and rehydrated. Antigen retrieval was performed by microwaving slides for 20 minutes in 10mM citric acid (pH6.0) with 0.05% Tween-20 (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA). Primary antibodies were from Santa Cruz Biotechnologies (Santa Cruz, CA) and were used at the following concentrations: Rabbit anti-Sox9 at 1:200 (sc-20095), goat anti-Collagen Type II at 1:200 (sc-7764), goat anti-CC10 at 1:100 (sc-9772) (CC10 is also known as Scgb1a1), mouse anti-p63 at 1:200 (sc-8431). Other primary antibodies used included the following: rat anti-Cdh1 at 1:200 (U3254, Sigma, St. Louis, MO) and mouse anti-acetylated a-tubulin at 1:100 (Ab24610, Abcam, Cambridge, MA). p63 was visualized using the MOM kit and Sox9 and Collagen Type II were visualized using VectaStain ABC kits (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA) and metal-enhanced DAB (Pierce Biotechnology, Rockford, IL). Sections were counterstained with eosin or Richardson’s Azure II. Secondary antibodies for immunofluorescence were: Cy2-conjugated donkey anti goat, Cy3-conjugated donkey anti-rat, and Cy3-conjugated donkey anti-mouse (Jackson ImmunoResearch, West Grove, PA). 4',6-Diamidino-2'-phenylindole (DAPI, Pierce Biotechnology, Rockford, IL) was used as counterstain.

**Image Acquisition and Measurement of Luminal Circumference**

Images were acquired using a Leica DFC300 FX camera or Leica TCS SP2 confocal system (Leica Microsystems, Bannockburn, IL). For luminal measurement, images of histological sections of wild type and Tmem16a mutant tracheae were visualized in ImageJ.
(National Institutes of Health). The apical epithelial surface for each image was traced and converted from pixels to µm by measuring a micrometer in an identical manner. Single litters were analyzed to minimize variation due to staging and processing. Data shown is average +/- s.e.m.
Figure 3-1. *Tmem16a* is expressed in the developing tracheal epithelium. A. RNA *in situ* hybridization on wild type E10.5 cryosection demonstrated *Tmem16a* expression throughout the foregut endoderm and primary lung buds. Whole mount RNA *in situ* hybridization showed *Tmem16a* expression persisted in the epithelium of the trachea and conducting airways of the lung at E11.5 (B) and E12.5 (C). D. Section RNA *in situ* hybridization showed *Tmem16a* expression in the tracheal epithelium and the mesenchyme dorsal to the trachea (asterisk in D) at E12.5. Expression of *Tmem16a* in the tracheal epithelium continued at E14.5 (E) and E16.5 (F). Robust *Tmem16a* expression was also observed in the developing trachealis muscle at these stages (E,F). *Tmem16a* expression was also observed in the esophagus, aorta and thymus (D, E and data not shown). Abbreviations: Tr, trachea; Lb, lung bud; Es, esophagus; Ao, aorta; Th, thymus; Te, tracheal epithelium; Tm, trachealis muscle. Scale bars=100µm.
Figure 3-2. *Tmem16a* null mice die within the first month of life and do not complete normal tracheal development. A. Exon 12 of *Tmem16a* was replaced with a PGK-neo cassette by homologous recombination in embryonic stem cells. XbaI cleavage sites are marked by an “X.” Red line indicates probe used for Southern blotting to assess targeting of ES cell colonies. Properly targeted ES cells generated a 9.5kb XbaI fragment; the wild type XbaI fragment was 12.5kb. B. Greater than 90% of *Tmem16a* null pups died within 9 days of birth. *Tmem16a*+/+ n=19, *Tmem16a*+/− n=40, *Tmem16a*−/− n=22. C. *Tmem16a* null pups failed to thrive in the postnatal period. Data shown is mean weight +/- SEM. *Tmem16a*+/+ n=7, *Tmem16a*+/− n=19, *Tmem16a*−/− n=8. D. Ventral view of whole mount tracheae from 3 day-old (P3) wild type and *Tmem16a*−/− pups stained with alcian blue to reveal tracheal cartilage rings. Gaps are observed along the ventral aspect of mutant tracheae. Transverse sections of newborn *Tmem16a*−/− trachea stained with alcian blue and eosin demonstrated multiple lateral cartilage elements with intervening epithelial evaginations instead of a single ventrolateral cartilage ring (compare E and F). Bar=100µm.
Figure 3-3. Tracheal lumen expansion in *Tmem16a* mutants redirects chondrogenesis in the surrounding mesenchyme. At E12.5 and E13.5, Sox9-expressing cells encircled the ventrolateral aspect of wild type (A,B) and *Tmem16a* mutant (D,E) tracheae. This distribution persisted in wild type tracheae at E14.5 (C). At E14.5, the epithelium of *Tmem16a* mutant trachea was thinner and evaginated into the surrounding mesenchyme (F, arrows mark evaginations disrupting mesenchymal Sox9-positive condensations). A single population of chondrocytes is present in the ventrolateral mesenchyme of the while type trachea on E15.5 as demonstrated by type II collagen immunohistochemistry (G). At E15.5, multiple chondrocyte populations were separated by epithelial evaginations in *Tmem16a* mutant tracheae (H). I. The tracheal lumen was expanded in *Tmem16a* mutants when compared to control lumens at E13.5 (*Tmem16a*+/−) or E14.5 (*Tmem16a*+/− and *Tmem16a*+/+ combined). Data shown is average of two measurements +/- s.e.m. for each data point. Scale bars=100µm.
Figure 3-4. *Tmem16a* mutant epithelium contains Clara, basal, and ciliated cells, but lacks embryonic stratification. A. Acetylated α-tubulin and *Scgb1a1* reveal distribution of ciliated (red) and Clara cells (green) respectively in wild type newborn tracheal epithelium. B. Ciliated (red) and Clara cells (green) were present in *Tmem16a* mutant tracheal epithelium. However, only Clara cells and no ciliated cells were found in regions of epithelial evagination (arrow). C and D. Basal cells are identified by p63 immunohistochemistry in the epithelium of newborn wild type (C) and *Tmem16a* mutant (D) tracheae. E. *Cdh1* immunofluorescence and DAPI revealed epithelial cell membranes and nuclei, respectively, in wild type tracheal epithelium at E14.5. G. In wild type, the epithelium comprised 2-3 layers of cells. F. *Cdh1* immunofluorescence reveals a thinner epithelium in *Tmem16a* mutant tracheae at E14.5 with aberrant stratification (1-2 cell layers thick) and an expanded tracheal lumen. G and H are increased magnification of E and F. Dashed lines delineate the epithelial layer. Scale bars A-D=50µm; E,F=48µm; G-H=16µm.
CHAPTER 4
THE TMEM16 FAMILY OF PROTEINS

Members of the TMEM16 family of proteins characteristically contain eight predicted transmembrane domains with C- and N-termini facing the cytoplasm and a C-terminal domain of unknown function (DUF590 InterPro accession number IPR007632) (Katoh and Katoh, 2005) (Mizuta et al., 2007). One exception might be TMEM16G that is predicted to have 7 transmembrane domains (Bera et al., 2004). Very little is known about the in vivo expression patterns and functions of these genes. We have generated expression data for several murine TMEM16 genes and that data is reported here. Interestingly, we show that the expression of Tmem16a and Tmem16g overlap in multiple tissues. Although the precise functions of these proteins are unknown, at least three of the members of this family, TMEM16A, TMEM16E and TMEM16G, have influences on cell morphology (see Chapter 3 of this dissertation, (Tsutsumi et al., 2004), and (Das et al., 2007)).

