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Product placement is the placing of a brand name product, package, sign or logo into a movie or television program for a fee or by donation. The alcohol industry has used this nontraditional form of advertising to market its products to audiences of all ages. Research has already been done on teenagers and the effects of alcohol advertising and alcohol use in movies. Because of the rising concern over teens and alcohol use, as well as the ethical and psychological implications associated with teens and alcohol advertising, “teen” movies were the focus of this study.

Qualitative research explored the ways in which high school students notice and recall brands of alcohol in teen movies, as well as their evaluations of the brands based on the context or scene in which they appeared. Six focus groups were conducted, three all-male and three all-female groups.

Overall, the participants were able to correctly recall the scene and character using the brand in two of the three movie clips. A major finding in this study was that teens
were much more likely to recall traditional advertisements for brands of alcohol than they were to recall placements of brands of alcohol in movies; they also were more likely to recognize and recall brands in movies if they had seen them advertised. The implications for product placement practitioners are discussed.
CHAPTER 1
INTRODUCTION

In 1998, more than $1.2 billion was spent on alcohol advertising in print, outdoor, radio and television. Additionally, over $600 billion was spent on other forms of promotion, including sponsorships, couponing and direct mail (Saffer 2002). Although alcohol advertising decreased by 34% between 1987 and 1996, it has been increasing since 1997 (Saffer 2002).

By 18 years of age, the average American teen will have seen 10,000 beer commercials on television. Experts believe that advertisements for alcohol encourage underage drinking, establish brand loyalty at an early age, and have contributed to the rise in teen alcoholism (Monroe 1994).

In highly concentrated industries such as the alcohol industry, competition through advertising, rather than price, is often preferred. In 1999, the advertising-to-sales ratio for the alcohol industry was about 9%, whereas the average industry advertising-to-sales ratio was about 3% (Saffer 2002).

While alcohol companies hold that they advertise simply to encourage adult drinkers to switch brands or to continue drinking their current brand, many critics feel that they are targeting teenagers. One of those critics, Dr. Jean Kilbourne, former adviser to the U.S. Surgeon General, believes that Americans are drinking at increasingly younger ages because of alcohol advertising aimed at teens. Some of the ways critics feel alcohol companies target teens are through sponsorships of sporting events and concerts, t-shirt and hat give-aways; and by running ads during television programs that are likely
to have a large teenage audience (Monroe 1994). While counter-advertising efforts could help discourage underage drinking, many believe that as long as alcohol consumption is socially accepted by a teen’s peer group and societal messages reinforce its use, teen drinking will remain at high levels (Abrams and Niaura 1987, Christiansen et al. 1989, Kelly and Edwards 1998).

Alcohol has been appearing in movies since at least 1945, when Joan Crawford was shown drinking Jack Daniels in the movie *Mildred Pierce* (Nebenzahl and Secunda 1993). Since then, Gordon’s gin was Humphrey Bogart’s drink of choice in the movie *The African Queen* (DeLorme and Reid 1999), John Belushi chugged an entire bottle of Jack Daniels in *Animal House*, and Tom Cruise drank Red Stripe beer in *The Firm* (Marshall and Ayers 1998). These are only a few examples of how the alcohol industry has marketed its product through a nontraditional form of advertising.

Product placement is a relatively new way for companies to advertise. Companies place their brands of products in movies or on television shows to be used as props for a contractual fee or by donation (DeLorme and Reid 1999). Babin and Carder (1996) defined product or brand placement as using a brand-name product, package, sign, or other display of the brand’s name or logo in a motion picture to influence audiences. According to the Entertainment Resources Marketing Association, there may be an occasional payment by the advertiser for a “key” placement in a television program or motion picture, but most deals do not involve cash payments. Instead, the company usually supplies goods or equipment for use in filming, or includes some extra product for the crew (Entertainment Resources Marketing Association [ERMA] 2002). When placements do involve a direct payment, fees are often based on how the brand is placed.
in the scene. Character use is the most expensive, verbal mentions are moderately priced, and visual exposure is the least expensive. There are three types of visual exposure: the product itself is shown, the brand’s logo is displayed, or an advertisement for the product is placed as a background prop (DeLorme and Reid 1999).

Product placement was originally created as a means of reducing production costs for the filmmakers, but it also helps add a sense of realism to the films (ERMA 2002). Product placements benefit advertisers by showing the product used in a realistic setting, providing greater reach than traditional forms of advertising, and by offering an alternative media for products restricted from television, such as cigarettes and liquor (DeLorme and Reid 1999).

While there are many advantages to companies whose products appear in movies, there can also be negative consequences. Most companies don’t want their products associated with an unfavorable character or context. On the other hand, many studios do not want to associate a socially stigmatized product, like handguns or cigarettes, with a likeable character because, while they may need the products for realism, studios do not want to be seen as endorsing them (Palmer 1998).

Teenagers today have grown up with product placements in movies. Because they have become so accustomed to them, teenagers feel that they are immune to the placements’ persuasive powers (DeLorme and Reid 1999). There is no existing research on the effects of alcohol placements on teenagers, but there have been studies on another stigmatized product – cigarettes. Evidence of a strong association between high exposure to tobacco use in films and smoking in adolescents suggests that influence from films is as strong as other kinds of social influence, such as smoking by a parent or sibling.
(Sargent et al. 2001). This study seeks to explore teens’ attitudes and perceptions of alcohol product placements in movies.

The MPAA Rating System

The Motion Picture Association of America (MPAA) serves as the voice of the American motion picture, home video and television industries. It was founded in 1922 as the trade association of the American film industry and has since broadened its authority to include the other areas of the expanding industry (MPAA 2002).

In 1968, the voluntary film-rating system of the motion picture industry was created, with NATO, MPAA, and IFIDA, as its supervisory groups. There were initially four rating categories: G for general audiences, all ages admitted; M for mature audiences, parental guidance suggested, but all ages admitted; R for restricted, children under 16 years old (later raised to 17 years old) admitted only with accompanying parent or adult guardian; and X for no one under 17 admitted. A year later, the rating M was changed to its current label, “PG: Parental Guidance Suggested,” because many parents perceived the M rating to be more severe than the R rating. In 1984, the PG category was split into two groups, PG and PG-13. The PG-13 rating meant the film contained a higher level of intensity than a PG-rated film (MPAA 2002).

In 1990, two more revisions were announced. First, the MPAA introduced brief explanations of why films received R ratings. The Ratings Board believed that since an R-rated film contains adult material, it would be helpful for parents to know a little more about the film’s content before allowing their children to see it with them. Later the MPAA began applying explanations to the PG, PG-13 and NC-17 categories as well. These explanations are available to parents at the theater, in some media reviews and listings, and on the MPAA’s website, www.mpaa.org (MPAA 2002).
The other name change was from X to NC-17, which meant that no one under 17 would be admitted. The members of the MPAA believed that over the years the X rating had taken on a “surly” meaning in the minds of many people, so the MPAA felt that by changing the name it would better describe an “adults only” category of movies that most parents would want to have forbidden to viewing by their children (MPAA 2002).

In 2001, PG-13 movies represented over 20% of all rated films, a 3% increase from the previous year. Movies rated PG accounted for 7%, movies rated G for 4%, and movies rated R (though decreased from the previous year) made up the largest percentage of rated films at 67%. No movies were rated NC-17. Since 1968, 2% of reviewed films have been rated NC-17, 7% have been rated G, 23% have been rated PG, 11% have been rated PG-13, and 57% have been rated R (MPAA 2002).

**Teens as Moviegoers**

The U.S. box office gross reached an all-time high in 2001 at $8.4 billion, a 9.8% increase from the previous year, and a 75% increase since 1991. Box office admissions in the U.S. were 1.49 billion in 2001, an increase of 78 million people, or 5% from the previous year. In 2001 frequent moviegoers, or those who attend at least one movie a month, tended to be younger than frequent moviegoers in 2000, with 12- to 24-year-olds accounting for 40% of total frequent moviegoers (MPAA 2002).

Teen movie attendance is steadily increasing, with teens accounting for more movie admissions in 2001 than in past years. In 2001, 54% of teen moviegoers went to the movies frequently, compared to 45% in 1996 (MPAA 2002).

While 12- to 17-year-olds accounted for 11% of the total U.S. population in 2001, they accounted for 19% of total movie admissions. Twelve- to 24-year-olds increased
their share of total moviegoers to 30% in 2001. Fifty-nine percent of this age group is considered either frequent or occasional viewers (MPAA 2002).

Half the film going audience is under the age of 25. This group sees three to four films a month (Klady 1999), which makes them frequent moviegoers. Teenagers are more likely to report being frequent moviegoers than adults. Fifty-one percent of 12- to 17-year-olds said they were frequent moviegoers, compared to 24% of adults age 18 and over (MPAA 2002).

According to Leff (1999), teenagers hit their peak as moviegoers between the ages of 15 and 17. When a sample of teenagers was asked what they had done during the previous week, 54% of 12- to 15-year-olds said they had been to the movies. The number increased to 59% for 16- to 17-year-olds and declined to 50% for 18- to 19-year-olds. The teenage boy demographic sees more movies than any other demographic, and if they really like a movie, will see it two or more times. Moviegoers ages 12 to 24 made up 38% of theater ticket sales in 1998, compared to 25- to 39-year-olds, who accounted for 27% of sales. The 12- to 24-year-old group accounted for $1.48 billion of 1998’s $3.9 billion domestic box office (Leff 1999). Going to the movies is teens’ second-favorite leisure activity, after listening to music (Ebenkamp 2001).

What is considered a teen movie? Films with young main characters tend to be the most appealing to audiences under 20, as do most horror films and lowbrow comedies (Klady 1999). Not only do these movies entertain, they also influence. According to the teen research newsletter Beats Per Minute, 56% of teens got an idea for a future career after seeing an occupation in a movie, 38% reported discovering a new role model after
watching a film, and 30% have modeled their hairstyle after a character in a movie. At the same time, teens are not likely to take up a new hobby, such as playing a sport, just because they saw it in a movie (Ebenkamp 2001). Movies were also found to influence behaviors like childhood play, imitations of adult behavior, daydreaming, emotional experiences and lifestyles (DeLorme and Reid 1999).

Sixty-one percent of teenagers said they planned to spend more money on going to the movies in 1999 than they did the year before, which may explain the increase in the number of teen movies (Berman 1999). Also, teen movies cost less to produce and are easier and cheaper to market (Klady 1999). This increase in the number of teen movies gives advertisers even more ways to reach the teen segment, because a popular movie could help a company sell millions of dollars of merchandise. Marketers are taking advantage of this with promotional tie-ins and cross-promotions. For example, the cast of *The Faculty* was dressed in Tommy Hilfiger clothes while *Mod Squad* promoted Levi’s (Berman 1999).

**Importance of Study**

Over the past few years, universities across the country have reported a steady increase in the percentage of their students who are drinkers, as well as an increase in the amount of alcohol consumed by these students. It has been suggested that this increase is due to the fact that more students are already drinkers when they get to college, which means they started drinking when they were in high school (Wechsler 1996). Teens and adolescents may be receiving mixed messages about alcohol use and abuse, not only from the traditional forms of advertising like commercials and print ads, but also from the brands they see being used by characters in television programs and movies. To date,
there are no existing studies on teens and alcohol product placements in movies created specifically for teens.

This study is important from an ethical and psychological standpoint because critics believe that product placements condition children at a young age to be brand loyal consumers, even before they are ready to make marketplace decisions (M. Friedman 2001). This notion also can be applied to teenagers, since they are at the age when many will start drinking alcohol and will develop brand loyalty. The brands that appear in these movies may be influencing individuals’ future brand choices.

Organization of Thesis

Chapter 2, the Literature Review, provides a summary of current research of advertising, including alcohol advertising and the effects of advertising on teenagers, the product placement industry, teenagers and movies, and social learning theory. Chapter 3, Methodology, explains the research design and rationale and discusses the experimental procedures. Chapter 4 provides an analysis of the data and Chapter 5 discusses the conclusions, limitations and recommendations for future research.
CHAPTER 2
LITERATURE REVIEW

This chapter is divided into three main sections based on the topic of discussion:
(1) alcohol advertising, including the recent history and current trends, its effects on
teenagers and ethical implications; (2) product placement, including background
information, product placements on television and in movies, pros and cons and ethical
implications; and (3) social learning theory as it relates to alcohol consumption and
media influences.

Alcohol Advertising

History and Current Trends in Alcohol Advertising

Over the past decade there has been an increase in concern over alcohol
advertising, particularly because the distilled spirits industry had been discussing putting
an end to its decades-long voluntary ban on television advertising. In February of 1997,
hearings were held to discuss the benefits and consequences of alcohol advertising on
television, and to gauge the public interest in keeping hard liquor ads off the air. The
Commerce Committee of the Federal Communications Commission did not want this
debate to include the beer industry and its advertisements, because they did not want to
upset the financial relationship between beer advertising and the broadcasting of sporting
events (Mundy 1997). The goal was to encourage liquor distributors to continue their
voluntary ban on television advertising.

In the last few months of 2001, NBC confirmed rumors that it would begin airing
liquor advertisements during prime time. While this was a first for network television,
some cable networks and local stations had been airing liquor commercials since the mid-1990s. Since NBC’s announcement, liquor spending on television jumped to $18.1 million, up from $469,500 in 1995. NBC did offer some restrictions, though, such as requiring each liquor advertiser to sponsor social responsibility messages for four months before their ads could be shown. Spokespeople for ABC, CBS and FOX all said they did not plan to accept liquor advertisements (Callahan 2002).

By the middle of March 2002, NBC had reversed its decision to accept hard liquor advertisements. They did, however, agree to show ads for brands associated with hard liquor, such as Smirnoff Ice and Bacardi Silver, which fall under the alternative malt beverage category. These beverages are reported to contain roughly the same amount of alcohol as beer. CBS’s sports division revised its policy on liquor advertising to include this category (Goetzl 2002).

In 1998 the FTC prohibited Beck’s beer from airing one of its commercials that depicted young adults on a boat, some of them holding bottles of beer. Regulators said the spot may have violated federal and state boating safety laws, and forbade the company from running commercials that depicted people consuming alcohol on a boat because these activities posed a safety risk (McConnell 1998).

In 1999 the FTC issued a report that urged the alcohol industry to make an effort to limit its messages’ impact on children. The report suggested that the alcohol industry should limit alcohol ads to programming where most of the audience is adults and prohibit ads that appeal to kids even if they are targeted to adults over 25 (AdAge 1999).

Ambler (1996) contends that since advertising attempts to build profit through increased volume and higher prices, the purpose of alcohol advertising is mainly to
persuade consumers to trade up to more expensive, higher quality brands. He also believed that a ban on alcohol advertising would lead to a price war, and that a drop in alcohol prices could lead to a marginal increase in consumption.

**Teens and Alcohol Advertising**

There are currently 31 million people in the United States between the ages of 12 and 19, a number that will grow to 35 million by 2010, making it the largest teen population in U.S. history. In 1999, this age group spent $153 billion, an 8.5% increase from the previous year (Bao and Shao 2002).

