

stage are lacking. In many studies on reptiles such difficulties are believed avoided by considering the relative size of single or multiple groups of unit characters. Most often this approach is essentially a univariate characterization, expressed as a ratio in which one variable is considered independent of the other. In many cases this independence is improperly assumed; in others it is difficult to establish. Statistically it is considered valid only if the variances of the two variables are similar (Kermock and Holdon 1950; *et al.*). To establish the best possible discrimination technique, a number of characters were analyzed by ratios, log-ratios, and actual measurements. The results of the comparative analysis clearly showed that log-ratio and simple ratio comparisons are less discriminatory than actual measurements in adults (see Tables 13-14). Three major types of statistical analyses based on actual measurements are employed in this paper: (1) univariate characterization, establishing the mean and standard deviation of all characters analyzed, (2) multivariate characterization of a number of differently combined sets of 36 variables on the shell, and (3) linear discrimination by means of the BIOMED 005 technique (Kendall 1951). Details of analyses will be found in specific sections below.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS AND ABBREVIATIONS

Unless indicated otherwise all the specimens of extant populations upon which this study is based are in the collections of the Florida State Museum, University of Florida (UF). Fossil materials were examined from this same collection, plus that of the American Museum of Natural History (AMNH), Chicago Natural History Museum (CNHM), United States National Museum (USNM), University of Michigan, Museum of Zoology (UMMZ), Los Angeles County Museum (LACM), University of Arizona (UA), University of Texas (UTBEG), Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia (ANSP), Panhandle Plains Historic Museum (PPIIM), University of California, Museum of Paleontology (UCMP), Museum of Comparative Zoology (MCZ), University of Colorado Museum (UCM), University of Kansas, Museum of Natural History (UK), Charleston Museum (ChM), and Tulane University (TU). I wish to thank all of the persons in charge of these collections for allowing me to examine specimens in their care.

Special acknowledgment is due the National Science Foundation (Grant GB 1362), the Graduate School of the University of Florida (Grant 211*A56), and the American Philosophical Society (Grant # 406, Johnson Fund) for their financial support.

For gifts of specimens and data I would like particularly to thank W. T. Neill, New Port Richey, Florida; J. D. Woolever, Sarasota, Florida; G. Einem, Melbourne, Florida; J. Legler, University of Utah; J. McCoy, Carnegie Museum; and N. Tessman, Wm. Weaver, R. Woodruff, G. Gourley, and L. D. Ober, all formerly of the University of Florida. I would also like to indicate my appreciation to those artists who prepared many of the illustrations in this publication: Jane Larson, formerly of the BSCS, University of Colorado; Ross Norris, University of Wisconsin; Erik Speyer, University of Florida; Robert Nesby, Brown University; and Margaret Estey, formerly of the Florida State Museum. Elizabeth S. Wing, Florida State Museum, and F. Brandt, University of Florida Computing Center, deserve special recognition for their assistance in data analysis.