Invertebrate TMEM16 Proteins

A single homolog, IST2, is found in the genome of the budding yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. In a high throughput screen of single gene mutations, IST2 mutants were shown to have a slightly increased tolerance to elevated concentrations of environmental NaCl (Entian et al., 1999). IST2 transcripts (and mRNAs from at least 23 other genes) are transported to the daughter cell during budding (Takizawa et al., 2000). In the daughter cell, IST2 is incorporated into the plasma membrane independently of the classical secretory pathway (Takizawa et al., 2000). An ancestral form of TMEM16 might therefore function at the plasma membrane to maintain osmotic homeostasis and is distributed asymmetrically during cell division.

Axs is one of four TMEM16 homologs reported in Drosophila melanogaster (Kramer and Hawley, 2003). AXS localizes to the endoplasmic reticulum and is recruited to the assembling
spindle microtubules of female meiotic germ cells. Furthermore, females expressing a mutant $Axs$ cDNA in the germline exhibit abnormal spindle formation and an increase in the frequency of X chromosome nondisjunction (Kramer and Hawley, 2003).

**Vertebrate TMEM16 Proteins**

Ten paralogs of the TMEM16 family are predicted in humans and mice: $Tmem16a$-$h$, $Tmem16k$, and $Trp53i5$ ($Tmem16h$ is identified in (Katoh and Katoh, 2005) and others are reviewed in (Galindo and Vacquier, 2005)). The remainder of this chapter will summarize what is known about the expression and functions of the vertebrate TMEM16 family members. In collaboration with Amel Gritli-Linde (Department of Oral Biochemistry, Sahlgrenska Academy at Göteborg University, Sweden), we have characterized expression of $Tmem16a$, $Tmem16c$, $Tmem16f$, $Tmem16h$ and $Tmem16k$ during craniofacial development. This data is summarized in Appendix B but is not part of this dissertation.

**$Tmem16a$**

**TMEM16A in cancer**

Gastrointestinal stromal tumors are currently diagnosed based on activating mutations in the $KIT$ tyrosine kinase receptor (West et al., 2004). These tumors are responsive to treatment with the receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor imatinib mesylate. Unfortunately, a portion of GISTs is not immunoreactive for KIT despite oncogenic mutations therein. In addition, some GISTs harbor mutations in the $PDGFRA$ and might also respond to imatinib mesylate treatment, but cannot be diagnosed by KIT immunoreactivity. Human $TMEM16A$ was first identified in a cDNA microarray designed to characterize the expression profiles of different soft tissue tumors (Nielsen et al., 2002). In a subsequent tissue microarray study, 98% of GISTs were positively identified with a TMEM16A antiserum ($TMEM16A$ was named $DOG1$ in this study, Discovered on GIST-1) (West et al., 2004). Even those GISTs immunonegative for KIT were identified by
TMEM16A immunoreactivity and so TMEM16A is currently under investigation as a diagnostic and therapeutic tool for GISTs (Espinosa et al., 2008).

Human *TMEM16A* is found at chromosomal location 11q13, a region frequently amplified in a variety of carcinomas including those of the mouth, head, neck, esophagus, lung, bladder, and breast (Huang et al., 2006). Historically, 11q13 studies focused on two genes, cyclin D1 and cortactin, because of their known cellular functions and high levels of expression in tumors (Schuuring, 1995). The upregulation of *TMEM16A* expression has recently been shown to precede the amplification of this region in many oral squamous cell carcinoma primary tumors and cell lines, indicating that this gene might also have a function in the progression of 11q13 amplified tumors (Huang et al., 2006).

**Expression of murine *Tmem16a***

Despite the clinical relevance of *TMEM16A*, very little is known about the mouse ortholog of this gene. We identified murine *Tmem16a* as a gene expressed specifically in the zone of polarizing activity during mouse limb development (Chapter 2 of this dissertation) (Rock et al., 2007). Another microarray experiment demonstrated a downregulation of *Tmem16a* in Runx2 null humeri at E14.5 (Hecht et al., 2007). That study also showed *Tmem16a* expression in the periosteum of the humeri of wild type mice.

We performed RNA *in situ* hybridizations on cryosections and whole mouse embryos at various developmental stages to determine the *in vivo* expression pattern of *Tmem16a*. *Tmem16a* was widely expressed at all stages examined (E10.5-E18.5) and there is no apparent bias of *Tmem16a* expression toward cells of any particular origin or fate.

At E10.5, *Tmem16a* expression was detected in the foregut endoderm (Figure 3-1A), and the mesenchyme of the mid- and hindgut (data not shown). At E12.5, expression was robust in the mesenchyme of the developing stomach (Figure 4-1A) and the mesenchyme surrounding the...
esophagus (Figures 3-1D and 4-1A). This mesenchymal esophageal expression continued on E14.5 (Figure 4-1B,C), E15.5 (Figure 4-1D) and E16.5 (Figure 4-1H). Lower levels of \textit{Tmem16a} expression were detected in the esophageal epithelium at E16.5 (Figure 4-1H).

Other sites of epithelial \textit{Tmem16a} expression included the lung (Figures 3-1B,C, 4-1H, and 5-1), trachea (Figures 3-1, 4-1, and 5-1A,B), thymus (Figure 4-1C), vibrissae (Figure 4-1G), submandibular salivary gland (Figure 4-1J) and the lacrimal glands (Figure 4-1K). It is interesting to note that several of these epithelial structures are branched. In addition, specialized sensory epithelia expressed \textit{Tmem16a}. These sites of expression included the retina (Figure 4-1E), the inner ear in the presumptive organ of Corti (Figure 4-1F), and the olfactory epithelium (data not shown).