Teenagers are often described as heavy media users and as greatly influenced by images in the media, making them an appealing market to advertisers and marketers (LaFerle et al. 2000). While the information they acquire from the media makes them more attuned to purchasing, it also makes them very skilled at recognizing blatant persuasion attempts. This ultimately makes them more difficult to market to than past generations (Bao and Shao 2002, LaFerle et al. 2000).

Anti-alcohol groups fear that more television exposure will lead to more underage drinking and argue that any encouragement of alcohol consumption is wrong. Grube (1993) studied the influence of alcohol advertising on youth. He found that adolescents who were exposed to heavy alcohol advertisements were more likely to believe that drinkers possess valued characteristics, such as being attractive, athletic, or successful. They also held more favorable beliefs about drinking, such as that it was acceptable for teenagers to become intoxicated. Adolescents who were more knowledgeable of beer brands and slogans were also more aware of television beer commercials, which suggests that children who are curious about drinking seek out information about alcohol. Grube believes that they may get this information from advertisements. The results also suggest
that alcohol advertising may predispose adolescents toward drinking. He suggested restricting alcohol advertisements on television during times when children may be watching and limiting sponsorships of concerts and other events that young people might attend.

Many critics have suggested that alcohol companies target young people through image advertising, which focuses on the lifestyle of the user of the product, rather than product advertising, which focuses on the value of the product itself. Kelly and Edwards (1998) studied ad preference and intent to consume alcohol among 7th, 9th, and 11th grade students. They hypothesized that there would be a positive relationship between preference for image advertising and intent to consume alcohol. The students were shown sets of ads for a number of different alcoholic beverages, with each set containing both an image advertisement and a product advertisement, and were asked to compare the two. Image ads were preferred overall, and while there was no significant difference between the “no intent” and the “intent to drink” groups as far as preference for image ads, those who intended to drink exhibited stronger positive feelings towards the image ads. Since alcohol advertisers claim they are not trying to reach this market, Kelly and Edwards suggested they should use more product, rather than image advertisements. They added, however, that it would be unlikely that banning image advertisements for alcohol would affect alcohol consumption among youth.

Other critics suggest that the fantastic imagery and appeals used by alcohol advertisers may have serious and unwanted consequences, especially on teenagers and young adults. Parker (1998) studied drinking behavior and the role of alcohol advertising in the lives of college students to show that the targets of alcohol ads identify and relate
to powerful consumer myths. Her first research question was designed to gauge whether informants’ life experiences were revealed in their interpretations of alcohol advertisements. The second research question was to find whether the informants would suggest any alcohol-related myths in their ad interpretations. Informants were first shown a number of different alcohol ads and were asked to respond to a series of questions about their feelings toward the ads. Then they were given a life story interview that asked about their background, family history, interests, and drinking behavior. The findings provided insight as to what the informants did with alcohol advertisements, rather than what alcohol advertising was doing to them. Informants were shown to use the advertising medium as a projective device to transfer meanings to themselves. The meanings, along with their pre-existing self-concepts, created a unique ad experience for each individual. Findings with life themes suggested that people make connections between advertisements and the issues in their lives, allowing them to make connections between the ads and themselves because of the personal salience of the messages. Themes, characters, and myths in alcohol ads are attractive and entertaining to this age group and are often consistent with their life themes and self-concepts, such as comfort, control, or rebellion. Parker also noted that marketers of alcoholic drinks targeted at college-age students often use themes that imply danger, mystery, or intrigue to position their products.

**Product Placement**

**Background of Product Placement**

Companies will spend millions of dollars to be associated with a film. Burger King typically spends $20 to 25 million in paid media toward a summer movie. Cadillac has already provided several million dollars worth of prototypes to be used in the upcoming
Matrix 2. Meanwhile, Lucasfilm has signed a collective $16 million deal with General Mills and Frito-Lay for the next Star Wars movie and Spider-Man had at least four major corporate sponsors, which amounted to approximately $40 million in additional paid media for the film (W. Friedman 2001).

The technique of placing branded products in motion pictures was used as early as 1945 when Joan Crawford was shown drinking Jack Daniels in the movie Mildred Pierce (Nebenzahl and Secunda 1993). In that early stage of movie product placement, marketers made deals with either studio prop masters or with film studio management to negotiate a bartered arrangement. It was not uncommon for companies to offer a year’s supply of their product to production company executives or prop masters in exchange for placement of that brand in their film. Similarly, now rather than paying cash for the placements, most advertising companies will usually supply goods or equipment for use in filming, or include some extra product for the crew (ERMA 2002).

Each studio has a Production Resources Department that deals with product placements. Among other factors, this department considers issues such as the film’s target audience and the means by which placements may decrease the film’s production cost. The production team usually makes a “wish list” of specific products that are defined by brand name and are given top priority because they relate to the “creative aspect” of the film. If the team feels a placement will jeopardize the film’s creative integrity in any way, it will be rejected (ERMA 2002).

**Product Placements on Television**

Many critics contend that product placements on television raise regulatory and ethical concerns. Some believe brands appearing in scripted television programs border
on blatant commercial intent. Others believe the constant repetition and exposure in
television viewing is troublesome because brand loyalty, or preference for a particular
brand, is related to frequency of brand exposure (Avery and Ferraro 2000). It could also
be said that since the product is portrayed as part of the character’s lifestyle, the
placement could be considered image advertising.

Monitoring television-programming content is important because of its potential
influence on consumers through messages about alcohol and behavior. Mathios et al.
(1998) sampled 276 prime-time programs on four major television networks and gathered
information about food and beverage episodes embedded in the content of the program.
They looked at the particular food or beverage being portrayed, the characters using the
items, and the context in which they were used. Alcoholic beverages were the most
frequently shown food or drink, averaging approximately two placements per program.
Most of the alcoholic beverages were shown on situation comedies, movies, dramas, and
adult cartoons. Over 7% of alcohol incidents involved adolescents, although they were
usually portrayed as having negative personality characteristics. Adult characters
portraying alcohol were found, for the most part, to have positive personality
characteristics, especially if they are shown drinking wine.

While many argue that beliefs and behaviors can be shaped by exposure to alcohol
on television programs and in advertisements, Grube (1993) points out that there is little
real evidence to suggest that exposure to alcohol portrayals on television influences
young people to drink. Critics fear that showing characters consuming alcohol will set a
bad example for children, however, research has shown that characters on television
programs are usually of a legal age and usually portrayed drinking in moderation.
Product Placements in Movies

McIntosh et al. (1999) rated characters from 100 popular films and compared the ratings of drinkers and non-drinkers to determine what messages popular movies send about alcohol. They found that drinkers tend to be portrayed as being upper class, more attractive, more romantically/sexually active, and more aggressive. Based on statistics from the U.S. Census Bureau, McIntosh et al. determined that the characteristics associated with drinkers in films are reasonably close to the characteristics of drinkers in society. While it should be noted that movies fail to depict some of the negative consequences of drinking, in general, the depiction of alcohol use in movies is fairly representative of its use in society.

DeLorme and Reid’s (1999) study of product placement found three themes related to “movie centrality”: appreciating realism, noticing the familiar, and relating to characters. To many, branded props were significant because they added realism to the movie scenery. Informants said they were impressed when brands were used appropriately and considered to be a part of the story. Branded props were also judged to add authenticity to movies when associated with a particular setting, time period, or context. One informant particularly noticed the “old-fashioned” brands of beer in Forrest Gump. Informants also felt irritated and insulted by generic product props that were judged to interfere with movie realism and to interrupt the movie viewing experience. Moviegoers were particularly attuned to familiar branded products and services that they themselves had previously purchased and consumed in their everyday lives. Informants indicated that the relationship with characters strengthened, and the involvement in and enjoyment of the movie increased, when they noticed “their brands” being used by a character or featured in a scene. Brand placement was also seen as significant because it
provided relevant information about the character’s personality, lifestyle, and role in the movie plot.

DeLorme and Reid (1999) found four themes that were related to consumer behavior: tools for purchasing decisions, tools for identity and aspirations, change and discomfort, and belonging and security. These themes represent interpretations that are linked to movie-specific aspects of brand prop exposure, and how they extend beyond the movie viewing experience to consumption-specific aspects of everyday life. One informant in this study pointed out the impact of an alcohol placement on one of his friends. He mentioned that his friend switched brands of gin, from Tanqueray to Gordon’s, because his idol, Humphrey Bogart, drank Gordon’s gin in the movie *The African Queen*.

Branded props were also thought to perform such everyday marketing-related functions as reinforcing consumer confidence, reducing cognitive dissonance, and standing as symbols of distrust. The informants judged branded props as tools that allow the reliving of past events and the vicarious experience of living others’ experiences. Brands were also seen as significant in that they enabled further understanding of the informants’ social worlds (DeLorme and Reid 1999).

Nebenzahl and Secunda (1993) studied college students’ feelings toward product placements in movies. A majority of the students, speaking as consumers, did not object to product placement and viewed it as an effective marketing communication medium that should be allowed. The participants reported that they were tired of traditional commercials and would rather be exposed to less obtrusive forms of marketing communications, such as product placements. Those who objected to the other forms of
marketing communications did so because they felt these tactics were time consuming and/or annoying. A small minority who objected to product placements did so based on ethics. They perceived product placements as a concealed attempt to delude the consumer.

Younger informants in DeLorme and Reid’s (1999) study associated branded props with an invitation to cultural belonging and feelings of emotional security. These younger moviegoers, ages 18 to 21, had grown up with brands in movies and were accustomed to the practice. They reported that when a brand is present in a movie, they usually take it for granted and overlook it because it’s so common. Ultimately, the informants considered themselves immune to the persuasive power of brands encountered in films and believe that the appearance of brand props in movies is neither deceptive, manipulative, nor harmful.

Babin and Carder (1996) studied college students’ recognition, or familiarity with brands placed in movies, and recollection, or remembering the brands that appeared in movies. Their results suggest that audiences will recognize more brands appearing in a film with several product placements than they will actually recall.

**Pros and Cons of Product Placement**

Product placement has a number of advantages for marketers and moviemakers. It is the only form of advertising with a diminishing cost per thousand (Marshall and Ayers 1998). Cost per thousand is the cost of exposing 1,000 members of the target audience to the message. When the products are donated, the only cost to the marketer is whatever the products normally cost and any extra “donations” to the studio executives or prop masters. Even when the marketer actually pays the studio to use their products, the return on investment is much greater than any cost to him, for two reasons. First, the marketer
is reaching a captive audience - the people watching the movie can’t just change the channel, as is the case with television commercials. One production company president said that movies are better than any magazine or television commercial at promoting a product because the audience is unaware of any sponsor involvement (Glantz 2001). Additionally, when the movie comes out on video, not only are the advertising companies reaching those who didn’t see the movie when it was in the theater, but they are also achieving frequency, by reaching those who will have seen the movie more than once.

In addition to being cost-effective, allowing advertisers to reach captive audiences and providing greater reach than traditional advertising, product placements benefit the advertisers by demonstrating brand usage in realistic settings and offering an alternative advertising media option for liquor and tobacco products, which are restricted from broadcast television. Product placements also benefit the filmmakers by offsetting movie production costs and creating more natural movie settings (DeLorme and Reid 1999).

Marshall and Ayers (1998) commented on a column Philip Van Munching wrote in Brandweek about product placement in movies. In his column, Van Munching said he thought product placement advertising was a scam. In his section of the commentary, Marshall stated that brands include product placement in their marketing mix to achieve reach and cost effectiveness. Additionally, product placements can provide opportunity for trade or consumer promotions, such as tie-ins with fast food restaurants. Ayers added that sales of Red Stripe beer went up after Tom Cruise drank it in The Firm, which substantiated his point that product placements are suited to increasing brand awareness, or the prominence of a brand in one’s mind, and enhancing a brand’s image or status.
While there are a number of advantages to companies whose products appear in films, there can also be disadvantages. Most companies don’t want their products associated with an unfavorable character or context, so they may choose to keep their product out of a film, a practice known as product displacement. Todd Solondz, director of the movie *Happiness*, which is about a pedophile, said that not many companies tried to get their products placed in his movie. While there were companies that received thanks in the credits, their products did not actually appear in the film (Palmer 1998).

One example of a company that practiced product displacement is Kraft Foods. Kraft wanted to make sure its products weren’t mentioned anywhere in the movie *Jello Shots*, which was about people getting drunk from the title concoction, because Kraft was aware that it would look bad for the Jell-O brand name (Palmer 1998). Another company that practiced product displacement is Chivas Regal. The producers of the movie *Dolores Claiborne* were asked to substitute another brand for Chivas Regal when a “seedy, alcoholic character was to mix Chivas with Coca-Cola” (Karrh 1998, p. 37).

**Ethical Implications of Alcohol Product Placements**

Consumer advocacy groups argue that product placements are deceptive and cause moviegoers, unaware of their persuasive intent, to engage in purchase behaviors. Many groups have attempted to have product placements banned or at least regulated; they have remained unsuccessful in influencing formal public policy. There has been an ongoing legal debate about whether product placements are considered commercial speech, which would make it subject to government regulation. Critics believe that placements of unhealthy products like cigarettes and alcohol should be restricted. On the other hand, proponents of product placements argue that they are not forms of commercial speech
and that filmmakers, not government regulation, should determine when and how product should be placed (DeLorme and Reid 1999).

Surveys have found that 73% of the general public believes that alcohol advertising is a major contributor to underage drinking. Studies have found that alcohol advertising may predispose adolescents toward drinking, because it seems to increase their positive attitudes toward drinking and their likelihood to drink (Grube 1993).

According to the Advertising and Marketing Code of the Beer Institute, advertising or marketing material should not use any material that would appeal to people under the legal drinking age, including language, music, or any entertainment figure or group that is intended to appeal to minors. Actors should be at least 25 years old, and should appear to be at least 21. Additionally, beer advertising and marketing materials should not be placed in any medium or at any event where most of the audience is likely to be under the legal drinking age (Beer Institute 2002).

According to the Entertainment Resources Marketing Association (ERMA), “If you are supplying an alcoholic beverage, you do not want minors consuming it onscreen” (ERMA 2002). In 1998 the FTC ordered eight alcoholic beverage companies to supply information about their efforts to ensure that product placements in movies and television are directed to an adult audience (McConnell 1998). In 1999 the FTC added that alcohol companies should limit placements to R and NC-17 films (AdAge 1999).

The problem with alcohol placements in movies and television programs is that young people can see these products being used even though they are not supposed to see such advertising. Alcohol portrayals on television provide messages about drinking that may encourage young people to drink, for example, underage drinking is often treated
humorously, and the seriousness of problematic drinking is often overlooked (Grube 1993).

**Social Learning Theory**

Social-learning theory proposes that people observe the behaviors, attitudes and emotional reactions of others and learn to model those behaviors when faced with similar situations. According to the founder of social learning theory, Albert Bandura, “Learning would be exceedingly laborious, not to mention hazardous, if people had to rely solely on the effects of their own actions to inform them what to do. Fortunately, most human behavior is learned observationally through modeling: from observing others one forms an idea of how new behaviors are performed, and on later occasions this coded information serves as a guide for action” (1977, p. 22).

