

ARE YOUR KIDNEYS WEAK?

Thousands of Men and Women Have Kidney Troubles and Never Suspect It.

Nature warns you when the track of health is not clear. Kidney and bladder trouble compel you to pass water often during the night.

Unhealthy kidneys cause lumbago, rheumatism, catarrh of the bladder, pain or dull ache in the back, joints or muscles, at times have headache or indigestion, as time passes you may have a sallow complexion, puffy or dark circles under the eyes, sometimes feel as though you had heart trouble, may have plenty of ambition but no strength, get weak and lose flesh.

If such conditions are permitted to continue, serious results are sure to follow; Bright's disease, the very worst form of kidney trouble, may steal upon you.

PREVALENCE OF KIDNEY DISEASE. Most people do not realize the alarming increase and remarkable prevalence of kidney disease. While kidney disorders are the most common diseases that prevail, they are almost the last recognized by patient and physician who usually content themselves with doctoring the effects, while the original disease constantly undermines the system.

A TRIAL WILL CONVINC ANYONE. If you feel that your kidneys are the cause of your sickness or run down condition, begin taking Dr. Kilmer's Swamp-Root, the great kidney, liver and bladder remedy, because as soon as your kidneys begin to get better, they will help the other organs to health. In taking Swamp-Root you afford natural help to Nature for Swamp-Root is a gentle healing vegetable compound—physician's prescription for a specific disease.

You cannot get rid of your aches and pains if your kidneys are out of order. You cannot feel right when your kidneys are wrong.

SWAMP-ROOT IS PLEASANT TO TAKE. If you are already convinced that Swamp-Root is what you need, you can purchase the regular fifty-cent and one-dollar size bottles at all drug stores. Don't make any mistake but remember the name, Dr. Kilmer's Swamp-Root, and the address, Binghamton, N. Y., which you will find on every bottle.

SAMPLE BOTTLE FREE.—To prove the wonderful merits of Swamp-Root, you may have a sample bottle of the same sent absolutely free by mail. The book contains many of the thousands of letters received from men and women who found Swamp-Root to be just the remedy they needed. The value and success of Swamp-Root is so well known that our readers are advised to send for a sample bottle. Address Dr. Kilmer & Co., Binghamton, N. Y., be sure to say you read this generous offer in The Pensacola Daily Journal. The genuineness of this offer is guaranteed.



Swamp-Root is always kept up to its high standard of purity and excellence. A sworn certificate of purity with every bottle.

Swamp-Root is always kept up to its high standard of purity and excellence. A sworn certificate of purity with every bottle.

TIMBER DECAY COSTS MILLIONS

IMMENSE QUANTITIES OF IT ROT EACH YEAR IN RAILROAD TIES, BRIDGES, PILES AND FENCES.

Millions of feet of timber and finished lumber rot every year in railroad ties, bridges, trestles, piles, farm buildings, fences, poles and mine props. The lumber consuming public of the United States pay perhaps thirty to forty million dollars a year to make good the losses from wood decay.

These great drains are a source of more and more concern each year. Chemists and engineers who have to do with the use of wood are working unceasingly on the problem. The United States forest service has men who devote their whole time to it. The importance of the problem can not be overestimated. Millions of dollars are annually saved by preservative treatment of timbers, but much yet remains to be learned.

Wood decay is caused by fungus, a vegetable growth sometimes so small that it can be seen only with the microscope. Its roots or branches, like minute hairs, force their way into the wood tissues and absorb or eat away the solid parts. The collapse which results is called decay. Timber is artificially preserved by forcing into its cells and pores certain substances which prevent the growth of fungi. As long as the substance is present in sufficient quantity, the spores of decay—the threads and germs of fungus—can not enter, and the wood is preserved. This often means doubling and sometimes trebling the life of the timber.

The United States government considers the investigations of the preservative treatment of timber of such importance that the business of one office of the United States forest service, that of wood preservation, with new headquarters at Madison, Wis., is given over entirely to the work of experiment in co-operation with railroad companies and other corporations and individuals in prolonging the life of railroad ties, mine props, bridge timbers, fence posts and transmission poles.

The lengthening of the life of timber means the saving of thousands of dollars annually through doing away with heavy expense of labor and cost of materials for renewals.