\textit{Tmem16a} expression was previously reported in the periosteum of the humerus at E14.5 (Hecht et al., 2007). We detected \textit{Tmem16a} expression in the periostea of a number of bones including the basioccipital at E15.5 (Figure 4-1D) and the scapula and vertebrae at E16.5 (Figure 4-1I). The mesenchyme around the larynx and rostral trachea expressed \textit{Tmem16a} at E14.5 (Figure 4-1B,D). This mesenchyme undergoes chondrogenesis to form the persistent cricoid and thyroid cartilages. Also of mesodermal origin, the walls of blood vessels, including the aorta, expressed \textit{Tmem16a} (Figure 4-1A,C,H).

\textit{Tmem16c}

The apical ectodermal ridge (AER) of the vertebrate limb bud produces members of the fibroblast growth family of proteins that are essential for outgrowth of the limb (Boulet et al., 2004; Sun et al., 2002). We generated an antisense probe to detect \textit{Tmem16c} transcripts in mouse embryos. Expression of \textit{Tmem16c} was observed specifically in the AER of the mouse limb by whole mount RNA \textit{in situ} hybridization. \textit{Tmem16c} expression was also detected in the somites at E10.5 by whole mount RNA \textit{in situ} hybridization. Preliminary section RNA \textit{in situ}
hybridizations suggest that Tmem16c is expressed in the epithelium of the gut at E12.5, but the specificity of this result must be confirmed.

**Tmem16e**

Gnathodiaphyseal dysplasia is a human disease characterized by bone fragility and cemento-osseous lesions of the jawbone (Tsutsumi et al., 2004). TMEM16E was identified as a potential genetic determinant of gnathodiaphyseal dysplasia by linkage analysis (TMEM16E is also called GDD1- gnathodiaphyseal dysplasia 1). Initially, two affected families with missense mutations in a conserved cysteine of TMEM16E were characterized (Tsutsumi et al., 2004). This is the only member of the TMEM family that has been associated with a human disease other than cancer.

An initial characterization of expression in adult mouse and human tissues demonstrated highest levels of TMEM16E expression in skeletal muscle and several bones including the calveria, femur, and mandible (Tsutsumi et al., 2004). Expression of murine Tmem16e was shown to increase during skeletal muscle differentiation in culture and decrease during osteoblast differentiation from a mesenchymal precursor cell line (Tsutsumi et al., 2005). Transfection of an epitope-tagged hTMEM16E construct resulted in localization of the tag to the endoplasmic reticulum. Interestingly, transfection of the tagged gnathodiaphyseal dysplasia-causing (mutant) alleles resulted in an abnormal rounded cell morphology and a decrease in adhesion (Tsutsumi et al., 2004).

The expression of Tmem16e was recently characterized in detail during mouse development (Mizuta et al., 2007). Between E9.5 and E11.5, Tmem16e expression was reported in the myotomal compartment of the somite. At E12.5, expression was detected in skeletal muscle progenitors in the limb bud and the perichondrium of the vertebral bodies. In addition, Tmem16e expression was detected in the intervertebral disks along the entire rostrocaudal axis.
At E14.5, *Tmem16e* expression was detected in the perichondria of the developing tarsals/carpals and phalanges. Immunohistochemistry with an antibody recognizing TMEM16E demonstrated protein in the long bones of the limbs that was not detected at the RNA level. Hypertrophic chondrocytes, osteoblasts, and articular chondrocytes demonstrated TMEM16E immunoreactivity in adult mice, but TMEM16E was restricted to prehypertrophic chondrocytes in late embryonic bones (Mizuta et al., 2007).

This antibody also showed the presence TMEM16E in differentiated, multi-nucleated myotubes but not in mononuclear myoblasts in culture. Furthermore, increased levels of TMEM16E were detected in the skeletal muscles of mice deficient for dystrophin (Mizuta et al., 2007). In cardiac muscle, TMEM16E was found in both the cytoplasm and within the sarcolemma. Fractionation experiments with this antibody demonstrated highest levels of TMEM16E protein in intracellular membranes of cultured myotubes (Golgi apparatus, secretory vesicles, endosomes, endoplasmic reticulum and trans-Golgi network) with lower levels on the plasma membrane (Mizuta et al., 2007).

*Tmem16f*

**A gene trap allele of *Tmem16f***

In contrast to targeted deletions made in embryonic stem (ES) cells such as the one we constructed for *Tmem16a*, mutations generated by random insertions in ES cells are now available from public resources for a number of genes. This approach is known as gene trapping. To generate a gene trapped ES cell line, an ES cell culture is either electroporated with a promoterless selection cassette (such as neomycin resistance) or transduced with a retrovirus carrying a selection cassette. In both cases, random integration of the transgenic construct into the genome will render its expression under the control of the endogenous cis-acting elements. Translation will fuse the transgene with any upstream gene product from the endogenous locus.
In some instances, depending on the location of insertion within a gene, this will produce hypomorphic (less functional) or null (nonfunctional) allele of the gene in which the insertion took place. The sites of such integrations are usually determined by 5’ RACE and published in databases for distribution (http://www.genetrap.org/).

We obtained a gene trapped allele of murine Tmem16f, Tmem16f^{RRF355} (Figure 4-3A,B). Southern blot of ES genomic DNA confirmed integration of a β-galactosidase/neomycin fusion construct (β-geo) had occurred in an intron of Tmem16f (Figure 4-3C). These cells were injected into blastocysts to generate chimeric animals. Germline transmission of the gene trapped allele was confirmed by PCR on tail DNA samples from the progeny of these chimeras. Embryos heterozygous for the gene trapped allele (Tmem16f^{RRF355/+}) were generated by breeding chimeras to wild type mice and sacrificing dams during pregnancy.

The expression of β-galactosidase is presumably under the control of the Tmem16f promoter and enhancer elements in Tmem16f^{RRF355/+} mice. Therefore, visualizing β-galactosidase distribution in these embryos is an indirect method of detecting the temporospatial pattern of Tmem16f expression. Using the chromogenic substrate X-gal, we determined the distribution of β-galactosidase in E14.5 Tmem16f^{RRF355/+} mice.

Developmentally speaking, bones arise by one of two mechanisms (reviewed in (de Crombrugghe et al., 2001)). During intramembranous ossification, which occurs in many bones of the head, mesenchymal condensations differentiate directly into osteoblasts and deposit bone matrix. Endochondral ossification produces most bones of the body including the long bones of the limbs. In this process, mesenchymal condensations differentiate into cartilage intermediates that ultimately die and are invaded by osteoblasts and vascularized (reviewed in (Provot and Schipani, 2005)).
X-gal staining Tmem16f<sup>RRF355/+</sup> embryos on E14.5 suggested that Tmem16f was expressed in a number of developing bones of the head including the maxilla, mandible, parietal, and zygomatic bones (Figure 4-4A). These bones arise from intramembranous ossification and do not involve a cartilage intermediate. Also in the head, X-gal staining was detected in the mesenchymal component of the submandibular gland (where we previously detected transcription of Tmem16a (Figures 4-4A and 4-1J).