Social learning theory attempts to explain human behavior in terms of the constant interaction of cognitive, behavioral and environmental influences, while focusing on the “person factors” in explaining learning and behavior. The individual is both an instrument and a recipient of behavior patterns. There is a pattern of four processes that facilitate social learning: attention, when the individual takes notice of something in the environment; retention, the individual remembers what they noticed; reproduction, the individual copies the noticed action; and motivation, the environment delivers a consequence that either reinforces or punishes the action, thereby affecting the likelihood that the individual will repeat that action in the future (Bandura 1977).

According to Abrams and Niaura (1987), behaviors and environments are thought to interact with some of the main cognitive capabilities: symbolizing, forethought, self-regulatory, self-reflective and vicarious capabilities. Symbolizing refers to an individual’s ability to build cognitive models of experience that guide future decisions
and actions. Forethought refers to an individual’s ability to anticipate the outcomes of actions and set goals. Self-regulatory capability refers to an individual’s ability to control their behaviors through internal standards and evaluating their own reactions.

Self-reflective capability refers to an individual’s ability to reflect upon his/her thoughts and monitor his/her ideas. Vicarious capability refers to the assumption that individuals learn by observing others’ behaviors and the outcomes of those behaviors (Abrams and Niaura 1987).

Bandura (1977) suggested that by showing attractive, sophisticated characters engaging in health-risking behaviors, movies glamorize those behaviors. The concern is that these portrayals influence moviegoers’ future behaviors, especially those of impressionable children and teens, who are likely to model characters who are likeable, popular and seem to be similar to them (Bandura 1977). Attitudes toward alcohol use have been proven to be influenced by observing the drinking behavior of role models, including those observed in films (Room 1988).

The tenets of social learning theory would suggest that drinking alcohol, as a social behavior, is acquired and maintained by modeling, social reinforcement, expectancies of the effects of alcohol, and personal experience with the effects of alcohol consumption, either positive or negative. One of the major principles of social learning theory regarding alcohol use is that learning to drink alcohol is an important part of psychosocial development and socialization within a culture. According to Abrams and Niaura (1987), adolescent drinking behaviors, attitudes, and expectancies of alcohol are derived from social influences within their culture, family and peers. A great deal of learning takes place before the child has consumed any alcohol. Influence is indirectly exerted by
attitudes, expectancies, and beliefs, and directly by modeling alcohol consumption, media portrayals of drinking, and social reinforcement for drinking. Family and peers can influence both the onset and continuance of drinking behaviors among adolescents by affecting their attitudes and modeling drinking behaviors in social situations (Abrams and Niaura 1987).

Beliefs and behaviors may be shaped by exposure to alcohol in television programs (Grube 1993). Television programs tend to portray alcohol consumption as a way to enhance the enjoyment of a social situation, reduce social tension and escape stress. Alcohol consumption on television programs tends to be socially reinforced and has few negative consequences (Abrams and Niaura 1987). The social reinforcement may influence others to model this behavior because they are expecting the same positive outcomes from consuming alcohol.

Dramatic portrayals of alcohol have been studied in movies to test the impact on young adults. When looking at the absence or presence of negative consequences of drinking alcohol, the absence of negative consequences in a film led to the most favorable attitudes toward drinking. Exposure to negative consequences of drinking in the movies led to the least favorable attitudes. These findings indicate that showing negative consequences associated with alcohol consumption could influence attitudes towards drinking (Bahk 1997).

A study of 18- and 19-year-old college students was designed to test the influence of movie portrayals of drinking liquor. Participants viewed either films clips with positive portrayals of drinking, negative portrayals of drinking, or film portrayals of no drinking. While participants in the positive condition reported more positive feelings
toward alcohol than did participants in the negative group, the difference was small.
Meanwhile, those who watched both positive and negative portrayals reported higher
negative expectancies than those in the control condition. The suggested explanation for
this result is that portrayals of drinking, regardless of the outcome, cause anticipation of
negative consequences in young drinkers, perhaps by bringing up memories of bad past
experiences with liquor. The findings were interpreted to mean that even relatively short
(under one hour) exposure to film portrayals of liquor can have an immediate impact on
older adolescents’ expectancies of drinking alcohol, but that effect is not strong (Kulick
and Rosenberg 2001). This suggests that showing negative outcomes of alcohol
consumption may have a stronger effect on social learning than showing positive
outcomes.

According to Earleywine (1995), people are more likely to face a decision to drink
in a setting where they are expecting more positive effects of drinking, like at a party.
While drinkers may decide to decrease future consumption in settings associated with
negative effects, such as when experiencing a hang over, these negative expectancies may
not be as accessible the next time they have the opportunity to drink. Positive
expectancies of the effects of alcohol use were related to intentions to drink when they
were primed. Negative expectancies did not have a significant correlation with intentions
to drink when they were primed, which suggests that manipulating negative expectancies
may have little impact on intentions to drink (Earleywine 1995).

Social learning theory suggests that experimental substance use stems from the
attitudes and behaviors of those who serve as an adolescent’s role models. Adolescents’
involvement with substance-using role models is likely to have three consequences. First
they will observe and imitate the substance-specific behaviors; second, they will likely experience social reinforcement for substance use; and third, they will expect positive social and physiological effects from future use. Observing role models experimenting with substances can directly shape an adolescent’s expectations of the social, personal and physiological effects. Social learning theory suggests that making substance-using role models less salient and making non-using role models more salient would help prevent experimental substance use among adolescents (Petrailis et al. 1995).

Because social learning theory suggests that behavior is directed by specific environmental influences, including learning by direct observation, or modeling, it could be assumed that peer behavior would have a direct effect on children’s behavior. Iannotti and Bush (1992) tested urban fourth and fifth grade students to see which had more influence, the child’s perceptions of their peers’ behaviors and attitudes regarding substance use or the actual behaviors and attitudes of peers. It was found that perception of peers’ use is more likely to influence behaviors than actual use. It was concluded that while modeling, as suggested by social learning theory, may account for the effect of classroom use, it would not predict the weak effect of friends’ use (Iannotti and Bush 1992).

Lipsitz et al. (1993) tested alcohol expectancies between fifth and eighth grade students using beer and soft drink commercials. They found no difference between the effects of exposure to beer commercials and exposure to anti-drinking messages - neither affected alcohol expectancies. One of the main differences in the way fifth and eighth grade students thought about alcohol was that eighth grade students did not find the alcohol ads novel or surprising. The differences in thinking tended to occur on the
social/emotional expectancy scale, rather than the cognitive/motor expectancy scale. This suggests that while drug or alcohol education may convince children of the cognitive/motor problems caused by alcohol use, it may “fail to discredit” the social and emotional benefits of alcohol use. One possible explanation they mentioned for these results is that alcohol advertising does not affect alcohol expectancies, and that the results reflect the reality that television ads have little or no impact on adolescents’ feelings about alcohol. Lipsitz et al. were not able to find any strong evidence to the contrary.

Slater et al. (1996) found that many junior high school students perceive characters in beer commercials to be under 21. This is troubling because it is in junior high that many will make their first decisions about experimenting with alcohol. The concern is that they may be influenced by their perceptions that people in the commercials appear to be underage. Slater et al. found a positive correlation between the number of students who perceived the drinkers to be underage and the number of students who were already drinking alcohol. This finding is consistent with the idea that the influence of potential role models, such as the persons shown in advertisements, may influence adolescents at a time in their lives when they are starting to make decisions about themselves.

Alcohol expectancies connect past experiences regarding alcohol use with an actual decision to drink at a later point in time. It has been found that well-developed expectancies exist before young people have had substantial drinking experience. It is apparent that social learning processes, including parental modeling, mass media, and peer group influence must play a substantial role (Christiansen et al. 1989).

Christiansen et al. (1982) found two processes that are responsible for producing alcohol-related expectancies in adolescents. One is that relatively well-developed
expectancies exist before they start drinking. These expectancies are expressed by family, peers, and the media, and by observing others drinking. It was also found that these expectancies from social learning tend to change with age and drinking experience. Specifically, an increase in age and in drinking experience led to more permanent expectancy factors. These findings show that while alcohol expectancies are related to drinking experience, they also exist in very young people without any prior drinking experience. “It appears that the preconditions for positive reinforcement of alcohol use exists in adolescents the very first time they drink” (Christiansen et al. 1982, p. 343).

Research Questions

The field of product placement advertising has been expanding rapidly. The motion picture industry is becoming a valuable medium in advertising and marketing to consumers, as evidence has shown that product placements increase sales and brand recognition.

Numerous studies have been conducted on product placements and adult moviegoers; however, research has not focused on teenagers as a viewing audience. Movies directed toward teenagers were the focus of this study. Teens make up the largest percentage of the American movie going audience, and a majority of these teens see at least one movie a month.

Alcohol advertising is a relatively controversial issue. Critics believe that alcohol advertising may be among the leading reasons for the increase in teen alcohol consumption and abuse. While a number of studies have focused on teens’ perceptions of alcohol in print advertisements and television commercials, no studies to date have focused on product placements as a means of advertising alcoholic beverages.
This study uses qualitative research to explore whether high school students notice and recall placements of brands of alcohol in teen movies. This study also explored how teens evaluated alcohol product placements based on the context of their usage and their attitudes toward alcohol product placements as a whole. The research questions are as follows:

Research Question 1: Do teens notice the specific brands of alcohol that have been placed in these “teen” movies?

Research Question 2: Do teens have different evaluations of brands based on the context or scene in which they appear?
CHAPTER 3
METHODOLOGY

This study seeks to explore, rather than explain, teens’ attitudes and perceptions of alcohol product placements. Qualitative, rather than quantitative research, is better suited for this type of study because it allows for a deeper understanding of each participant’s attitudes and perceptions of the topics of alcohol advertising and product placements in movies. Focus groups were selected as the primary method of research because they allowed the researcher to gather information from small group discussions of the topics of interest (Morgan 1997). The focus groups provided a relaxed setting in which participants could feel comfortable discussing the topics of alcohol advertising and product placements. The teenage participants felt more comfortable talking to the researcher in same-gender groups rather than individually or in mixed-gender groups.

Rationale and Strengths of Focus Groups

Focus groups were selected as the means of gathering information because they allowed the researcher to lead guided discussions of the topics of alcohol advertising, alcohol usage in movies and product placements. Focus groups were preferred to in-depth interviews because it was assumed that the group dynamic of a focus group would help to bring out unanticipated aspects of the discussion topics and may not have emerged during an individual interview (Babbie 2001). In addition, the subject of product placement is primarily based on movie recall, which can be prompted by others in the group, rather than individual interviews with the researcher (M. Friedman 2001).
Visual Stimuli

Visual stimuli in the form of movie clips were used during the focus groups. The movie clips, which were shown at the beginning of the focus groups, were used as an autodriving technique to stimulate discussion (McCracken 1988). Movies were selected from the Top 120 grossing movies of 2000 and 2001, as listed on www.BoxOfficeReport.com. From those movies, 30 were identified as “teen” movies. A movie was considered a “teen” movie if a majority of the main characters were teenagers or young adults. PG-13 movies were selected from these 30 because R-rated movies contain material that may be inappropriate for teens under 17 and it was assumed that PG-13 movies were appropriate for this age group. The MPAA rating system was used as a guideline. Then www.screenit.com was used to determine whether or not there was alcohol use in each film. Films with at least a mild or moderate amount of alcohol use and that were available on video at the time of the content analysis were then analyzed. A total of 10 films were analyzed by the researcher to determine if there were substantial alcohol product placements in each film. A placement was considered substantial if the product was either given a verbal mention or was shown on the screen for at least two seconds. Two seconds is considered the industry average for the amount of time a product remains on the screen (Troup 1991).

Three films were selected for the study, *The Fast and The Furious*, *Loser* and *Summer Catch*. A 5- to 7-minute clip from each film was selected, totaling approximately 15 to 20 minutes of clips. Two films contained both verbal mentions and visual placements, and one was visual only.

After each clip was shown, participants were given about two minutes to write a brief summary of the clip before the next clip was shown. *The Fast and The Furious* was
selected because it contained a prominent placement of Corona beer. Corona was given a
verbal mention and was shown being directly consumed by one of the main characters.

*The Fast and The Furious* is about an undercover cop, Brian (played by Paul Walker),
investigating a string of truck robberies. One of the prime suspects is Dominic (played
by Vin Diesel), who is the leader of a team of street racers. In this clip the two are
walking to Dominic’s house after a street race. Brian kept Dominic from getting in
trouble by helping him flee the police. Dominic’s friends, one in particular named Vince,
were not happy about him bringing a new person into their group of friends. There was
obvious tension between Brian and Vince. Dominic took Vince’s beer away from him
and then said to Brian “You can have any beer you want, as long as it’s a Corona.” He
then gave Brian Vince’s beer, and before Brian drank out of it, the camera focused on
him wiping the mouth of the bottle with his shirt.

*Loser* was selected because Sam Adams beer was prominently placed in a number
of scenes throughout the movie. This movie is about a college freshman named Paul
(played by Jason Biggs) and his experiences during his first year in college. In this clip,
his friend Dora (played by Mena Suvari) is applying for a job in a convenience store. A
guy walks in and buys two 12-packs of Sam Adams and invites her to a party. At the
party, another guy puts some type of drug in her drink and she ends up getting sick.

*Summer Catch* was selected because Sam Adams beer was given both verbal and
visual placements and there were signs in the background for Guinness, Dos Equis, and
Bass beers. *Summer Catch* is about a landscaper named Ryan (played by Freddie Prinze
Jr.) who joins the Cape Cod summer baseball league, where many baseball players “get
discovered.” He becomes friends with the team’s catcher, Billy (played by Matthew
Lillard) and gets involved with Tenley, a “rich girl” played by Jessica Biel. This clip takes place in the bar Ryan’s brother runs. He orders three Sam Adams and sits down at a table with his teammates. One of his teammates says something that makes him angry and Billy prevents them from fighting by asking Ryan to come with him to talk to some girls, which is how he meets Tenley. Then a waitress (played by Brittany Murphy) walks by the table and makes a funny comment about Ryan, which makes Billy laugh and spit out his mouthful of beer.

**Researcher Involvement**

Initially, there was a low level of moderator involvement, as the participants were asked to write a brief summary of each clip, including anything that stood out to them or what they liked or didn’t like in each clip. The purpose of this was to gain an understanding of the participants’ first impressions of each clip, what caught their attention, and to see if they would mention the alcohol brands before they were directly asked about them.

After the clips were shown and the summaries were completed, the researcher began the discussion. Due to the strong, pre-existing agenda of the research, a high level of moderator involvement was needed during the discussion to ensure that all groups would discuss the same issues in a comparable fashion, and to keep the discussion focused on the topics (Morgan 1997). After the discussion, each participant filled out a questionnaire, which provided the researcher with background information about participants’ current alcohol use and intended future use (see Appendix C).