Headaches and Neuralgia from Colds LAXATIVE BROMO Quinine, the world wide Cold and Grip remedy removes cause. Call for full name. Look for signature E. W. GROVE, 25c.

Why entrust your doctor's prescriptions to novices? It costs no more to possess that feeling of safety obtained only by a

Sense CRYSTAL PRESCRIPTION Compounded by PHARMACISTS in a LABORATORY especially equipped for the purpose—and who are employed exclusively in filling doctor's prescriptions.

THE CRYSTAL PHARMACY

VISITOR OF DISTINCTION

NATIONAL PRESIDENT YATES OF MARINE ENGINEERS MAKES A CALL AND ADDRESS TO, AND IS ENTERTAINED BY LOCAL ASSOCIATION.

National President Wm. F. Yates, of the Marine Engineers' Association of the U. S., paid an official visit to local association No. 81 yesterday and was entertained by a trip on the bay on the U. S. revenue cutter Penrose through the courtesy of Lieut. Davis, commanding officer of said vessel. During the afternoon he was shown the various points of interest in the city, navy yard and Fort Barrancas. Later in the evening a general meeting of the local marine engineers was held at the Knights of Columbus hall and the members were entertained for about two hours by their national president.

President Yates, succeeded General Uhler in office, when he was selected by President Roosevelt to fill the office of supervising inspector general of the United States. President Yates was with Past President Uhler and President Taft at New Orleans last week.

Foley's Honey and Tar cures coughs quickly, strengthens the lungs and expels colds. Get the genuine in a yellow package, W. V. D'Almeida, druggist and apothecary, 121 South Palafox Street.

SON STOPPED THE ADVANCE OF FATHER

Enoch Donahue, an aged white man residing near Saunders mill, was brought to the city late yesterday for treatment of a wound on the head. It was asserted that Donahue's son, a young man, had used a single-tree of a wagon to stop the advance of his father, who was angry. There was no arrests. The son came to town with his father and seemed to regret the occurrence exceedingly. He showed a knife said to have been wrested from the old gentleman's hand.

CONGRESSMAN MAYS COMING

Hon. Dannitte H. Mays of Monticello, has advised a Pensacola friend that he will be over for the Tri-County Fair, to remain possibly through the entire four days of the event. Many friends of the popular third district congressman will be happy to greet him.

Headaches and Neuralgia from Colds LAXATIVE BROMO Quinine, the world wide Cold and Grip remedy removes cause. Call for full name. Look for signature E. W. GROVE, 25c.

Why entrust your doctor's prescriptions to novices? It costs no more to possess that feeling of safety obtained only by a

Sense CRYSTAL PRESCRIPTION Compounded by PHARMACISTS in a LABORATORY especially equipped for the purpose—and who are employed exclusively in filling doctor's prescriptions.

THE CRYSTAL PHARMACY

EXHIBITS FOR TRI-CO. FAIR AT MISSION ROOM

QUARTERS SECURED IN CITY FOR RECEIPT AND CARE OF EVERYTHING TO BE SHOWN AT BIG EVENT NEXT WEEK—TAKE YOUR EXHIBITS TO THE MISSION ROOM TODAY.

Arrangements have been made to have exhibits taken to the fair grounds from the Mission rooms (Old Dairy Kitchen) corner Palafox and Garden streets, on Thursday, November 4th, and Monday, November 8th. Exhibits may be sent to the rooms any time after 9 o'clock up to 2 p. m. of these days. A charge of 25 cents per package will be ample to defray expenses.

THREE BOATS CAUGHT WITH SMALL OYSTERS

GAME WARDEN HARPER ON THE LOOKOUT FOR SUCH, HAS BEEN FORCED TO TAKE DRASTIC ACTION AGAINST FOREIGN BIVALVE FISHERS.

Keeping an eye on practically all incoming oyster sloops, Game Warden Harper has been rewarded by finding out that oysters very small and prohibited from sale by law, are being taken from Escambia bay reefs. In all cases by foreign fishers, who plead ignorance of the fish and game statutes of the state of Florida. Their pleading resulted in their not being returned to the reefs all oysters which are not large enough to come within the purview of the statutes.