Interestingly, the centers of ossification in the long bones of the fore- and hindlimbs also exhibited β-galactosidase activity on E14.5 (Figure 4-4B). These bones arise by the process of endochondral ossification. Expression of Tmem16f was also detected in the developing ribs on E14.5 (Figure 4-4C). Tmem16f expression in the submandibular gland and both types of bones, those arising by intramembranous ossification as well as those arising by endochondral ossification, suggests that Tmem16f might have a general role in mesenchymal organization or differentiation. Many bones did not exhibit Tmem16f expression detectable by X-gal staining (e.g. the phalanges) but might express other members of the TMEM16 family of proteins with similar functions (Figure 4-4B and data not shown).

It is not known if Tmem16f<sup>RRF355</sup> is a null or hypomorphic allele of Tmem16f. This is a possibility since the insertion of the β-galactosidase transgenic construct includes a termination codon and polyadenylation sequence 3’ of β-geo (Figure 4-3B). Insertion of this construct should result in the termination of translation before 6 out of the 8 predicted transmembrane domains of TMEM16F. This termination is also 5’ of the DUF590 domain that is conserved in all of the TMEM16 proteins. In the future, this allele might be used to determine the function of Tmem16f in vertebrate development by generating Tmem16f<sup>RRF355/RRF355</sup> mice.
**RNA in situ hybridization analysis of Tmem16f expression**

We performed section RNA in situ hybridizations on mouse cryosections to further characterize the expression of Tmem16f in vivo. Interestingly, Tmem16f expression overlapped in a number of sites with the expression of Tmem16a.

On embryonic day 16.5, expression of Tmem16f was detected in the periosteum of the vertebral bodies (Figure 4-5A). This expression has been described for Tmem16a (Figure 4-1I) and Tmem16e (Mizuta et al., 2007). Another site of overlapping expression of Tmem16f and Tmem16a was the submandibular gland (compare figures 4-5B and 4-1J). The epithelium and lamina propria of the larynx expressed moderate levels of Tmem16f at this stage as did the epithelium and developing muscularis externa of the esophagus (Figure 4-5A). The dorsal root ganglia expressed high levels of Tmem16f at E16.5 (Figure 4-5A). A number of skeletal muscles and a subset of cells in the ventral spinal cord demonstrated Tmem16f expression at E16.5 (Figure 4-5A).

Tmem16f expression was detected by RNA in situ hybridization on E14.5 in the epithelium of the lung and at lower levels in the mesenchyme of the lung (Figure 4-5C). At this stage, Tmem16a expression is apparently more proximally biased in the epithelium of the lung while Tmem16f expression is detected more distally (compare Figures 5-1E,F and 4-5C). Tmem16f transcripts were also detected in the periostea of the vertebral bodies at E14.5 (Figure 4-5C).

**Tmem16g**

A microarray screen (Kiessling et al., 2005) and an in silico screen (Bera et al., 2004), both designed to identify prostate-specific transcripts, identified TMEM16G (named D-TMPP and NGEP) as a gene transcribed specifically in the prostate. One group went on to characterize two transcripts in both normal and cancerous prostate epithelial tissue and cell lines (Bera et al., 2004). Interestingly, they showed that a short transcript was localized intracellularly while a
longer transcript was localized to the plasma membrane. In contrast to the eight predicted transmembrane domains of other TMEM16 family members, TMEM16G is predicted to comprise seven. This arrangement necessitates that one terminus be extracellular.

A polyclonal TMEM16G antibody was generated and used to localize TMEM16G to the plasma membranes of cancer cells (LNCaP) transfected with a cDNA construct of the long TMEM16G transcript (Das et al., 2007). TMEM16G was also detected with this antibody in the epithelia of normal and malignant prostates. The plasma membrane localization of TMEM16G was most intense at regions of cell:cell contract. When TMEM16G was transfected into LNCaP cells, large clumps of cells formed and the cellular morphology of those cells not in clumps was drastically altered (cells became round and less spread out) (Das et al., 2007).

**Experimental Procedures**

**RNA in situ Hybridization**

RNA in situ hybridizations were performed according to an adapted standard protocol (Nieto et al., 1996). The generation of the antisense riboprobes used is summarized in Appendix C.

**Generation of Tmem16f<sup>RRF355/+</sup> mice**

Gene trapped embryonic stem cell clone RRF355 was purchased from Bay Genomics (San Francisco, CA http://www.genetrap.org/). These cells were expanded according to standard culture technique. Targeting of the Tmem16f locus was confirmed by Southern blot. Briefly, RRF355 and wild type CJ-7 ES cell genomic DNA preparations were independently digested with EcoRV or XbaI and electrophoresed on agarose gels. The digested genomes were then transferred to nitrocellulose membranes and probed with a radioactive probe corresponding to a region of the Tmem16f genomic sequence near the reported site of insertion (synthesized from Harfe lab plasmid BH198).
Once insertion of the transgenic construct into *Tmem16f* was confirmed, RRF355 ES cells were injected into blastocysts to generate chimeric offspring. These chimeras were bred to wild type females to generate *Tmem16f<sup>RRF355/+</sup>* mice that were identified by PCR using the oligonucleotides listed in Appendix A.