**Focus Group Participants**

Six focus groups were conducted, three comprised of all males and three comprised of all females. There were either five or six participants in each group. There were three
focus groups for each gender because according to Morgan (1997), more than three to five groups seldom provide meaningful new insights. The researcher also chose to use small-sized groups because they allowed more time for each participant to talk, which provided a clearer sense of each participant’s reaction to the topics (Morgan 1997). Edmunds (1999) also recommended using five to six participants per group because smaller groups allow for interaction among the participants without requiring each individual to constantly speak. This would provide a greater comfort level among participants, which is more conducive to successful discussions. The focus groups were conducted on the Boca Raton High School campus and lasted about an hour. Parental consent was acquired for each participant prior the focus group sessions (see Appendix D). Boca Raton High School was selected because the researcher was familiar with the campus and the faculty, and was able to secure their cooperation with the study.

Participants were selected based on their familiarity with the topic (Babbie 2001). Students were given a screening questionnaire, which asked how many movies they typically watched each month, including in the movie theater, on video or DVD, and on cable television. Frequent moviegoers were best suited as participants in this study. According to the MPAA, a “frequent” moviegoer is one who sees at least one film each month or 12 films a year. Because a majority of the students had seen at least one movie in the theater in the past month, the researcher included video/DVD and cable movie-watching get a better idea of each student’s average monthly movie consumption. Students who reported watching at least six movies a month on average, with a breakdown of at least one movie in the theater, one on video or DVD, and two on cable
each month, were asked to participate in a focus group. The screening questionnaire can be found in Appendix A.

**Pilot Study**

The pilot study used two focus groups with high school students; one with females ages 14 to 17, and one with males ages 14 to 18. The purpose of the pilot study was to test the focus group question guide (see Appendix B), to provide the researcher with familiarity in moderating a discussion, and to test the effectiveness of showing each movie clip in the focus group setting (M. Friedman 2001). The focus groups were conducted at Boca Raton High School and were moderated by the primary researcher. The focus groups were conducted in a classroom with a round table, television and VCR.

**Data Collection and Analysis**

The focus group sessions were tape recorded so that they could later be transcribed. Transcription helps to facilitate analysis as well as establish a permanent written record of each focus group (Stewart and Shamdasani 1990). Audiotaping was preferred to videotaping because videotaping does not provide the researcher with much more information than does audiotaping. While videotaping allows the researcher to see facial expressions and group dynamics, several cameras and additional lighting would be required to allow for detailed observations. Also, most data analyses are based on transcripts from audio, not video recordings (Morgan 1997). Audio recording was also assumed to be less intrusive and protected the participants’ privacy.

After conducting the focus groups, the tapes were transcribed by the researcher. The researcher then read each script, while listening to the tape, to check for accuracy. If any words or phrases were inaudible during the first hearing, the researcher listened to
the tape three more times in an attempt to decipher the words. If after three times the word or phrase was still unclear, the word or phrase was labeled inaudible.

Analytic induction (Glaser and Strauss 1967) was used to analyze the data. First the researcher read through each transcript to identify the sections of conversation that were relevant to the research questions. After the initial reading of each transcript, the researcher devised a classification system for major topics or issues that came up in the focus group discussions, and items from each transcript that related to the topics were identified. The items highlighted in the transcripts were then used as supporting materials and included as part of the analysis.
Focus groups were conducted with teenagers, ages 14 to 19. The participants were in ninth through 12th grades at Boca Raton High School. In total, there were 16 male and 16 female participants. The mean age for participants was 15.56 for females and 15.25 for males. Five of the males were 14 years old, six were 15, three were 16, one was 17 and one was 19. Five of the females were 14 years old, three were 15, four were 16, three were 17 and one was 19. The pilot study indicated that the focus group question guide and movie clips selected were appropriate for this age group. Six focus groups were conducted, three all-male groups and three all-female groups, with five to six participants in each group. The groups were segmented by gender because the researcher assumed that the teenage participants would feel more comfortable talking to the researcher in same-gender groups rather than in mixed-gender groups.

**Questionnaire Results**

Twenty-one of the 32 participants currently drink or have at some point consumed alcohol. Almost all reported that they only drink “every once in a while,” which suggests that they are social, rather than habitual drinkers, although a few reported drinking once a week. The participants usually drink either at parties, a friend’s house or at home. Eighteen of the 21 are usually with friends (including a boyfriend or girlfriend) when they drink. The heavy preference was malt beverages or wine coolers, then liquor, then beer. The brands they most often drink are Smirnoff Ice, Mike’s Hard Lemonade, Budweiser and Skyy Blue. Other brands they mentioned drinking were Corona, Captain
Morgan, Crown Royale, Busch, Icehouse, Coors and Cook’s. When they drink, about two-thirds (n=7) of the male participants tend to have between two and three drinks, while the other one-third (n=4) have one drink. Almost two-thirds (n=6) of the female participants have one drink, while almost one-third (n=3) have between four and six drinks. The age at which participants began drinking varied a great deal. One male reported that he had his first drink when he was eight years old. Three males reported that they had their first drink at age 13, while the other males reported drinking at 11, 12, 15 and 16. Females reported that they began drinking between the ages of 14 and 16.

Fourteen of the 21 participants who drink have been drunk at least once. Most of those 14 have been drunk at least twice in the past six months. Almost all were with a friend at least one of those times, at the friend’s house. In order, they mentioned liquor, beer and malt beverages as the types of alcohol they were drinking. The favored brands were Mike’s, Smirnoff Ice and Corona. Other brands mentioned were Budweiser, Skyy Blue, Absolut, Kalik, Jack Daniels, Busch, Coors Light and moonshine.

Of those who do not drink alcohol, about half plan to do so in the future, but they do not know when. Over one-third plan to drink beer, while the rest were divided among wine, liquor, malt beverages and “I don’t know.” None of the participants mentioned any specific brands that they planned to consume.

Seventy-five percent of the participants’ friends drink. Slightly less than 75% think alcohol abuse is a big problem among high school students.

**Analysis of Written Summaries**

After each 5- to 7-minute movie clip was shown, the participants were asked to write a brief summary of the clip, including anything that stood out to them or what they liked or did not like about each scene. The major themes that emerged from the
summaries were: the party or bar setting, the presence of alcohol, tension or anger between male characters and references to sex.

About half of the participants mentioned the party scene and/or that the characters were drinking in *The Fast and The Furious*. Almost half mentioned the tension, anger or potential fight, and the sexually suggestive nature of the females. One-quarter mentioned that it portrayed typical teen life, and about one-fifth specifically mentioned Vin Diesel, who was one of the main characters.

Almost all of the participants mentioned either the party scene, drinking alcohol or both in *Loser*. Two-thirds mentioned that a female character had taken some sort of drug or that a male character drugged her with the intention of having sex with her. A quarter of the participants commented that they thought this was objectionable.

Half the participants mentioned alcohol use or that the characters were in a bar in *Summer Catch*. One-third of the participants, mostly male, mentioned that they thought the scene was funny, while one-quarter, mostly female, mentioned that the main character met a girl. Four participants mentioned the film’s main character, Freddie Prinze Jr. and three noticed that he ordered Sam Adams at the bar.

**Analysis of Focus Group Discussions**

Almost all the participants had seen *The Fast and The Furious* at least once, slightly less than half had seen *Loser* and slightly more than half had seen *Summer Catch*. Of those who had seen *The Fast and The Furious*, slightly more than half had seen it in the theater, while the rest had rented it. Most of those who had seen *Loser* watched it at home, either on video, cable, or pay-per-view. Most of those who had seen *Summer Catch* watched it at home on cable or pay-per-view. A majority watched the movies with friends or family members and had seen each movie between two and three times.
The males cited the action or excitement and the entertaining plot as reasons for watching *The Fast and The Furious* more than once, while the females cited the male actors and the cars as their reasons. Most participants had seen *Loser* more than once because there was “nothing else to do” or nothing else on television at the time. The females watched *Summer Catch* more than once because they liked the story, whereas the males watched it because there was nothing else on television.

When asked what, if anything, stood out to the participants in the clips, most of the females said the male actors (particularly in *The Fast and The Furious*), and that each scene depicted typical teen life. One added that she felt the females in each scene were being disrespected. The males mentioned the female characters, drinking, the bar scene, tension between characters, Corona, Sam Adams, the party scenes and the music.

**Remembering Context and Usage – Verbal Mentions and Humor Are Important**

Overall, the participants correctly recalled the scene and the characters using the products. Many pointed out the exact moment when the Corona was consumed in *The Fast and The Furious*. According to one participant, “He gave it to him, he looked at it, wiped it off and drank it.” Some also pointed out that they might not have noticed the product placement if the brand name had not been mentioned. Others felt that they might have noticed it, but after the verbal mention it was hard to ignore. According to one participant, “because he said it [Corona], it made it stick out even more.” Many also remembered that Paul Walker took another character’s beer and wiped the rim of the bottle with his shirt before drinking out of it, which they thought was funny.

Most participants did not remember seeing any brand names in *Loser*, which contained a placement of Sam Adams beer. While many noticed the beer, they did not notice what brand it was. A few participants also mentioned that one character bought
boxes of beer and carried them down the street, but no one recalled seeing it being
directly consumed.

While a few participants correctly recalled that Sam Adams was being directly
consumed in *Summer Catch*, most only remembered that they were drinking beer of some
type. More participants recalled Matthew Lillard laughing and spitting it out all over
someone else than Freddie Prinze Jr. ordering three Sam Adams from the bar. About a
quarter of the participants added that they noticed signs in the background for other
brands of beer, but could not tell what brands they were. One participant pointed out,
“All bars have that…you don’t notice things like that because that’s something normal if
you go to a bar or anywhere that sells alcohol.” Another participant added that since the
signs in the background were hard to read, those beer companies “…probably didn’t pay
as much as the other ones to get in there.”

The low recall of Sam Adams could be due to the participants’ low level of
familiarity with the brand. Many pointed out that they hadn’t seen very much advertising
for Sam Adams, especially when compared to Corona, with which they were more
familiar. Participants perceived Corona to be a well-liked brand and mentioned seeing a
lot of advertisements for it. Many had never heard of Sam Adams or considered it a
“cheaper” brand. In addition, a few participants thought they saw Budweiser in the clips
from *The Fast and The Furious* and *Summer Catch*, when actually it was not in either
clip.

**Thoughts on Character Usage**

In general, the participants viewed the brands separately from the characters using
them. While most participants did not feel that the brand usage said anything about the
characters, a few either thought that it was the character’s preference or that it showed
that they liked to party. Others added that a brand is more likely to stand out to someone who likes that brand, because they would think “that’s what I drink…he got good taste.” Participants also did not think that character usage said anything about the brand. A few added that they thought the brands, especially Corona, were trying to appeal to a younger (18 and up) audience.

Most participants didn’t seem to mind that the characters were drinking in these clips, even though they thought some of the characters appeared to be under 21. Others thought the characters did look old enough to drink. Overall, the participants perceived the characters in the clips to be between 20 and 30 years old. Most thought that the characters in *The Fast and The Furious* were in their mid- to late-20s, the characters in *Loser* were between 17 and 20, or in college, and the characters in *Summer Catch* were thought to be between 20 and 25. A few participants thought that some of the characters in each clip were supposed to be in high school.

**Brand Names vs. Generics**

In general, about half of the participants said they preferred seeing brand name products instead of generics in movies, and the other half said they didn’t really care. Participants recalled seeing both generics and brand names in movies, such as “soda” and “cola,” as well as Coca-Cola and Pepsi. Some other specific brands that were mentioned were Jeep in *Clueless*, Tide in *The Sixth Sense*, Starbucks in *Austin Powers II*, Faygo in *Big Money Hustlers*, and Reese’s Pieces in *E.T.* Participants also mentioned Nike, Adidas, Gucci and Mercedes, although they could not recall in which movies they had seen the brands. In addition, one participant mentioned that the characters were drinking “fake Budweiser” in *The Replacements*. Those who preferred seeing brand name products felt that generics made the film look cheap. Participants also felt that seeing
characters drinking out of cans or bottles looked more realistic than cups, unless a keg was present in the scene.

**Does It Make Them Want To Drink?**

Participants did not feel that seeing the characters drinking made them want to drink, although a few pointed out that they already drink or plan to do so in the future, so seeing film characters drinking wouldn’t affect them anyway. A majority of the participants did think that others might be influenced. Some females felt that males might be more influenced to at least try a brand they saw in a movie, especially if a favorite actor consumed the brand. Many participants also felt that while alcohol placements wouldn’t completely influence one’s preference, they may get people to try the brand, and if they liked it and their peers started drinking it, they might continue to drink it.

**Advertising Alcohol: Traditional vs. Product Placement**

Participants easily recalled a number of brands of alcohol they had seen advertised lately. One quarter of the participants mentioned television commercials for Corona as well as Budweiser or Bud Light. Other brands for which the participants remembered seeing television advertising include Smirnoff Ice, Mike’s Hard Lemonade, Skyy Blue, Miller or Miller Lite, Captain Morgan Gold, Foster’s and Coors Light. Participants also mentioned that they saw a lot of beer commercials during Monday Night Football and the SuperBowl, especially for Budweiser; another participant pointed out that Miller Lite is a sponsor of NASCAR. Interestingly, the male participants in one group said they liked the commercials for Mike’s Hard Lemonade and would consider trying it after seeing the commercials. One participant said, “It’s so funny, that like, you want to try it.”
Participants recalled hearing radio commercials for Mike’s Hard Lemonade, Skyy Blue, Coors Light, Kahlua, Captain Morgan Gold, and Bud Light. They recalled seeing billboards for Budweiser or Bud Light, Mike’s, Smirnoff Ice, Corona, Heineken, Miller Light, and Captain Morgan. Brands they recalled seeing advertised in magazines the most include Skyy Blue, Corona, Captain Morgan and Absolut. Other brands they mentioned were Bacardi O, Crown Royale, Budweiser, Smirnoff Ice, Mike’s, Heineken, B&J and Hennessey. A majority of the magazine advertisements were mentioned by the males, who listed Source, Details, Vibe and Rolling Stone as magazines they read regularly.

Over three-quarters of the participants thought that alcohol advertisements are directed toward their age group. Some think alcohol companies are going after a target audience of 17- to 20-year-olds, while others think they are trying to reach 17- to 30-year-olds. Those who didn’t think advertising was directed toward them felt the ads were going after those at least 21 or between 20 and 30. In general, the participants felt that alcohol companies are not concerned about underage drinking and only care about selling their products and making money.

Participants felt that alcohol was being used or at least shown in almost every movie they’ve seen, including most teen movies, such as Varsity Blues, American Pie (I and II), Never Been Kissed, Van Wilder, A Walk to Remember and Save the Last Dance. Beer was the most prominent drink. Participants recalled seeing both Budweiser and Heineken, though they could not recall in which films they had seen them. They also pointed out that there are kegs in many teen movies, so the characters are often shown drinking from cups, as opposed to bottles or cans. They felt this was more acceptable
than showing generic brands. One participant thought that some films had to use generics in scenes that depicted negative consequences of alcohol use because the alcohol companies don’t want their products associated with unfavorable or dangerous situations. He added, “Usually, if it’s a fake brand, they’re going to jump off a bridge or do something dumb.”