The game warden said he had also been on the lookout for game violators. Although the hunting season started on November 1, there is a legal limit to the number one person can kill legally, and the number limit will be watched. The warden's deputies will also report on any violations which may come under their knowledge in any and all sections of Escambia county.

Really the only late violations of the fish and game laws are put up to the foreign fishermen, who in many cases are entirely in ignorance of statute requirements. All latitude is allowed those who are known to be ignorant, and unknowingly violate the laws, but prosecution may be expected in any case where any discovered violation is deliberate or intentional.

BIG BUCK DEER WAS BAGGED

FIRE CHIEF IN PARTY KILLING LARGE ANIMAL IN WESTERN PART OF ESCAMBIA COUNTY THIS WEEK.

A Fire Chief Bicker was a member of a party of seven which before yesterday bagged a big 4-prong buck deer in the western part of the county. The chief brought with him some venison and the "horns" as evidence that the big animal was really taken. The party, besides the fire chief, consisted of Clyde and Alvin Bicker, sons of the fire chief; John G. Oliver, Bernard Olsen, J. W. Hilton, Sr., "Sonny" Hilton and J. W. Hilton, Jr. They reached the city early last evening, and reported that the animal weighed over 150 pounds. They left the city Sunday. Hunting on Monday, the buck was "jacked," and he ran past a given spot, half a dozen shots were fired and the animal fell. Writhing in death agony, he lay on the ground a very dangerous customer, head and hoofs busy in keeping off dogs and hunters. It remained for Mr. Bicker, however, to get his knife in the animal's throat, which brought death quickly. Satisfied with the trophy, the party prepared to return home at once.

See the Great Lawrence & Co., at The Orpheum, next week. The one big spectacular production of the season.

For goodness sake, eat Mother's Bread. Hughey's, 183 West Intendencia. Phone 855

ONE EXHIBIT IS HERE, 2 MORE IN READINESS

EXCELLENT SHOW FROM BALDWIN BEING PLACED IN POSITION—SANTA ROSA'S EXPECTED TODAY AND ESCAMBIA'S BEING ASSEMBLED—GENERAL FAIR INFORMATION.

The splendid exhibit with which Baldwin county captured first prize at the Montgomery fair last week is being placed in position at the fair grounds, it being the first of the collective county exhibits to arrive. The Santa Rosa county exhibit in charge of C. H. Simpson is expected in today and its installation will be commenced at once, and Z. A. Thomas reports that much of the material for the Escambia county exhibit is on the way and it will be in readiness for the opening of the fair on next Thursday.

The advance guard of the luncheon and novelty men who are regular followers of the fair circuit has arrived and they too are making preparations for the opening which is now only a few days away.

The Pensacola Mission has taken up the work of furnishing information to visitors, and every one having rooms to rent should advise either the Rev. Mr. Simpson or the fair officials at once so that every room available may be listed, the information at hand indicating that Pensacola is going to have to take care of more visitors for the four days of the fair than ever before in her history.

In addition to this, one thousand buttons bearing the legend "I Live in Pensacola, Ask Me," have been ordered and will be ready for distribution Saturday, and may be had the office of the Journal. The Evening News, The Review and The Pensacolian, and every Pensacolian who is interested in making our many visitors enjoy themselves should wear one of these buttons and then carry out the intention of the plan by taking pains to give all needed information to every one who asks.

Thus far The Journal has seen but one business house that has done anything in the way of decoration for fair week, but it is not by any means late yet to get up some very handsome decorations for the occasion. It is true that the fair is not a downtown affair, but every visitor will be down town during his or her stay in the city, and we should add to that much of the general business of the morning passenger train from the east will stop at Magnolia Bluff for the convenience of those wishing to visit the fair before coming down town. Extra coaches will be sent out on Monday to various points on the E. & W. coasted line, and every room to accommodate the extra travel, and each day thereafter indications show that they will be needed.

Headaches and Neuralgia from Colds LAXATIVE BROMO Quinine, the world wide Cold and Grip remedy removes cause. Call for full name. Look for signature E. W. GROVE, 25c.

Writ of Habeas Corpus is Denied By Judge Jones

(Continued From First Page)

and imprisonment for such a time it is urged could not legally be executed in a penitentiary.