**X-gal Visualization of β-galactosidase**

To perform X-gal visualization β-galactosidase distribution in vivo, embryos were isolated on E14.5 and fixed overnight at 4°C in 0.2% PFA. Embryos were washed in PBS and concentrated lacZ rinse buffer prior to staining in X-gal according to standard protocol at room temperature for 16 hours.
Figure 4-1. *Tmem16a* is widely expressed during mouse development. Abbreviations: Es, esophagus; Tr, trachea; Ao, aorta; St, stomach; UR, urogenital ridge; LC, laryngeal cartilages; Th, thymus; Ph, pharynx; Bo, basioccipital bone; Re, retina; IE, inner ear; RS, root sheath; Lu, lung; At, atrium; Sc, scapula; Ve, vertebra; SG, submandibular gland; LG, lacrimal gland. Scale bars: A-E,H=100µm, F,G,J,K=50µm, I=200µm.
Figure 4-2. *Tmem16c* is expressed in the mouse embryo. A. Whole mount RNA *in situ* hybridization showing *Tmem16c* expression in the apical ectodermal ridge at E10.5. B. RNA *in situ* hybridization showing *Tmem16c* expression in the somites and neural tube on E10.5. Abbreviations: A, anterior; P, posterior; R, rostral; C, caudal.
Figure 4-3. *Tmem16f*<sup>RRF355/+</sup> is a gene trapped allele of *Tmem16f*. A. A portion of the endogenous murine *Tmem16f* locus. B. Gene trapped allele *Tmem16f*<sup>RRF355/+</sup> showing insertion of β-geo in the intron between exons 12 and 13. C. Southern blot of wild type (CJ.7) and *Tmem16f*<sup>RRF355/+</sup> embryonic stem cell genomic DNA digested with EcoRV or XbaI and probed with “probe I.” A second, smaller band in the *Tmem16f*<sup>RRF355/+</sup> lane digested with EcoRV suggested the introduction of an EcoRV cleavage site in this fragment by insertion of the β-geo cassette. Probe 1 is Harfe lab plasmid BH198. Primers used to genotype alleles are shown by arrows and listed in Appendix A. Abbreviations: X, XbaI cleavage site; RV, EcoRV cleavage site; B, BamHI cleavage site; En2, engrafted 2 intron sequence included in selection cassette; SA-β-geo, splice acceptor and fusion of coding sequences of neomycin resistance and β-galactosidase; p-ad, poly-adenylation.
Figure 4-4. X-gal staining of Tmem16f<sup>RRF355/+</sup> embryos at E14.5. A. β-galactosidase activity was detected in bones of the head forming via intramembranous ossification and the submandibular gland. B. β-galactosidase activity was detected in the radius, ulna, and humerus developing via endochondral ossification. C. The ribs of E14.5 Tmem16f<sup>RRF355/+</sup> demonstrated β-galactosidase activity at E14.5. Abbreviations: par, parietal; max, maxilla; zyg, zygomatic; man, mandible; smg, submandibular gland; hu, humerus; ra, radius; ul, ulna; r, rib.
Figure 4-5. *Tmem16f* expression in the mouse embryo detected by RNA *in situ* hybridization. 
A. *Tmem16f* expression in a transverse cryosection of an E16.5 mouse embryo. 
B. Cryosection of mouse submandibular gland on E16.5 showing expression *Tmem16f*. 
C. *Tmem16f* expression at E14.5. Abbreviations: Ve, vertebral body; DRG, dorsal root ganglion; Es, esophagus; La, larynx; SG, submandibular gland; Lu, lung; At, atrium. Scale bars A=200µm, B,C=100µm.
CHAPTER 5
IMPAIRED POSTNATAL LUNG DEVELOPMENT IN TMEM16A MUTANT MICE

Introduction

The mammalian lung is intricately patterned during development as one strategy to efficiently exchange the volumes of gases necessary to support metazoan life on land. In mice, lung development is initiated approximately 9.5 days after conception and continues well into postnatal life as the surface area for gas exchange increases through the process of alveolarization and the lung responds to environmental cues (Warburton et al., 2000) (Burri, 2006).

Two epithelial buds evaginate from the ventral foregut endoderm and grow into the surrounding splanchnic mesenchyme around embryonic day (E) 9.5 (Warburton et al., 2000). These epithelial buds and surrounding mesenchyme signal to one another in a series of iterative branching events during the pseudoglandular stage of lung development (E9.5-E16.5) that result in a tree-like organ with larger conducting airways rostrally and successively smaller airways at the periphery. Branching morphogenesis continues in mice until the most distal epithelial buds dilate into terminal saccules during the canalicular and saccular stages of lung development (E16.5-E17.5 and E15.7-P5, respectively). The mesenchyme is reduced and the epithelium flattens in intimate juxtaposition to a capillary network to facilitate gas exchange. In both mice and humans alveolarization occurs postnatally (P5-P30 in mice) as septae subdivide these terminal saccules into hundreds of millions of alveoli (Burri, 2006).

The cyanotic appearance of Tmem16a null embryos and the expression of Tmem16a in the developing lung led us to speculate that there might be a lung defect in Tmem16a mutants (see Chapter 3 of this dissertation). We found that Tmem16a mutant lungs failed to complete the process of alveolarization after birth. By P3, those mutants surviving demonstrated an
emphysematous phenotype characterized by distal airway enlargement. However, malnutrition has been reported to cause emphysema in humans and animal models (reviewed in (Kalenga, 1997)) and so the emphysema observed in *Tmem16a* mutants might be a consequence of their failure to thrive. We did not observe any defects in differentiation in *Tmem16a* mutant lungs.

**Results**

*Tmem16a* is Expressed in a Dynamic Pattern in the Developing Murine Lung

As described previously in this dissertation, whole mount and section RNA *in situ* hybridizations detected *Tmem16a* expression in the epithelium of the trachea and the primary lung buds from E10.5-E12.5 (Figures 3-1 and 5-1A,B). Expression was observed in the proximal conducting airways at E11.5 and E12.5, but was absent from the distal tips of the epithelial buds. Whole mount RNA *in situ* hybridization revealed a similar pattern of *Tmem16a* expression in E13.5 lungs (Figure 5-1C,D).

To determine the expression pattern of *Tmem16a* in highly branched E14.5 lungs, we performed RNA *in situ* hybridization on cryosections of wild type lungs. At this stage, *Tmem16a* expression was detected most strongly in the epithelium of branchpoints and the epithelium between branchpoints and the most distal epithelium of the buds (Figure 5-1E,F). Low levels of *Tmem16a* expression were occasionally observed in the epithelium of more proximal conducting airways but expression was never observed in the most distal dilated terminal buds.

In stark contrast to earlier stages of development, RNA *in situ* hybridization on E18.5 lung cryosections revealed *Tmem16a* expression biased to the most distal epithelium (Figure 5-1G,H). At high magnification, it is apparent that a subset of distal epithelial cells expresses *Tmem16a* at high levels (Figure 5-1I). *Tmem16a* expression was not detected in the mesenchyme of the lung at any stage examined.
**Tmem16a Mutant Lungs Fail to Develop Alveoli**

After birth, the terminal saccules of the lung undergo the process of alveolarization in order to increase the surface area available for gas exchange (Prodhan and Kinane, 2002) (Burri, 2006). At birth, the lungs from Tmem16a mutants were histologically indistinguishable from those of wild type animals (compare Figure 5-2 A and D). This suggested that branching morphogenesis and the formation of terminal sacs had occurred normally in the absence of Tmem16a.

At P3, we noticed a thinning of the parenchyma in the lungs of Tmem16a mutants accompanied by a decrease in the number of septae (compare Figure 5-2B and E). Although most Tmem16a mutants die within 9 days of birth (see Chapter 3), those surviving 21 days exhibited a severe disruption in alveolar formation (compare Figure 5-2C and F). To determine the cause of this defect, we characterized apoptosis and proliferation in Tmem16a mutants before birth (at E18.5), but were unable to identify any change from the wild type condition. We observed an increase in apoptosis at P3 in mutant lungs, but reasoned that this might be secondary to their failure to thrive (data not shown). Caloric restriction in mice has been shown to trigger cell death in alveoli via a variety of pathways (Massaro et al., 2004).