Participants also recalled seeing alcohol in *Triple X, Coyote Ugly, Disappearing Acts* and *Waiting to Exhale*. While participants could not recall any specific brands shown in films, they did remember what they were drinking, especially when it was verbalized. They specifically remembered that in *The Replacements* the characters were drinking beer, in *Blow* they were drinking whiskey, in *Rollerball* they were drinking martinis, and one participant even remembered seeing alcohol in *Shrek*, when the king was drinking wine. A few of the female participants also recalled that in *Save the Last Dance*, one character ordered a “rum and coke, no ice.”

It is interesting to note that it is important to some of the participants that the characters consuming alcohol in films look at least 21. Teens know they’re not old enough to drink, but many do and they feel like it is their little secret. They don’t like the feeling that alcohol companies are already targeting them. They also don’t like when movies show out-of-control parties with teens getting drunk. Many teens’ parents see these movies and think that’s what all teen parties are like, so they set restrictions on their children’s social lives. The teens object to this because not all parties are out-of-control and not all teens drink at parties.

**Do Movies Influence Teens?**

Movies have influenced the participants in a number of ways, from hobbies to language to clothing and hairstyles. Hobbies or activities they have become interested in
include dancing or cheerleading, cars and/or racing, basketball and martial arts. One participant mentioned seeing a movie (could not recall the title) in which the characters played a game called Pass the Pigs. He said when he saw it in a store, he recalled the characters playing it and that it looked like fun, so he bought it. Participants have also picked up on some of the language used in movies, most of which becomes inside jokes with friends.

Females mentioned copying the hairstyles of Cameron Diaz (couldn’t remember what movie) and Rachel Leigh Cook in *She’s All That* and the makeup of one of the characters in *Clueless* and Jennifer Lopez in all of her movies. Males mentioned copying hairstyles from music videos and television programs, but not from movies.

**Attitudes Toward Product Placements**

Overall, the participants realize that companies put their products in movies to advertise them or so that people will buy them, although some believe that the companies get paid for allowing their brands to appear in movies. Many participants have seen products in movies that they have wanted to buy or actually bought, including clothes and shoes, make-up, and as previously mentioned, the game Pass the Pigs. A few added that they have either bought cars like those in *The Fast and The Furious* or added accessories to their cars to make them more like the cars in *The Fast and The Furious*. Most think others would be influenced to purchase items seen in movies, for example males think females would be influenced to purchase clothes, and females think males would be influenced to purchase shoes. This provides evidence of third person effects, which will be discussed in Chapter 5.

When they see things they use on a daily basis in movies, participants either feel that it is not a big deal because the actors are told to use them, they feel happy or excited
that an actor/actress is using the same brand that they use, or they just think it is a coincidence. As one participant mentioned, “I’ve seen a couple of my outfits in movies and stuff, but it’s not like they only made one of them, so it’s not that big of a deal.” Another said he noticed a faucet that he had in his home, but said, “They don’t zoom in on the name, like Delta . . . but you do notice it ‘cause . . . you have it or use it.” He added that he didn’t think Delta paid for the placement because “people aren’t going to really pay attention to it unless they already have it . . . and then they already bought it.” Other items participants own or use that they have seen in movies include Coca-Cola, clothes and shoes (no specific brands were mentioned), Faygo soda, Cover Girl make-up, Tide detergent and Old Spice deodorant.

The participants have mixed feelings about placing brands of alcohol in teen movies. Many don’t care or feel that it won’t affect anyone because so many teens drink already, others feel the companies are trying to persuade them and/or show off their brand. Many added that the presence of brand name products shows real life or adds realism to the scenes. Others felt that the placements do not affect them but may be bad for others and perhaps should not be there. Very few actually thought it was a good idea or ethical.
CHAPTER 5
DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Discussion

In order for alcohol companies to use product placements successfully to increase brand image, they must understand who each movie’s target audience is and their current level of familiarity with the product. While existing research has studied alcohol use in movies and teens’ perceptions of traditional forms of alcohol advertising, to date there have not been any studies on teens’ perceptions of alcohol use in teen movies or product placements in teen movies, including the attitudes and beliefs of teen audiences.

Overall, the participants were able to correctly recall the scene and character using the brand in two of the three movie clips. One important factor in the recall of the placements was the prominence of the product in each scene. The fact that none of the participants recalled the brand in *Loser* suggests that its placement was not prominent enough. It may also suggest that the brand would have to be consumed or mentioned in order to gain recall among this age group, since they remembered seeing Corona in *The Fast and The Furious* and Sam Adams in *Summer Catch* after they were both mentioned and being directly consumed. Participants also tended to notice the brand more if it was associated with humor in the scene, though it did not improve the brand’s image.

A major finding in this study was that teens were much more likely to recall traditional advertisements for brands of alcohol than they were to recall placements of brands of alcohol in movies; they also were more likely to recognize and recall brands in movies if they had seen them advertised. The participants may remember the traditional
forms of advertising before product placements because of the repetition or frequency of commercials and print ads, and the fact they said they would need to see a movie many times in order to notice all the props and everything in the background in a scene. It may also be because, as one participant mentioned, brands tend to blend into the background of the scene, especially if it is a setting in which the brand would normally appear, such as a bar. It is interesting to note that the brands the participants reported drinking the most often, Smirnoff Ice, Mike’s Hard Lemonade, Skyy Blue, Corona and Budweiser were also among the brands they had seen advertised the most lately.

In order for placements to be successful, they must be a part of a larger marketing plan. Sam Adams went unnoticed by many participants because they were unfamiliar with the brand, as a result of not seeing it advertised very much, if at all. Corona was noticed by many of the participants, who also mentioned seeing a good amount of television commercials for it. Interestingly, about three weeks after the focus groups were conducted, commercials for Sam Adams Light started appearing on television. It is possible that if the focus groups had been conducted at least a month or two after the commercials aired, the participants might have taken more notice of Sam Adams in the clips.

This study also found that the effects of product placements on teens are not immediate. Teens rarely go shopping with the intention of purchasing a product they saw in a movie; however, if they see a product in the store and remember it from a movie, they may buy it. This may also apply to their current feelings about brands of alcohol. While they cannot legally purchase alcohol, many of the participants mentioned that if they were given the opportunity, they might try a brand that they had seen in a movie, but
probably would not consciously seek out the brand. They added that their friends’ approval was a big determinant in whether or not they would continue using the brand.

Those who do not drink but plan to in the future did not mention any brands that they plan to consume, which suggests that alcohol advertisements do not resonate with those who are not currently interested in alcohol. This may support the alcohol industry’s claim that they are targeting current drinkers, and trying to get them to switch brands or continue drinking their same brand, rather than trying to get those who do not drink to start.

For the most part, the participants were aware that companies pay to have their products placed in movies and that the actors may not use those products in real life. Because they attributed the motive for placing products in movies as being to advertise, they are not likely to blindly accept the products shown in films.

Teens do not have a problem with seeing brands in movies because they have always been there and they are used to them. The participants felt that brand name products added realism to films and most would rather see a brand name product than a generic product, because generics detract from the scene and make the movie look cheap. However, while companies use product placement to increase brand image, when the participants encountered an unfamiliar brand (Sam Adams), they either thought it was a cheaper product or mistook it for another brand (Budweiser). In addition, in scenes with alcohol use, they felt that it looked “fake” when the characters were shown drinking out of cups, unless there was a keg present.

The fact that a few participants thought they saw Budweiser in two of the clips has some interesting implications. It suggests that when an unfamiliar product is present or
when they can’t tell what brand it is (as in the case with Sam Adams), they may think it is a different brand, one with which they are more familiar. It may also imply that when characters are drinking any brand of beer, participants may associate beer in general with a popular brand. This may mean that when one company places its product in a movie, other better-known companies in the industry could benefit.

Teens believe they are being targeted, which may be another reason why they do not feel like product placements affect them. Many of the participants have watched teen movies with family members and expressed concern that younger audiences, particularly their younger siblings, might be influenced by alcohol placements in movies.

Many believed that the alcohol usage is unnecessary, not only in these clips but in teen movies in general. Some even thought it was unethical and should only be used in R-rated movies. Others pointed out that the context of usage determines if the placement is ethical. For example, one participant pointed out that the characters appeared to be 21 and they were not being irresponsible or getting drunk or sick, so they just seemed like social drinkers and the placements weren’t a problem.

It is important to note the presence of third-person effects among participants. Although many mentioned that they had purchased or wanted to purchase items they had seen in movies, they did not believe that the placement of brands in movies influences their purchase decisions. They did, however, believe that the presence of brands in movies might influence others to purchase those items. The group dynamic seemed to be a powerful stimulus in participants’ exhibiting third person effects. For example, as soon as one participant said he/she thought others would be influenced, everyone else seemed to agree. There were also some interesting differences between males and females.
Females thought that males might be influenced to purchase shoes and males thought females might be influenced to purchase clothes they had seen in movies. In general, the participants did not think showing alcohol in movies has any effect on them, but they did think it might influence some of their peers and younger audiences to drink. They also thought that showing brands of alcohol might influence others to seek out those brands. Some of the females added that they thought males might be more influenced to try a brand of alcohol after seeing it consumed by a favorite actor in a movie. In addition, participants thought alcohol abuse is a big problem among high school students. The fact that most of the participants’ friends drink offered a possible explanation for their strong tendency to exhibit third-person effects.

Participants exhibited evidence of social learning with respect to alcohol consumption, though it is difficult to tell whether they were modeling their peers’ behaviors or using them as reinforcements. Teens may model some of the behaviors and preferences of characters in movies, but they need their peers’ approval to continue the behaviors. On the other hand, all of the participants who drink reported that their friends drink, so their alcohol consumption habits may be a product of peer influence, and movies may just reinforce the behavior.

The fact that the participants who drink are almost always with friends when they do so suggests that drinking is seen as a social activity. Many mentioned that when characters in teen movies are shown drinking, they are always with friends, and are often at a party, which may reinforce drinking as a group activity or as normal social behavior. Many added that the party scenes in each of the movie clips portrayed “typical teen life,” which suggests that the movies reinforce, rather that motivate, the drinking behavior.
Males seemed to be the focus of each of the three movie clips, and while they were interacting with females in each of the clips, it was mostly males that were shown drinking alcohol, specifically beer. This may be sending a message to both males and females, as far as social interaction and alcohol use. Females may perceive drinking alcohol, or at least drinking beer, to be a masculine activity, while males may associate it with “male bonding.”

The role of the alcohol in each scene may also have contributed to social learning. Many participants pointed out that in *The Fast and The Furious* Dominic took a Corona away from another male character who was trying to cause trouble, and gave it to his new friend Brian. In doing this, he punished the one character by taking the Corona away from him, and made it seem like a reward for Brian, who had helped him. The positive portrayal of Corona in this scene may cause teenagers to believe that a Corona is an appropriate reward in a social situation.

The positive associations with alcohol in these scenes stood out to participants more than did the negative associations. After the participants were informed that the brand shown in *Loser* was Sam Adams, they did not associate it with the unfavorable characters or references to date rape drugs in the clip. In the clip from *Summer Catch*, Ryan ordered three Sam Adams and was shown giving them to two of his new friends. Through this action, teens may associate making new friends with consuming alcohol. Also in this clip, Billy started laughing and spit his beer out. While spitting something out is usually a bad sign, in this scene it was related to humor, so teens may also associated drinking with laughter and having a good time.
As previously mentioned, participants said they might try a brand that they had seen in a movie, and would continue using the brand if their friends used it too. This suggests that their brand choices are also a product of social learning. The participants notice the brand, remember seeing it, reproduce the behavior and then, based on peer approval, either stop using the brand or continue to use it.

It is interesting to note that the brands the participants drink most often are also the brands they recalled seeing advertised the most lately. While it may be because the ads influence which brands they choose to drink, it may also be because of their heavy preference for malt beverages and the large volume of ads for this category. It is possible that the advertisements are the main source of influence, and that peer approval and movie portrayals may serve as reinforcements for consuming specific brands.

Those who do not currently drink, including those who plan to drink in the future and those who do not, may have received strong anti-drinking messages through school programs, parents or the media, which may be reinforced by their peers who also do not drink. While it is highly likely that those who drink have also received these anti-drinking messages, many anti-drinking messages only address the cognitive or motor problems associated with alcohol use and “fail to discredit” the social and emotional benefits of alcohol use (Lipsitz et al. 1993). Based on the fact that the participants who drink think of drinking as a social activity, which is reinforced by their peers who also drink, peer influence again seems to be the most important factor in social learning.

With regard to this study, social learning theory suggests that peers influence the onset and continuance of drinking, while media portrayals may reinforce it. Alcohol advertising may influence brand choice and lead to brand loyalty at an early age;
however, the fact that the participants could not recall many alcohol brands from movies suggests that product placements do not influence teens’ brand preferences, though they may reinforce them.

**Implications**

The results of this study suggest that in order for product placements to be successful, they must be part of a larger advertising or marketing campaign. The participants did notice the specific brands of alcohol in two of the three movie clips; however, they were more likely to notice the brands if they had already seen them advertised.

The participants did not have different evaluations of the brands based on the context or scene in which they appeared. Previous exposure to the brands through advertising messages and peer usage (or lack thereof) seemed to have the largest effect on their evaluations of Corona and Sam Adams.

Placements will not be effective if younger participants have not seen the product previously advertised, so a company should not rely on product placements to introduce a brand to the public. Brands shown in movies should be part of a campaign that includes traditional advertising.

Critics of product placement advertising should take note that teens do not believe that placements are deceptive or that they are influenced by the placements. However, critics of alcohol advertising may be justified in their beliefs that alcohol advertising is targeting teens and that these ads may influence teens to drink.

**Limitations**

While this study adds to the body of literature on teens and alcohol advertising, as well as product placement, there were some weaknesses in the methodology. Because of
time constraints, the researcher was not able to show the participants a full-length film. This might have allowed the participants to see placements for some non-alcoholic products as well as alcoholic beverages and compare the two. The participants might not have been able to recall the alcohol placements as easily after sitting through the entire movie. Also, showing the three brief clips in a classroom did not compare to the experience teens would normally have if watching it at home or in the movie theater.

The placement of the questionnaire during the focus group session may also be a limitation. The questionnaires were given to the participants after the focus group discussion, so the participants may have experienced priming effects after discussing alcohol portrayals and alcohol advertising. Some of the participants may have reported drinking more or less than they normally do, or drinking brands that they normally do not drink.

There were also limitations in conducting a study with teenagers. They are more likely to either conform, or agree with others in order to avoid standing out; they may also express more extreme views than they normally would (Edmunds 1999).