"The petitioners further aver that the petitioners had been tried in the circuit court of the United States for the northern district of Florida, for an offense which in truth and fact, was committed in the middle district of Alabama and that the conviction in this district in this respect, are in violation of the constitution of the United States.

QUESTIONS NOT RAISED.

"None of these questions, except the last, was raised before the circuit court of appeals. The convictions and sentences in these cases were affirmed. As the questions raised by the petitioners involved grave constitutional rights of the citizen, the court felt compelled to issue the writ, that the petitioners might have opportunity to have these matters inquired into.

"The indictment in these cases charged that the offense was committed in the northern district of Florida. The verdict of the jury affirms that the charge is true. Habeas corpus is not a revisory or appellate writ in any respect. The record disproves that objection. This court cannot go into that matter without usurping the authority, and in effect, reversing the judgment of another and higher tribunal. The court has, therefore, declined to look into the bill of exceptions on the trial in this case, which is a part of the record only for appellate purposes, and to consider whether the evidence before the circuit court for the northern district of Florida, justified the finding that the offense was committed in the northern district of Florida. The other questions raised are not concluded by the decisions of the circuit court of appeals, and relate to matters, which if proved, were in fact returned, but case void. It is unnecessary to cite authorities to show if there was no legal term of the court, at which the convictions were had, that such convictions were nullities, nor that if the petitioners were not in fact returned by the grand jury, that all proceeding based on it would be a nullity, under the decision in ex parte 'Bain, 121 U. S. 185,' and 'Hans Nielson, petitioner, 131 U. S. 185.'

THE EVIDENCE HEARD. The court therefore heard evidence in a summary way upon these matters, allowing both sides to offer such portion of the record and other evidence bearing upon the issues as they saw proper, subject to the right to

ALL BACKACHE MISERY ENDED

A FEW DOSES REGULATE THE KIDNEYS, ENDING LAME BACK AND BLADDER TROUBLE.

A real surprise awaits every sufferer from kidney or bladder trouble who takes several doses of Pape's Diuretic. Misery in the back, sides or loins, sick headache, nervousness, rheumatism pains, heart palpitations, dizziness, sleeplessness, inflamed or swollen eyelids, lack of energy and all symptoms of out-of-order kidneys simply vanish.

Uncontrollable urination (especially at night, smarting, offensive and discolored water and other bladder misery ends. Urinary system, distributing its cleansing, healing and strengthening influence directly upon the organs and glands affected, and completes the cure before you realize it.

Your physician, pharmacist, banker or any mercantile agency will tell you that Pape, Thompson & Pape, of Cincinnati, is a large and responsible medicine concern, thoroughly worthy of your confidence.

Only curative results can come from taking Pape's Diuretic, and a few days' treatment will make any one feel fine.

Accept only Pape's Diuretic—fifty-cent treatment—any drug store—anywhere in the world.

to resistance to the execution of a sentence, by lightly admitting the prisoner to be refused to refuse to discharge him on habeas corpus.

SHOULD BE EXECUTED.

"It is in the interest of peace and good order, and the prompt execution of the law, that a sentence, especially after it has been affirmed by an appellate court, should be executed without undue delay, but still there are instances where a prisoner may properly ask to be enlarged on bail, under such circumstances, and one of them is where grave constitutional questions are involved, affecting the liberty of the citizen, going further than the counsel for the government argues, that after the term was opened on the first day of March, it remained open, although nothing was done at all, unless the judge of that court stopped the term by adjournment for the term. It is not necessary to go into this, as what the court holds to be the record, and very properly so, shows that the court was opened from day to day, and never adjourned finally until the presiding judge of the term by adjournment for the term. I quite concur with the counsel and the decision of the supreme court of Florida, that if in fact an indictment had been palmed off on the court that had never been tried, that even after conviction on trial, it would be in the power of the court to inquire into it, and in such case a writ would issue, discharging the prisoners.

INDICTMENTS RETURNED.

"The facts in this case show that two indictments were found by the grand jury, on testimony of witnesses summoned before them, and the third was found on the same testimony. That it might have been found on the same evidence, and no formal note taken on the third indictment, the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity which could not be taken advantage of, except by motion to quash the indictment, before parties entered plea of not guilty. So far as regards the contention that what is called the amended indictment the court thinks would be a matter of irregularity