**Differentiation Is Not Affected in Tmem16a Mutant Lungs**

The mature lung is reported to comprise at least 40 morphologically distinct cell types (Warburton et al., 1998). To further characterize the emphysematous defect we observed in Tmem16a mutant lungs, we immunohistologically characterized differentiation of the major cellular constituents of the lung. In the most distal airways of the lung, the alveoli, there exist two epithelial cell types. The squamous type I alveolar epithelial cells (AEC) are the primary sites of gas exchange and cover 95% of the alveolar surface area (Berthiaume et al., 2006). The cuboidal type II AECs, among other functions, synthesize and secrete pulmonary surfactant that
is essential to the normal physiology of the lung (Fehrenbach, 2001). In addition, at least a subpopulation of type II AECs is a progenitor population in the mature lung capable of transdifferentiation into type I AECs in response to injury (Evans et al., 1975).

To determine if type II AECs had a normal distribution in the lungs of $Tmem16a$ mutants, we performed RNA in situ hybridizations with a riboprobe to the surfactant associated protein C ($Sftpc$) (Warburton et al., 2000). Newborn $Tmem16a$ mutant and wild type lungs had similar distributions of $Sftpc$-expressing cells at all stages examined (Figure 5-3A-F). As alveolarization proceeded, $Sftpc$-expressing cells in wild type and mutant lungs became localized to the corners of alveoli, consistent with published type II AEC distribution (Bhaskaran et al., 2007). Although the number of type II AECs at P8 and P15 is apparently lower in $Tmem16a$ mutant lungs than wild type, this was possibly a function of a general decrease in parenchyma rather than a type II AEC-specific defect (Figure 5-3E,F). To address this possibility, we performed Northern blots with RNA from postnatal wild type and $Tmem16a$ mutant lungs and did not observe a reduction in the amount of $Sftpc$ RNA (data not shown).

$T1\alpha$ (also known as glycoprotein 38 or GP38) is a glycoprotein expressed at high levels on the membranes of type I AECs (Eblaghie et al., 2006). We used an antibody to $T1\alpha$ in order to identify this cell type. Type I AECs visualized by this method lined the lungs of both wild type and mutant newborn lungs (Figure 5-4A,B).

Nkx2.1 (also known as Titf1 or Ttf-1) is a homedomain transcription factor expressed in the lung and thyroid (both foregut endoderm derivatives) and parts of the brain (Minoo et al., 1999). Late in gestation and postnatally, Nkx2.1 is detected in the nuclei of distal epithelial cells of the lung (type I and type II AECs). We detected Nkx2.1 positive cells by immunohistochemistry in control and $Tmem16a$ mutant lungs at E18.5 with similar distributions.
and abundances (Figure 5-5A,B). Notably, the Nkx2.1 labeled nuclei in Tmem16a mutants were rounder than the oblong nuclei labeled in the wild type sample (compare Figure 5-5A and B). It is not presently clear if this is an artifact of tissue processing (i.e. inflation fixation, see Experimental Procedures) or a real consequence of TMEM16A deficiency in distal epithelial cells. The latter is an attractive possibility given the effect of TMEM16A on tracheal epithelial morphology (see Chapter 3) and the influence of TMEM16E and TMEM16G on cellular morphology (Das et al., 2007) (Tsutsumi et al., 2004). At P3, we detected fewer Nkx2.1 positive cells in the lungs of Tmem16a mutants (Figure 5-5C.D). Similarly to type II AECs, it is possible that this difference is attributable to a general decrease in lung parenchyma and is not specific to Nkx2.1-positive cells.

During the alveolar stage of lung development, a population of smooth muscle cells migrates into the nascent alveoli and synthesizes elastin, the primary component of the pulmonary extracellular matrix (Lindahl et al., 1997). The failure of this process in the absence of the PDGFRA results in a failure of alveolar septation. To localize this population of cells during terminal lung development of Tmem16a mutants, we performed RNA in situ hybridization with a probe for tropoelastin at P15. Although already emphysematous, tropoelastin-expressing cells were found in the alveoli of Tmem16a mutant lungs with relatively normal distribution (Figure 5-6B).

Gas exchange in the lung necessitates an intimate association between the alveoli and the capillary network. We used an antibody against PECAM-1 (platelet-endothelial cell adhesion molecule-1 or CD31) to immunofluorescently label endothelial cells in the lungs of Tmem16a mutants and wild type pups. The distribution of endothelial cells in the walls of the alveoli of Tmem16a mutants resembled that observed in wild type lungs at P7 (Figure 5-6C,D).
More proximally in the lung, other types of epithelial cells are found. These include the non-ciliated secretory Clara cells and ciliated columnar epithelial cells (Rawlins and Hogan, 2006). We observed a normal distribution of Clara cells in the proximal airways of \textit{Tmem16a} mutants by immunolocalizing the most abundant secretoglobin they synthesize, \textit{Scgb1a1} (also known as CC10 or CCSP) (Figure 5-6EF).

\textbf{Discussion}

Mammalian lung development occurs in four distinct stages (reviewed in (Warburton et al., 2000)). The rapid generation of millions of alveoli occurs primarily after birth in mice and humans and is essential to support life on land. A better understanding of this process will yield therapies for premature infants and might reveal unrecognized regenerative potential for emphysematous patients.

\textit{Tmem16a} mutant mice surviving into the postnatal alveolar stage of lung development do not complete alveolar septation. This septation defect is not coupled with any defect in differentiation that we observed. Furthermore, we did not detect a prenatal change in proliferation or apoptosis of mutant lungs. It is possible that the postnatal failure to thrive of \textit{Tmem16a} mutants contributes to their pulmonary emphysema. During World War II, physicians documented emphysema in starved humans and this phenomenon has been validated by a number of animal models (Kalenga, 1997). If the emphysema in \textit{Tmem16a} mutants is coupled to their failure to thrive in the postnatal period, it is obvious that a number of other mutants with a similar combination of phenotypes warrant reevaluation.

The emphysema observed in \textit{Tmem16a} mutants might be a direct consequence of (or at least partly attributable to) the removal of \textit{Tmem16a} from the lung. One way to assess this possibility is through the generation of a conditional allele of \textit{Tmem16a}. Using a pulmonary epithelium-specific Cre (Perl et al., 2002) in combination with such a conditional allele would
ablate *Tmem16a* specifically from the lung. Any defect observed in these animals would be the direct result of *Tmem16a*-deficiency in the lung; *Tmem16a* would be normally expressed in other tissues. It is worth noting that at least one other member of the TMEM16 family of proteins, TMEM16F, is expressed in the developing lung (Figure 4-5C). In the future, mice lacking multiple members of this family (that might have the same or similar functions) might contribute to the understanding of their functions in locations where they are coexpressed.