**Suggestions for Future Research**

Alcohol use in teen movies can be studies in a number of other ways. This study could be expanded by comparing the alcohol placements in teen movies to placements for other general products. This would gauge teens’ recall of placements in general and suggest which types of products are most likely to get their attention. Another possibility in studying alcohol placements would be to show participants clips with either no brands (just cups) or both brands and cups, to see if they notice the brands or if they think they see brands in scenes with just cups.
The effectiveness of product placements versus traditional advertising can also be studied using teenage participants and showing them both television commercials and clips from television programs or movies. This could be used to gauge recall as well as determine which type of message is more effective.

Many of the participants mentioned the music industry’s influence on their personal style. Many also mentioned alcohol’s prevalence in music, including brands that were mentioned in songs or shown in music videos. Music videos should be studied to gauge teens’ attitudes and perceptions of the brands, both alcohol and general products, mentioned in songs or shown in videos.

In conclusion, the knowledge gained from this research can be used as a base for additional research on teens and alcohol advertising and alcohol placements in movies. It provides a base of information on the ways teenagers notice and evaluate alcohol advertising, product placements and alcohol placements, and how they view the alcohol industry.
APPENDIX A
SCREENING QUESTIONS

Name: ______________________________________________________

Age: _____________________

Gender:   Female  Male

How many movies do you typically see in the theater each month? __________

How many movies do you typically rent each month? __________

How many movies do you typically watch on cable television each month? _________
APPENDIX B
FOCUS GROUP QUESTION GUIDE

1. What do you like to do in your free time (activities, hobbies)?

2. How many of you have seen the first movie (name)?
   a. Where did you see it (in the theater, on video, on cable TV)?
   b. Who did you see them with (friend, sibling)?
   c. How many times have you seen this movie (why if more than once)?

3. How many of you have seen the second movie (name)?
   a. Where did you see it (in the theater, on video, on cable TV)?
   b. Who did you see them with (friend, sibling)?
   c. How many times have you seen this movie (why if more than once)?

4. How many of you have seen the third movie (name)?
   a. Where did you see it (in the theater, on video, on cable TV)?
   b. Who did you see them with (friend, sibling)?
   c. How many times have you seen this movie (why if more than once)?

5. Is there anything in these clips that stands out to you?

6. When you watch movies, do you notice if there are brand names or generic products being used?
   a. Which movies? Which products?
   b. How do you feel about seeing brand names in movies?

7. Did you notice any brand name products being used in these clips?
   a. describe the scene
   b. character(s) using them
      i. how old do you think the characters are supposed to be?
      ii. do you like the characters?
   c. how are the products placed in each scene (mentioned, consumed, purchased)?

8. Does usage of alcohol in these clips say anything about the characters (brand and type of alcohol)?
   a. First movie
   b. Second movie
   c. Third movie
9. How do you feel about seeing the characters in these clips drinking alcohol? Does it make you want to drink?

10. Do you think others are influenced to drink by seeing these characters drinking? Do you think it influences what they drink?

11. Have you noticed alcohol use in other movies?
   a. What movies?
   b. Specific brands?

12. What types and brands of alcohol have you seen advertised the most lately?
   a. Through what media (tv, radio, outdoor, magazine)?
   b. Do you feel alcohol advertisements are directed towards you?

13. Have characters in other movies ever influenced your:
   a. Activities/interests/hobbies?
   b. Support of social causes/issues?
   c. Clothing or hairstyles?
   d. Language?
   e. Other behaviors (smoking, using condoms?)

14. Why do you think a company would put its products in a movie?
   a. Have you ever seen a product in a movie and wanted to buy it or actually bought it?
   b. Do you think others are influenced to purchase items they’ve seen in movies?
   c. Have you seen products you use in movies? How does it make you feel?

15. How do you feel about placements of brands of alcohol in movies?
   a. Persuaded? Manipulated? Subliminal?
   b. Good idea?
   c. Ethical?
APPENDIX C
QUESTIONNAIRE

***DO NOT WRITE YOUR NAME ON THIS!!!***

1. How many movies do you typically see in the theater each month? __________
2. How many videos do you typically rent each month? __________

3. Over the past month I have done the following with my friends (please check all that apply):
   ___ Gone to the movies
   ___ Rented a movie
   ___ Attended a sporting event
   ___ Consumed alcohol
   ___ Attended a concert
   ___ Gone to the beach
   ___ Smoked cigarettes
   ___ Attended a party
   ___ Consumed alcohol at a party
   ___ Gone shopping

4. Do you ever consume alcoholic beverages (including beer, wine, liquor or malt beverages)? (circle one)
   YES   NO

5. If you answered “YES” to question 4, please answer the following, if not, continue to question 8.
   A. When did you start drinking alcoholic beverages (at what age)?_________
   B. How often do you drink alcohol?
      ___ Every day
      ___ Once a week
      ___ Once a month
      ___ Every few months
      ___ Other (explain) __________________________________________
   C. Where do you usually drink alcohol?____________________________
   D. When you drink, who are you usually with?
      ___ A friend/friends
      ___ A parent/parents
      ___ A brother/sister/cousin
      ___ Other (explain) __________________________________________
E. What kind of alcoholic beverage do you usually drink?
   ___ Beer
   ___ Wine
   ___ Liquor
   ___ Other (wine coolers, malt beverages, etc.)

F. What brand(s) do you usually drink? ______________________________

G. How much do you usually drink?
   ___ 1 serving (bottle/can of beer, glass of wine, shot of liquor)
   ___ 2-3 servings
   ___ 4-6 servings
   ___ more than 6 servings

6. Have you ever been drunk? (circle one) YES NO

7. If you answered “YES” to question 6, please answer the following, if not, continue to question 8.

   A. How many times in the past six months? ______________________

   *Now think of one time when you were drunk and answer the following:

   B. Where were you? ________________________________________

   C. Who were you with? (check all that apply)
      ___ A friend/friends
      ___ A parent/parents
      ___ A brother/sister/cousin
      ___ Other

   D. What kind of alcohol was it? (check all that apply)
      ___ Beer
      ___ Wine
      ___ Liquor
      ___ Other (wine coolers, malt beverages, etc.)

   E. What brand(s)? ____________________________________________

8. If you do not currently drink alcoholic beverages, do you plan to in the future?
   YES NO
9. If you answered “YES” to question 8, please answer the following, if not, continue to question 10.
   A. When?
      ___ Within the next month
      ___ Within the next year
      ___ When I am in college
      ___ After I turn 21
      ___ I don’t know

   B. What type(s) of alcohol do you plan to consume? (check all that apply)
      ___ Beer
      ___ Wine
      ___ Liquor
      ___ Other (wine coolers, malt beverages, etc.)
      ___ I don’t know

   C. What brands, if any, do you plan to consume? ______________________

10. Do your friends drink alcohol? YES   NO

11. Do you think alcohol abuse is a big problem among high school students?
    YES   NO

12. Age:   ___ 16
       ___ 17
       ___ 18

13. Gender:   MALE      FEMALE

14. What are your plans after high school?
    ___ Attend community college
    ___ Get a job
    ___ Join the military
    ___ Attend a university
    ___ Other (explain) ________________________________
APPENDIX D
IRB AND CONSENT FORMS
Institutional Review Board Form for study with teenagers

UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA INSTITUTIONAL REVIEW BOARD

1. TITLE OF PROJECT: Thesis on Product Placement of Brands of Alcohol in “Teen” Movies

2. PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Amy Bellin, Masters Student
   700 SW 62 Blvd., Apt. B-24
   Gainesville, FL 32607
   (352) 379-5718
   abellin@ufl.edu

3. SUPERVISOR: Debbie Treise, Ph.D., Associate Professor
   Department of Advertising, 2084 Weimer Hall
   392-9755
   dtreise@jou.ufl.edu


5. SOURCE OF FUNDING FOR THE PROJECT: N/A

6. SCIENTIFIC PURPOSE OF THE INVESTIGATOR:
   I have developed a focus group study with a brief questionnaire and question guide. The results will serve as data for a master’s thesis. The focus groups seek to determine the attitudes and opinions of high school students on product placement advertising, specifically the placement of brands of alcohol in PG-13 movies.

7. DESCRIBE THE RESEARCH METHODOLOGY IN NON-TECHNICAL LANGUAGE:
   Six or seven focus groups will be conducted at Atlantic High School in Delray Beach and Boca Raton High School in Boca Raton to determine the attitudes and opinions of high school students concerning the placement of brands of alcohol in “teen” movies as a form of advertising.

8. POTENTIAL BENEFITS AND ANTICIPATED RISK:
   There are no risks involved to participants. Participants will benefit by receiving extra credit.

Participants will be recruited through various classes at Atlantic High School and Boca Raton High School. Prior to the focus groups, parental consent forms will be signed by each participant’s parent/guardian. The researcher will be available to answer any questions via phone or e-mail. After parental consent is obtained, focus groups will be conducted after school. Participants will be males and females, 15-18 years old. Approximately 36 students will participate in one of seven focus groups. Each focus group will contain 5-6 participants. The students will receive extra credit for participation.

10. DESCRIBE THE INFORMED CONSENT PROCESS. INCLUDE A COPY OF THE INFORMED CONSENT.

For participants under the age of 18, the informed consent process will consist of informed consent of the participant’s parent or guardian, as well as oral assent of the participant. The parent’s form is the standard IRB form attached. The participant’s form is written in slightly simpler language to ensure that each participant understands the information.

(see the attached consent script and informed consent form)

____________________________ Principal Investigator Signature __________ Date

____________________________ Supervisor’s Signature __________ Date

I approve this protocol for submission to UFIRB:

____________________________ Department Chair/Center Director

__________________________ Date
Parental Informed Consent

Dear Parent/Guardian,

I am a graduate student in the Department of Advertising at the University of Florida, conducting research on teenagers’ perspectives on product placement advertising. Product placement advertising is the practice of placing branded products in movies or television programs as a way to advertise those products. The purpose of this study is to determine if teens notice product placements in movies and gain an understanding of how they feel about them. The focus of this study will be placement of brands of alcohol in movies directed toward teenagers. With your permission, I would like to ask your child to volunteer for this research.

If you agree that you would like your child to participate in this study, he/she will be asked to participate in a focus group asking about their perceptions of product placement advertising in general, alcohol advertising, and placement of brands of alcohol in movies. Short clips from PG-13 rated movies (The Fast and the Furious, Loser, and Summer Catch) will be shown and a discussion on product placement will follow. This discussion will take place after school and will last approximately an hour to an hour and a half. With your permission, your child will be audio taped during the focus group discussion. The audiotapes will be kept in a locked cabinet in a faculty member’s office until they have been transcribed, and then they will be destroyed. Your child will not be identified in any way, as no identifying information will be solicited from them. Only the faculty member, the transcriber and the primary investigator will have access to the transcripts. The results of this research will be used in a master’s thesis. You may request a copy of the final thesis. After completion of the thesis all requested copies will be given to the high school’s administration office by May 2003.

All of the participants’ answers will be confidential to the extent provided by law. They will not be identified in any way. We are not asking for their name or any identifying information. They do not have to answer any questions that they do not wish to answer. They may stop at any time without consequence. Your child has the right to withdraw at any time during this study. There are no anticipated risks for completing this study, but their participation will be beneficial in providing the academic community with data on teenagers’ perspectives about alcohol product placement.

I will be providing refreshments for them during the focus group session.

This focus group research is being supervised by Dr. Debbie Treise, Associate Professor in the Department of Advertising at the University of Florida, College of Journalism and Communications. If you have any questions about this focus group, she can be reached at 392-9755. If you have any questions or concerns about the research participants’ rights, they can be directed to the UFWRB office, PO Box 112250, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611-2250; ph (352) 392-0433.
[ ] I voluntarily agree to allow my child, ______________________________________,
to participate in a focus group on alcohol product placement in “teen” movies.

[ ] I do not wish for my child, _______________________________________________,
to participate in a focus group on alcohol product placement in “teen” movies.

[ ] I request a copy of the final thesis.

I have read the procedure described above. I voluntarily agree to allow my child,
___________________________________________, to participate in Amy Bellin’s study on alcohol product placement in “teen” movies, and I have received a copy of this description.

________________________   Parent/Guardian Signature     ____________   Date
Child Assent Script

I am a student at the University of Florida and I am writing my master’s thesis about high school students. To write this paper, I need to talk to you about movies. If you or your parents have any questions, you can call my supervisor, Dr. Debbie Treise, at 352-392-9755.

If you agree to participate in this study, I will show you scenes from three PG-13 rated movies: The Fast and the Furious, Loser and Summer Catch. Then I will talk to you about those movies and any other movies that come to mind.

You do not have to tell me your name. You do not have to answer any questions that you do not want to and you may leave at any time. This will take about an hour to an hour and a half.

I am tape recording this conversation so that I can listen to it again. You will not be identified on this tape. The only people that will listen to this are the transcriber, my supervisor and myself.
APPENDIX E
SAMPLE FOCUS GROUP TRANSCRIPT
Transcription of focus group with high school students at Boca Raton High School. This focus group consisted 6 males.

Q: Before we get started, I have to read this to you. I am a student at the University of Florida and I am writing my master’s thesis about high school students. To write this paper, I need to talk to you about movies. If you or your parents have any questions, you can call my supervisor, Dr. Debbie Treise, at 352-392-9755. If you agree to participate in this study, I will show you scenes from three PG-13 rated movies: *The Fast and the Furious*, *Loser* and *Summer Catch*. Then I will talk to you about those movies and any other movies that come to mind. You do not have to tell me your name. You do not have to answer any questions that you do not want to and you may leave at any time. This will take about an hour to an hour and a half. I am tape recording this conversation so that I can listen to it again. You will not be identified on this tape. The only people that will listen to this are the transcriber, my supervisor and myself. Does anyone have any questions before we get started? Okay. Well first of all, what do you like to do in your free time? I’ll start with you and go around the table.

A: I wish I had free time.

A: Yeah.

A: I play football and everything. Free time I try to rest.

A: Rest and talk on the phone.

A: Four-wheeling.

A: I just go around with friends, hang out, when I have free time.

A: I like to rest, too...to hang out with friends.

A: Hang out with my friends and girlfriend, find trouble.

A: I like to, you know, hang out with my friends, like everyone else, and I like to, um, stay home and play video games...and that’s pretty much it.

Q: How many of you have seen the first movie? *Fast and The Furious*?

A: I did.

A: Yes ma’am.

A: Yes.
A: Yes.

A: Yes.

Q: And where did you see it the first time? In the theater, on TV, video…?

A: Seen it in the theater, when the first Friday it came out.

Q: And who did you go see it with?

A: With…the football team.

Q: How about you?

A: In the movie theater, with, uh, my girlfriend.

A: In the movie theater with my parents.

A: Movie theater with my friends.

A: On video with my girlfriend.

A: On video with my family. My mom, and my dad, and my brother.

Q: How many times have you seen it?

A: Oh Lord. Um…would you count renting it, watching it over and over?

Q: Yeah, every time you’ve watched it.

A: In between 20 and 50 times, probably.