**Experimental Procedures**

**Sample Collection and Preparation**

The generation of *Tmem16a* mutants was described previously (see Chapter 3). Following sacrifice, lungs were dissected from wild type or *Tmem16a* mutant embryos or pups. For histological and immunohistochemical specimens, lungs were inflated with 4% paraformaldehyde to a transpulmonary pressure of 20cm H$_2$O for 30 minutes before fixation overnight at 4ºC in PFA and subsequent paraffin embedding according to a standard protocol. Samples used for RNA *in situ* hybridizations were harvested in DEPC-treated PBS, fixed in 4% PFA overnight at 4ºC, and embedded for cryosectioning according to a standard protocol. Samples used for PECAM immunofluorescence were fixed in a commercial zinc fixative (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA) instead of PFA overnight at 4ºC prior to embedding in paraffin.

**RNA *in situ* Hybridization**

RNA *in situ* hybridizations were performed according to an adapted standard protocol (Nieto et al., 1996). The generation of the antisense riboprobes used is summarized in Appendix C.

**Histology, Immunohistochemistry and Immunofluorescence**

7µm sections were dewaxed and rehydrated. Hematoxylin and eosin was performed according to a standard protocol.
For immunohistochemistry and immunofluorescence, antigen retrieval was performed by microwaving samples in 10mM citric acid pH 6.0 for 20 minutes. For immunohistochemistry, endogenous peroxidases were blocked in 3% hydrogen peroxide. Primary antibodies used were: hamster anti-T1α (Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, used at 1:100), mouse anti-Nkx2.1 (Thermo Scientific, used at 1:50), rat anti-PECAM (BD Pharmingen, used at 1:500), and goat anti-CC10 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, used at 1:100). T1α was visualized with TSA kit (Perkin Elmer) and metal-enhanced DAB (Pierce). Nkx2.1 was visualized with MOM kit (Vector Laboratories) and metal-enhanced DAB. Secondary antibodies used for immunofluorescence were Cy3-conjugated donkey anti-rat and Cy3-conjugated donkey anti-goat (Jackson Immunoresearch). Counterstains used were Richardson’s Azure II (for T1α immunohistochemistry), eosin (for Nkx2.1 immunohistochemistry), and DAPI (Pierce Biotechnology, for immunofluorescence). Images were acquired using a Leica DFC300 FX camera.
Figure 5-1. *Tmem16a* is expressed in the developing lung. Whole mount RNA *in situ* hybridizations showing *Tmem16a* expression at A. E11.5, B. E12.5, and C. and D. E13.5. Notice expression of *Tmem16a* in the proximal, conducting airway epithelium but not in the most distal tip epithelia. E. Section RNA *in situ* hybridization showing expression of *Tmem16a* in the lung epithelium but not in the most distal epithelium. F. Higher magnification of E. G. Expression of *Tmem16a* in the distal epithelium of E18.5 lungs. H. Higher magnification of G. I. Higher magnification of H.
Figure 5-2. Alveolar septation defect in Tmem16a<sup>−/−</sup> mice. A-C. Paraffin sections from wild type or Tmem16a<sup>−/−</sup> lungs stained at A. P0, B. P3, and C. P21 with hematoxylin and eosin to demonstrate normal alveolar septation. D. Histological sections of Tmem16a<sup>−/−</sup> lungs at P0 demonstrate normal prenatal lung development. At E. P3 and F. P21, the lung parenchyma was much thinner in Tmem16a mutants and the distal airways were larger, suggesting a failure in the septation of alveoli. Scale bar=50µm.
Figure 5-3. Type II alveolar epithelial cells in Tmem16a mutant lungs. A-C. RNA in situ hybridization using a Sftpc probe identifies the normal distribution of type II AECs at A. P0, B. P8, and C. P15. D-F. Sftpc-expressing type II AECs are found in the lungs of Tmem16a mutants at D. P0, E. P8, and F. P15.
Figure 5-4. Type I alveolar epithelial cells in Tmem16a mutant lungs.  A. Immunohistochemistry shows the glycoprotein T1α on the membranes of type I AECs in wild type newborn lungs.  B. A similar distribution of T1α in Tmem16a mutant newborn lungs suggests normal development of type I AECs had occurred. Scale bar=100µm.
Figure 5-5. Nkx2.1 marks distal epithelial cells in wild type and Tmem16a mutant lungs. A. Nkx2.1 immunohistochemistry reveals nuclei of distal epithelial cells in wild type lungs at E18.5. B. Nkx2.1-positive cells have a normal distribution in Tmem16a mutant lungs at E18.5. Notice their rounded morphology (see text). C. Nkx2.1-positive cells in wild type lung at P3. D. Nkx2.1-positive cells in Tmem16a mutant lung at P3 with distribution similar to that of wild type lung. Notice the enlarged distal airways and the thin parenchyma of the mutant lung. Scale bars=100µm.
Figure 5-6. Other markers of differentiation in Tmem16a mutant lungs. Tropoelastin-expressing myofibroblasts identified by RNA in situ hybridization in A. wild type and B. Tmem16a mutant lungs at P15. PECAM immunofluorescence revealed the endothelium of the capillary network in C. wild type and D. Tmem16a mutant lungs at P7. Scgb1a1 immunofluorescence revealed Clara cells in the conducting airways of E. wild type and F. Tmem16a mutant lungs at P19. Scale bars=200µm.
CHAPTER 6
CONCLUDING REMARKS

By combining two powerful techniques, targeted transgenesis of the mouse genome and microarray technology, we were able to identify six genes expressed in the mouse limb that had not been previously reported (Chapter 2). At least four of these genes are expressed in domains that overlap with the ZPA, but their functions there remain unknown.

To investigate the function of Tmem16a in vertebrate development, we generated a null mouse allele of this gene (Chapter 3). Tmem16a null mice (as well as mice null for Tcfap2b and Hlxb9- other genes identified by our screen) did not exhibit patterning defects of the limb. It is possible that this is attributable to compensation by other members of these gene families. In Chapter 4 of this dissertation, we showed that the expression patterns of Tmem16a and Tmem16f overlapped in a number of developing tissues including the vertebral periostea, the submandibular salivary glands, the muscularis externa of the esophagus, and the lung epithelium. Given the degree of conservation between these proteins (~40% identical at the amino acid level), the mutation of one might be compensated for by the function of another in sites where they are coexpressed. To further characterize the functions of this family in the future, it might be necessary to delete combinations of multiple family members.

We have demonstrated that the mid-gestational epithelium of Tmem16a mutant tracheae fails to stratify (see Chapter 3). We currently propose that epithelial cells from which Tmem16a has been deleted do not correctly form the cell:cell contacts required to achieve stratification. In support of this hypothesis, transfection of the related family member TMEM16G (that localizes to sites of cell:cell contact) into an epithelial cancer cell line alters their morphology and causes aberrant clumps of cells (Tsutsumi et al., 2004). This suggests that members of this family can promote intercellular adhesion. Another possibility is that asymmetric cell divisions that self-
renew the basal layer and generate suprabasal cells required for stratification are aberrant in
*Tmem16a* mutant tracheal epithelium (for an example of asymmetric cell divisions in
stratification, see (Lechler and Fuchs, 2005)). The single homolog of *Tmem16a* in yeast, *IST2*,
has been shown to sort asymmetrically during budding (Takizawa et al., 2000). A final
possibility is that deletion of *Tmem16a* interferes with the cytoskeleton of the tracheal epithelium
and so cells do not have the proper structural support to achieve stratification. A *Drosophila*
homolog, AXS, has been shown to associate with the meiotic spindle (Kramer and Hawley,
2003).

Despite its etiology, the failure of the embryonic tracheal epithelium to stratify in
*Tmem16a* mutants causes it to expand and drastically influence the fate of the mesenchymal cells
that surround it. Instead of forming a single C-shaped cartilaginous ring, multiple cartilaginous
elements form around the trachea of *Tmem16a* mutants. In humans, malformation of the tracheal
cartilage is known as tracheomalacia (McNamara and Crabbe, 2004). The symptoms of
tracheomalacia worsen during periods of feeding, leading us to speculate that the failure of
*Tmem16a* mutants to thrive postnatally is at least partly attributable to the malformation of the
cartilage rings.

It is likely that many other defects exist in *Tmem16a* mutants; however, any defect that is
observed in the postnatal period will need to be meticulously investigated since these animals fail
to thrive and might show signs of generalized distress. The emphysema we characterized in
*Tmem16a* mutants might be a secondary defect of this nature. One reagent that will be extremely
helpful in the further characterization of *Tmem16a* is a conditional null allele with which an
investigator could ablate this gene in a tissue-specific manner. Another reagent that will be
necessary to continue this work is an antibody that specifically recognizes and binds murine
TMEM16A. This would allow the subcellular localization of the protein and might provide insights into its function.

The expression of *TMEM16A* in many human cancers, its widespread developmental expression in mice, and the postnatal lethality associated with its deletion in mice suggest that this gene carries significant implications for both the clinic and for basic science. Furthermore, the conservation of TMEM16 orthologs in organisms as distantly related to humans as *S. cerevisiae* indicates that the acquisition of TMEM16 function occurred early in evolutionary history. An integrative approach combining the scant experimental data from all TMEM16 homologs will be required as we attempt to incorporate these molecules into our understanding of development and disease.
## APPENDIX A

### OLIGONUCLEOTIDES USED AS GENOTYPING PRIMERS

Table A-1. Primers used to genotype *Tmem16a* and *Tmem16f* alleles.

**Tmem16a**

**Wild type** F: pTKO18F2  
5'- CCTATGACTGCCAGGGACGCCC

R: pTKO18R2  
5'- TGTTCCTGTCCCTGCAATGCGG

**Mutant** F: pTKOneogenoF  
5'- GACGCCCTCCATTGACC

R: neoR1(Frt)  
5'- GGAGTAGAAGGTGGCGGAAG

**Tmem16f (RRF355)**

**Wild type** F: Tmem16f ABF2  
5'- GTGTAGTTGCTGCATGGTCC

R: wtTMEM16F-R  
5'- CAGATCTCATTACAGATGGTTG

**Mutant** F: Tmem16f-F4  
5'- GTCACGCTGTGTGCGAGCG

R: En2-R  
5'- CGACTTCCGAGCGATCTC
**APPENDIX B**

**EXPRESSION OF TMEM16 FAMILY MEMBERS IN CRANIOFACIAL DEVELOPMENT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brain</th>
<th>Tmem16a</th>
<th>Tmem16c</th>
<th>Tmem16f</th>
<th>Tmem16h</th>
<th>Tmem16k</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>neuroepithelium</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>adipocytelial layer</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>developing fields</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spinal cord</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>neuroepithelium</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>marginal layer</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nasal plate</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eye</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>outer retinal cell layer</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lens epithelium</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lens epithelium</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eyal vascular tissue</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>neurons</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nasal epithelium</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>organ of Corti</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reissner's membrane</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>neuroepithelial lumen</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stria vascularis</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parotid</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cranial nerve ganglia</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>developing trigeminoanes</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>walls of cranial vessels</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>olfactory epithelium</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>olfactory entraping vent</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nasal epithelium</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>respiratory epithelium</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tongue epithelium</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>masseter muscle</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inner vessels</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palate epithelium</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shelf epithelium</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
<td>(+) (+)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tmem16a: proliferating chondrocytes (+++) and osteoblasts (++)
Tmem16c: proliferating chondrocytes (+++) and osteoblasts (++)
Tmem16f: proliferating chondrocytes (+++) and osteoblasts (++)
Tmem16h: proliferating chondrocytes (+++) and osteoblasts (++)
Tmem16k: proliferating chondrocytes (+++) and osteoblasts (++)

Figure B-1. Summary of Tmem16a, Tmem16c, Tmem16f, Tmem16h and Tmem16k expression in the nervous system and craniofacial structures. Abbreviations: Virtually absent transcripts (-), expression at slightly above background levels (+), moderate expression levels (+++), robust expression levels (+++). Nd, not determined. (*) possible presence of transcripts that may be masked by ubiquituous expression in the core mesenchyme. **, expression in the totality of the connective tissue underlying the olfactory epithelium and thus, may also include the olfactory ensheathing cells. (***) possible expression that may be masked by the signal within the periodontal ligament.
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Table C-1. Probes used for RNA *in situ* hybridizations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene Name</th>
<th>Plasmid Number</th>
<th>Accession Number</th>
<th>Antisense Enzyme</th>
<th>Antisense Polymerase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tmem16a</td>
<td>BH1</td>
<td>BC006062</td>
<td>SalI</td>
<td>T7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tmem16c</td>
<td>BH119</td>
<td>BM936471</td>
<td>XhoI</td>
<td>T3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tmem16f</td>
<td>BH118</td>
<td>BU705523</td>
<td>SalI</td>
<td>T3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tmem16h*</td>
<td>BH116</td>
<td>BE571138</td>
<td>SalI</td>
<td>T7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tmem16k*</td>
<td>BH117</td>
<td>BF780617</td>
<td>KpnI</td>
<td>T7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sftpc</td>
<td>BH177</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ncol</td>
<td>SP6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tropoelastin</td>
<td>BH176</td>
<td></td>
<td>NotI</td>
<td>T3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shh</td>
<td>BH39</td>
<td></td>
<td>HindIII</td>
<td>T3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cTmem16a**</td>
<td>BH166</td>
<td>BU248576</td>
<td>NotI</td>
<td>T3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*=probe not used in this dissertation. **=chicken EST (all others are mouse)
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