Q: And why have you watched it more that once?

A: I seen it in movie theaters three times with the football team, twice with my girlfriend, then when I rented it on DVD, since I have a Playstation 2, I kept watching it and watching it when I was bored.

Q: So why did you want to watch it more than once?

A: It was exciting.

A: I saw it, about…50 times.

Q: And why did you watch it more than once?
A: ‘Cause I learn something new about the cars every time.

A: (INAUDIBLE)

Q: Okay, and why have you watched it more than once?

A: The action.

A: Like, three times. It was…like, exciting to watch.

A: I watched it just once. I liked it…it’s a lot of excitement. And the girls, man. She’s hot.

A: I watched it, like, three times. I rented it. And um, I liked it because it was, like, entertaining. It was, um…like it was a good movie. It was like…I can’t (INAUDIBLE).

Q: And how many of you have seen Loser, the second movie?

A: I haven’t seen it.

A: Nope.

A: I did.

A: I haven’t seen it.

A: I’ve seen it.

A: I’ve seen it.

Q: Okay, so just the three of you? And the first time you saw it, where were you?

A: Um…I saw it on, like, pay-per-view or something.

Q: And who’d you watch it with?

A: My cousin.

A: My girlfriend.

Q: Was it in the theater or…?

A: No. Video.

A: Home, pay-per-view.
Q: How many times have all of you seen it?

A: A couple.

A: Once.

A: Two or three.

Q: Why did you guys watch it more than once?

A: Nothing else to do.

A: Yeah. And it’s like, a teen movie, so you got nothing else to do, put it in…

Q: Did you like it?

A: It was all right.

A: Not compared to the Fast and the Furious.

A: I didn’t really like it, like the first time I saw it, I didn’t really like it, but like, I had, like, there was nothing else on TV, so I just watched it again, ‘cause, like, they play the movies over and over.

Q: Okay, and what about the third movie, Summer Catch? How many of you guys have seen that?

A: I saw it.

A: I saw it.

A: I saw it.

A: I haven’t seen it.

A: I haven’t either.

A: No.

Q: Where did you see it?

A: I’ve seen it once, on pay-per-view.

Q: Who did you watch it with?
A: Myself.

A: Pay-per-view.

Q: How many times have you watched it?

A: Like twice.

Q: And who did you watch it with?

A: My brothers.

Q: And why did you watch it more than once?

A: Nothing else to do.

Q: Did you like it?

A: Not really.

Q: What about you? Did you like it?

A: At first it was boring, until towards the middle…I got into it.

Q: And how about you?

A: Um, the first time I saw it, I thought, like scenes were funny, like parts of it were funny. And then I saw it, like, a couple more times, and like once was just because I had nothing else to do. And, like, the third time, that girl, she gets in the swimming pool in, like, her underwear. Like, just her underwear and her bra…and she’s really hot.

A: Yeah.

Q: Was there anything in these clips particularly that stood out to you or that really caught your attention?

A: Girls.

A: Girls.

A: I watch movies just because I hear there’s, like, a hot girl in it.

A: Yeah. Or there’s some (INAUDIBLE).

A: I’ll go along with him.

Q: Girls and parties and stuff?
A: Yeah, ‘cause, you know, (INAUDIBLE).

A: (INAUDIBLE), action, (INAUDIBLE)

A: I would say the action, yeah.

A: The action stands out. Also, like, the tension that builds up the movie. Like in Fast and the Furious, there was tension because the new kid was trying to fit in and the old people, friends, didn’t like it. The girls, the alcohol. Most all three movies had all the same stuff.

Q: Okay. When you watch movies, do you notice if there are brands names, as opposed to generic products being used by the characters?

A: I do. Like, when they get up in the morning to, like, brush their teeth, like I notice the mouthwash that they use isn’t Scope, it says like Schope or something…

Q: Okay, so you have noticed that? Are there any specific movies you can remember seeing that in?

A: Um, in like some of them, they, like…like, they walk out of the refrigerator and they, like, grab the milk. I can’t remember what movies…

Q: But you do remember seeing that. Okay. Yeah, basically with generics, like instead of seeing a can of Coke or Pepsi, it will just say “Soda.”

A: Oh, they do that so they don’t have to pay the company. If you show them, their name and their brand.

Q: Something like that. Have you noticed any brands in particular?

A: Yeah.

A: Like, the shoes that the guys wear. Like, if they’re not wearing, like boots or something, they’re like…if they’re wearing sports shoes they’re, like, Nikes or Adidas or something.

A: Yeah, Nikes.

Q: Any brands or generics that you’ve noticed in any other movies?

A: Cars.

A: Yeah, they always have nice cars. Mercedes…
A: Mercedes.

A: No.

A: No, not really.

A: Like in the Fast and The Furious, um, the engines, like, once I would see, like, an air filter say, like, Canon (sp?) and on somebody else’s car it wouldn’t.

A: To me, well them three movies, I didn’t see nothing that stood out, but it depends on the movie.

Q: Are there any movies that you’ve seen, that you can think of, that you remember seeing a brand?

A: Yeah, some movies, most females try to wear Gucci. It be funny. Um, they try to get the most expensive things.

Q: How do you feel about seeing brand name products in movies?

A: I like it, because, like, normally, like, most movies I see, the don’t have the brand name, it’s just, like, cheap stuff…

A: Yeah.

A: …And, like, if they’re making a movie, they should try to make it, like, to the best of their ability, so they put, like, get, like, the good stuff instead of generic.

A: I don’t care if a guy’s wearing Nike’s or Kicks, Wal-mart walkings. It doesn’t make a difference to me. It has nothing to do with the movie.

A: Yeah, same with him. Like, just the gist of the movie…it doesn’t matter.

A: Yeah.

A: I would say the same thing.

A: Yeah, pretty much the same thing because it doesn’t matter. They don’t have no impact on the movie, long as it’s got action and point and interesting. Doesn’t change the person.

Q: Did you notice any brand name products being used in these clips?

A: Beer. Corona.

A: Yeah.
A: Yeah.
A: Yeah.
A: Yeah, that’s what I was going to say.
A: Yeah.
Q: Did you all notice the Corona?
A: Yeah (all).
A: Corona and (INAUDIBLE)
Q: What?
A: He gave him a choice of either Corona or… Bud?
A: Mm mm.
A: No, they both were Corona.
A: (INAUDIBLE)
Q: Did you notice brands in Loser or Summer Catch?
A: No, well, he bought some kind of beer.
A: He bought some kind of beer, but…
A: I think it might have been Captain Morgan or something.
A: No, Samuel Adams.
Q: Which clip?
A: The last one.
A: Loser.
A: No…oh yeah.
A: Summer Catch.
A: In, uh, Summer Catch, yeah, Samuel Adams, and Loser…I don’t know what he had but it was two cases.

A: In all three movies the clothing was like, almost, on the females it was almost the same. It’s just that…but it didn’t say no name brand. It was just a particular style. It was all the same.

Q: Okay. I want to go back to Loser for a minute. Did you notice a brand at all in there?

A: No.

A: No.

A: No.

Q: You said you noticed the boxes that he was carrying…

A: Oh, I saw them, but, like, I didn’t know what they were.

Q: Okay. It was actually Sam Adams.

A: Yeah, that’s what I thought it was.

Q: How old do you think the characters in each of these clips were supposed to be?

A: About 20

A: In their teenage years. Like, 17 to 25.

Q: In all of them?

A: Yeah.

A: In Fast and The Furious they seemed to be…in their mid-30’s.

A: They’re older than 17…like in their 20’s.

A: Yeah.

A: Yeah.

A: Fast in the Furious, like I think they were 30, 28, 29, yeah.

A: In the Fast and The Furious I think they were supposed to be, like, 25 or 26 or something. And in Loser they were in college, so they were probably supposed to be, like, 20, I guess. And in Summer Catch, they played for the baseball team, so, like, they
can’t be too old…and they still hadn’t gone off to play in the majors or anything, so they had to be, like, 22 or something.

A: To me, all the characters ranged from 21 to 25, ‘cause you gotta at least be 21 to drink, so…

A: Yeah.

A:…all of ‘em were ranged from 21 to 25. I don’t think they’d be older.

A: Yeah, the drinking and then driving, you can’t have, like all the sports and all that other (INAUDIBLE).

A: Yeah.

Q: Do you think there’s any chance that they were under 21?

A: Yeah, the guy that bought it in…Summer Catch?

A: yeah, that guy they called Einstein in Fast and The Furious, where he was like, ‘hey Einstein,’

A: That Summer Catch guy

A: He was about 20

A: the one that bought the beer…he doesn’t look it…he looked underage.

Q: You don’t think he was 21?

A: Yeah. Just, like, the guy didn’t care.

A: He was his brother.

Q: Did you like the characters in the clips that were shown? We’ll start with Fast and The Furious.

A: The bald…

A: Yes.

A: Yes.

A: Yeah.

A: yes.
A: yes.
Q: What about Loser? Did you like those characters?
A: The girls.
A: (INAUDIBLE)
A: The girls.
A: Even though in Loser, I didn’t see it, the clip that you showed got me interested, like I wanted to see it, so yeah.
A: No, I don’t like them.
A: Yeah, they looked kind-of funny.
Q: What didn’t you like about the characters in Loser?
A: They were just stupid.
A: They try too hard.
A: Yeah.
Q: What about the characters in Summer Catch?
A: No.
A: Yeah, they were ok. They, like, they act stupid, but it was comedy and action mixed together, so…
A: Yeah, I would say the same thing as him.
A: Yeah.
A: (INAUDIBLE)
A: I liked the characters in Summer Catch. They were funny.
Q: Do you think the usage of alcohol in these clips says anything particular about the characters? Specifically, the brands that they were drinking or what it was?
A: They like to party and get drunk.
A: Party and get drunk.

A: Yeah, in that age, you see that they like to drink and stuff, or like it’s the thing to do in that age.

A: Yeah.

A: 21 to 25, that’s pretty much what they live to do, to go clubbing and partying and stuff like that. Like, right now, as teenagers, we try to do it, but we’re not allowed to, so we can’t do most things, but once you hit 21, or in between 21 and 25, that’s your life right there. It’s going to be nothing but memories, so they do as much as they can. It doesn’t depend on the character. It doesn’t say nothing because in none of those scenes you haven’t seen anybody get drunk to the point where they’re going to throw up or something like that. Like, in I think it was Loser, the girl tried a drug or something…

A: They spiked her drink.

A: Like, see, she didn’t know that, so she got sick. Them other people, they wouldn’t do that to themselves, to like, take a overdose and hurt themselves.

Q: Well, you all noticed that Vin Diesel was drinking Corona. Do you think that says anything about his character in the movie? Or the facts that he was drinking beer instead of, say, liquor?

A: That’s Mexican beer.

A: That’s just his choice.

A: He just…it doesn’t really matter, as long as it’s alcohol.

A: No, ‘cause he said you can drink Corona, that’s it.

A: ‘Cause all he had was Corona.

A: To me, throughout the movie, even though you just showed a clip, since I’ve watched the movie so many times, that’s probably one out of two scenes that you only caught him drinking, so basically he was just a social drinker, so it wasn’t nothing heavy, so it doesn’t change nothing about him.

Q: Okay. Do you think the fact his character was using it says anything about the brand, as opposed to the brand saying something about him?

A: Oh, he’s Mexican, maybe.

A: No, like since the movie was a hit, some people may go, be like, ‘oh, he drunk Corona, let me drink Corona.’ Just like, after The Fast and The Furious came out, so
many people, were like, trying to their cars all hooked up and there’s so many people that end up dying, this and that. So movies do have a impact on teenagers.

A: Yeah.

Q: Does anyone want to add anything?
Q: Okay, what about the Sam Adams? I know in Loser it wasn’t as prominent, but how about in Summer Catch? They were all sitting around, you know, drinking Sam Adams. Do you think that says anything about the characters? Or does it say anything about the brand that those types of characters were drinking it?

A: No, I don’t know why that guy liked Corona and (INAUDIBLE). Maybe it just tastes better than other beers. I don’t…it doesn’t put together why.

A: I don’t understand it either, because I’m not a drinker, so I don’t know the difference.
A: I’d prefer Corona.

A: Corona, you see commercials about it. Sam Adams, you’re saying it now, I still don’t know what you’re talking about.

A: The guy with the brown hair…

A: No, I understand that. But Sam Adams, the drink, I never heard of that. Never seen a commercial or no one drinking it or nothing like that.

Q: Actually, have any of you guys noticed or seen ads for Sam Adams?
A: I have.
A: No.
A: No.
A: Nope.
A: Sam Adams? Yeah, they’re on.
A: Oh yeah, I’ve seen, maybe, one, two.
A: They’re rare, but…
A: They can’t advertise it as much unless they make a lot of money on it.
A: You don’t see as much as, like, Heineken and Bud Light.
A: Budweiser stinks…it’s going down.
A: It’s like Corona and Heineken are up there now. I see a lot of them commercials. I don’t see no more Budweiser.

Q: How do you feel about the seeing the characters in these clips drinking alcohol?

A: We know we’re going to do it between 21 and 25.

A: You might.

A: You’re not? You’re not going to go to parties?

A: I go to parties now and I don’t.

Q: Does it make you want to drink? Like maybe in the future or even right now?

A: It’s so people do.

A: No, that’s not an impact on us. We already…know we’re going to drink by ourselves.

A: Seriously.

A: Like, if you want to drink right now, you can drink. You just do it behind (INAUDIBLE).

A: Yeah. Long as you don’t do it heavily or and stuff like that where you hurt yourself, become drunk, pass out…like, I’m a sports player, I play football. I know when we go out we see some of the other sports players drinking and we just knock the beer out their hand to get them mad ‘cause it’s football season, you’re not supposed to be doing that. Like, off season, see, I can’t say that I’m not going to drink when I grow up, but I don’t know the future. But it won’t be nothing, like, other than social events.

A: Yeah.

Q: What about the brands that they were drinking? Would this make you want to drink those brands more than anything else?

A: Pass the Couvassier.

A: Not really.

A: Yeah.

A: No.
A: No.

A: No.

A: Oh, that’s probably why…why they had…Corona probably had something to do with that.

Q: So how do you feel about that?

A: No, it’s all about taste. They might want you to try and taste it.

A: I don’t know. I don’t think it would have an effect on someone and change their taste in beer.

Q: So you wouldn’t go out and buy Corona because you thought Vin Diesel was cool?

A: No.

A: No, not really.

A: I think it would. Not…it wouldn’t make them go change their taste, but I think, if they see him in the movies and more commercials of it, they’ll go out and get it, just to taste it, and like, if they see more people like it, they’ll just switch over as peer pressure, and stuff like that.

Q: So you think other people would be influenced by this?

A: Yeah. But that doesn’t stand out. You gotta watch a movie, like, a million times to catch the little things, ‘cause I know when I watch a movie once, and then when I watch it again, I’m like ‘I didn’t see that the first time.’

Q: Have you noticed alcohol being used in other movies?

A: Yeah, a lot of movies.

A: Yeah.

A: Everything, everything.

A: Yeah.

A: Most movies have drinking in them.

A: Yeah.

Q: Can you think of any specific ones?
A: Um... all movies. I can't think of one right now.

A: American Pie.

A: Budweiser.

A: Yeah, American Pie.

A: American Pie.

A: American Pie, American Pie 2 and all them.

A: Like, all the comedy movies and stuff.

A: Yeah, like teen movies and that kind of stuff.

Q: Teen movies in general?

A: Yeah.

A: Yeah.

A: Not really, not all teen movies.

A: Yeah, not all teen movies, just like, some of them.

A: Like Varsity Blues.

A: Varsity Blues was awesome.

A: Some of the teen movies that's rated PG-13 that should be rated R, most of them, yeah.

Q: Okay. Have you noticed brands in any of those movies that specifically come to mind? I know in American Pie there was a lot of drinking, but, were there any brands that you noticed them drinking?

A: What brand is a 40? I see that in a lot of movies. What is that?

A: Budweiser.

A: I don't know, I think I see them drinking out of, like, cups a lot. Not really cans or bottles.

A: Yeah.
A: Yeah, they don’t really have anything in cups. Well, sometimes, at like a party there, in the movie, they’re having like bottles or red cups…

A: ‘Cause they don’t want to show it’s off the tap.

A: They use a lot more kegs.

A: Yeah.

A: Yeah.

A: Yeah.

Q: Okay, so you were saying that there are a lot of PG-13 movies that you think should be rated R. How do you feel about the fact that a lot of these teen movies have drinking in them?

A: They don’t need it so much. It doesn’t really matter.

A: To me, since I’m older now, I really don’t care, but like I got a lot of siblings. I know if they would go out to watch it, I wouldn’t want them to. Even though I know they’re not going to do nothing ‘cause I’ll kill them, but, I wouldn’t want them to, ‘cause…but like there’s laws that say you’re supposed to be a certain age to watch a movie. Most movie theaters don’t even do that, they just let anybody go in now, so it really doesn’t matter.

A: Except for R, and like, they just don’t let you buy the ticket, but it’s not like they’re standing there guarding the door. You can just go in.

A: Yeah.

Q: What do you guys think?

A: Like, if my brothers watched that, I don’t really care in general, ‘cause they’re gonna eventually find out about all that grown-up stuff, but…I don’t know.

Q: You think it’s maybe a little too much?

A: But the movies shouldn’t help them learn that.

A: Some, yeah.

A: My brothers are both older than me, so I don’t have to worry about it.
A: It should show both side effects. There is no both side effects. It should show what happens when you get addicted to it.

A: The same.

Q: I know you guys were just talking about this a couple of minutes ago…you mentioned some kinds of brands of alcohol that you’ve seen advertised a lot lately. You mentioned Heineken…

A: And, uh, Corona.

A: Heineken, Corona, Budweiser.

A: Corona, Captain Morgan’s has a lot of ads.

A: Skyy Blue, Skyy Blue.

Q: In magazines?

A: No, Skyy Blue’s got a commercial I’ve seen.

A: Yeah, Skyy Blue.

A: I haven’t seen that.

Q: What about radio? Have you heard any radio commercials lately for any alcoholic products?

A: Um, no.

A: Mike’s Hard Lemonade.

A: Yeah, Mike’s Hard Lemonade.

A: Oh yeah, yeah.

A: That’s, like, always on, so…

A: Spiked, Spiked Lemonade.

A: I’ve heard, like, a couple Captain Morgan commercials on the radio.

Q: What about billboards?

A: Mike’s Lemonade, Captain Morgan has a lot of billboards, with ‘the Captain was here.’
A: On the way to Miami, there’s, uh, a Heineken one, it’s, like, it’s a six-pack of Heineken and it says, like, I don’t know, it says, like ‘people like our six-pack better’ or something and it has the six kegs.

A: Mike’s Lemonade.

A: Mike’s Hard Lemonade.

A: I don’t see them as much as they used to be advertised.

Q: What about magazine ads?

A: Skyy Blue.

A: Yeah, I can go get a magazine in the classroom right now, you’ll see about four different pages and three different alcohols.

Q: Do you remember any specifically?

A: There was Skyy Blue in there, Corona, those were the only two that I’ve seen.

A: I like, rarely see beers in magazines, but, like, when I do, it’s either Corona or some kind-of, like, Crown Royale or liquor or something. Not beers.

A: Yeah, like he said, it won’t be beers. It’ll be the popular ones, like the ones that stand out, but most of them is hard liquor.

A: Yeah.

A: Yeah.

A: And they use it with sexual ads.

A: yeah, the put, like girls in them.

Q: What magazines do you read that you’ve seen those ads in?


Q: Any of you guys want to add any other ones you’ve seen? Do you feel that these advertisements are directed towards your age group?

A: Yes.

A: Yeah.
A: Yes.

A: They don’t really put them in, like, teen magazines, but like, magazines that kids read, like them put them in People and stuff. I rarely see them in People, but sometimes they will, and like, other magazines. But they’re not really directed at us, I guess, but sort-of; like, everybody.

A: Yeah, they aim for, to me, they aim for 17, 18, and up, because they know that’s the next generation that’s gonna be using their stuff. They don’t care the side effects or what’s gonna happened to them, so they know that we’re starting to have parties, especially when certain times of year come around, they advertise more, try to get people to do more things, so their product can go up.

A: During the SuperBowl there’s always at least one alcohol.

A: One? One?

A: More than one.

A: Yeah, like Budweiser always has one on the SuperBowl.

A: But that’s the SuperBowl, so…it’s only once a year, you know what I’m saying?

Q: What about, um, do you think they’re trying to reach anything older? You said 17 and older, you don’t think they’re maybe aiming for a 25 and older crowd?

A: Yeah, like…17 to 30, but, like, they kind-of just want whatever money comes, they’ll take.

A: Not really because once they hit you when you’re 17, each generation when they’re 17, 18, 19, and 20, they know that once they get used to it, and they like it, they’re gonna always use it throughout their life, no matter what. So they don’t aim as much toward the higher ages.

Q: Have characters in movies ever influenced any of your interests or hobbies or outside activities?

A: Yeah. ‘cause, like, before I saw fast and The Furious, I liked going fast, and then I saw The Fast and The Furious, and I just wanted me to go out and buy a car and do all that other stuff and then go out and race for money. Now, everybody’s starting to do that, and it’s just getting stupid.

A: Yeah.

Q: Okay. What about you?
A: None.

A: No.

A: Actually, I just got this new game, it’s called Pass the Pigs, it’s not, like a, it’s not like a Playstation game or anything, it’s like, like you rol the pigs and however they land, like, that’s how many points you get. Like, they showed it in a movie. I can’t remember what it was called, but it was this one where…Mark Paul Gosselar, they guy who played Zack in Saved By The Bell, him and two of his friends were sitting around a campfire playing, and like, it looked really fun, and they were, like, making bets about it. So I got that and it’s fun.

A: To me, yeah, I think so ‘cause a lot of people change. Like, certain music videos, movies and stuff like that. That makes a person dress a certain way, talk a certain way and all that other stuff. I’m not gonna say I don’t do it because I probably did throughout my life so far. I did probably change ‘cause a movie, but I don’t think that’s good ‘cause it hurts people.

Q: What about clothing or hairstyles?

A: Yeah, a lot of people, like, will go after, like the actresses’ hairstyles, like, the girls.

A: The clothing.

A: I remember when, like…

A: Now music videos and movies, I don’t know about the rest of y’all, a lot of, um, Asian people, Caucasian, whatever, I’m not trying to be racist, they get their hair colored now. African Americans and stuff like that, they get their hair braided. A lot of people. I don’t see why. It don’t do nothing.

A: Well, uh, I forgot what I was going to say.

Q: Okay. I’ll come back to you. Have any of you seen a style a specific style in a movie and said ‘I want to get my hair cut like this or I want to wear this type of clothes.’

A: No.

A: Um, I got my hair braided for a year of my life.

Q: What were you modeling that after?

A: Ooh Lord. I don’t think…I don’t remember. I think Bone Thugs N Harmony.

A: Yeah.
A: Um, it was a music video if Mudvein and he had blue hair and it was in a surfer cut and I said ‘mom, I’m gonna go dye my hair,’ and she said okay. Then I went to the barber shop and I cut my hair the certain way that he had it and I dyed it.

A: No.

A: No.

A: When Buffy the Vampire Slayer first came out, I was in, like, fifth grade or something and I was looking for a hairstyle, and it had that guy on there, Angel. And like, he put his hair up in the front, and like I started doing that, ‘cause my cousin thought it would be a good idea, and that’s when it, like, started getting popular, and then, like, everybody put their hair up in the front.

A: Also, with that, I recall when I was in sixth grade…when I was in fifth, sixth and seventh grade, I used to…now I only wear one chain, but back then I used to wear, like, 8, 9 different chains. Now it’s like it’s why…I don’t even know why I used to do that.

Q: Is there any language from other movie that you guys have picked up on that you use around your friends?

A: Slang, a lot of slang.

Q: Anything specific from a movie?

A: Yeah, I know, like some people use, like, uh, to like, make fun of someone in a movie, if, like they made fun of them that way, they’ll use that same line to make fun of someone.

Q: Can you think of anything specific?

A: I don’t remember, no.

A: I can think of one, even though it’s a long time ago. Little Rascals, when Alfalfa said ‘You sissified tweety bird.’ I said that to someone when I was little.

Q: What about behavior that they show in movies, like drinking or smoking, or even messages against that? Have you noticed anything like that?

A: That really doesn’t affect me. Like, I don’t care what they’re doing. It doesn’t rub off on me.

A: It’s just a movie.

A: Well that’s cool, like Cheech and Chong. That’s funny.
A: I haven’t seen anything, like, against it. I haven’t seen any movies that are against certain things. I just think they all promote it, which, yeah, there should be some against it, but it doesn’t rub off on me either.

A: Yeah. It doesn’t affect me.

A: Not me neither.

Q: Okay. Why do you think a company would put its products in a movie?

A: So they can advertise it.

A: Yeah.

A: Yeah, advertising.

A: More advertising, and the know, like more people go to see movies and stuff, so, like, if they’re a certain age, they’ll put that certain product on, like if they know someone will watch it. Like, if it’s a teenager movie, like they’ll put something in there to, like get teenagers to want to do that, to make money off of it.

A: To me, I don’t think they specifically put it in certain movies. I think they go along with the character, ‘cause I don’t think they see the movies when they allow the people to use their product. Like, say if Lil Bow Wow is to go into a movie, they wouldn’t put that stuff in there ‘cause they know he’s a kid and he’s nothing but 12, so there’ll be little kids watching his movie. But if it was someone, like, popular where they know a lot of teens see that person’s movie just because of that person, they’ll put it in there, yeah.

A: Probably, like, the new Eminem movie will come out. They’ll have a lot of stuff in there, ‘cause everyone’s gonna see that movie.

Q: Have you ever seen a product in a movie and wanted to buy it or actually gone out and bought it?

A: Yeah.

A: Yeah.

A: Um, my sister, we saw The Fast and The Furious and we went out, like, the next week and we did, like, all that stuff that was on the Honda, and we did it to my sister’s car.

A: No, not me.

A: I probably have but I don’t remember.
A: In commercials, not in movies.

A: Yeah, like that Pass the Pigs game that I was talking about, I got that. That’s, like, all I can remember.

A: To me, yeah because I know, ‘cause me, I’m not…I don’t know why, but to me, if I need to dress a certain way, I don’t know why, but I see that’s the dress code in movies, the slang, the jewelry they wear and stuff like that. So a lot of people, that’s what they do.

Q: Do you think other people are influenced to buy things they see used in movies?

A: Yeah.

A: Yeah.

A: Yeah.

A: Yeah, ‘cause movies predict society, ‘cause once a movie comes out, you’ll see everybody do that one thing and stuff like that.

A: Yeah.

A: Yeah.

Q: Have you ever noticed products that you use on a daily basis in movies?

A: I can’t think of any right now.

A: No.

A: I haven’t seen nothing because I believe if most of us could afford half the stuff the put in movies, we would, but, no, not really.

Q: How do you feel about the placements, in general, of the brands of alcohol in movies?

A: It…it…I don’t know. They, ‘cause, they don’t, like movies…certain movies they’ll show a brand name, other movies they’ll just show a cup of something with it in it, so you never can tell, until after, like, the side effect or if they talk about it or something like that. But other than that, there’s no impact or nothing.

A: Yeah.

Q: Okay, ‘cause you were saying that you all know that they put products in movies to advertise them, and you were saying before that a lot of the teen movies have alcohol in
them. Do you think that’s going to have any effect on, like you were saying, your brothers and sisters?

A: Yeah, ‘cause my little brother, he’s what, 14 now, he lives in Hollywood. I know he’s been bad, he’s changed like this. He’s starting to run away, trying to sneak out and stuff like that. I don’t know if it’s ‘cause his friends, movies, music videos or something like that, but I don’t know. Like, that freshman year, that eighth grade and freshman year of high school, that when a lot of people change, and then, once again, when they see how stupid they’re acting, they change at the end of their sophomore year. ‘Cause I’m not gonna lie, my freshman year I got in two fights at Boca High, got kicked out, my GPA, my sophomore year I entered with a .8, and I couldn’t play football I was so mad, I had to raise all that back up and earn it.

Q: Okay. What do you think? Any problems with alcohol brands in movies, especially teen movies?

A: Well, I think, like, some kids, it might have an effect on them, but some other kids are, like smart enough and they’ve, like, matured enough to, like, notice and know, like, reality and just a movie.

A: Pretty much (INAUDIBLE) to his quote. Don’t go and do it. It’s just a movie.

A: Yeah, I think people just watch movies for them. I don’t know of a lot of them go out and do what they do in movies, ‘cause they know it’s probably pretty much just for entertainment.

A: To add on what he’s saying, yeah, us teenagers, we know not to go out and do that, ‘cause we know movies are fake, but like, little kids, they don’t know that. Like, I remember, PowerRangers came out. Little kids used to always run around “I’m a Power Ranger.” And they act…they do stupid things, like they really can fight.

Q: So you think that even though these movies are targeting your age group and you can differentiate that, if younger people saw them they might be a little more influenced?

A: I don’t think…I don’t think…there’s already…me at, me…like, seventh graders, sixth graders, little girls…they watch the movies, they seen the short short people, in videos, they wear them short stuff. Them little kids be acting too grown right now.

A: Mm hm.

Q: Okay, what do you guys think? Any problems with alcohol advertising in teen movies?

A: Not really. Like, if a younger kid sees it, like, maybe, like a elementary student or something, like they don’t know much better, so they’d probably try it or something, like
he was saying, but, like, since we know it’s just a movie and it’s just for entertainment purposes, that, like, we wouldn’t go out and try that.

Q: Okay. Does anyone have anything else they’d like to add?

A: No ma’am.

A: Nope.

A: No.

Q: Okay. The last thing I need you to do is fill out this questionnaire.
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