

May 1988

Bulletin 249

Basic Irrigation Scheduling in Florida

A. G. Smajstrla, B. J. Boman, G. A. Clark,
D. Z. Haman, F. T. Izuno, and F. S. Zazueta

Florida Cooperative Extension Service / Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences
University of Florida / John T. Woeste, Dean

UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA LIBRARIES

Contents

Introduction	1
Determining When to Irrigate	1
Crop Water Requirements	3
Field Water Balance	4
Water Budgeting for Irrigation Scheduling	6
Understanding Evapotranspiration	6
Estimating Evapotranspiration	7
Soil Water Storage	8
Allowable Soil Water Depletion	10
The Water Budget	11
Soil Moisture Indicators for Irrigation Scheduling	14
Irrigation Water Management	15
Summary	16
References	17

A.J. Smajstrla is Water Mgt. Specialist, Agr. Eng. Dept., Gainesville; B.J. Boman is Citrus Irr. Specialist, Agr. Res. and Ed. Center, Ft. Pierce; G.A. Clark is Water Mgt. Specialist, Gulf Coast Res. and Ed. Center, Bradenton; D.Z. Haman is Water Mgt. Specialist, Agr. Eng. Dept., Gainesville; F.T. Izuno is Water Mgt. Specialist, Everglades Res. and Ed. Center, Belle Glade; and F.S. Zazueta is Water Mgt. Specialist, Agr. Eng. Dept., Gainesville; respectively, Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences, University of Florida.

Introduction

Proper irrigation scheduling is the application of water to crops only when needed and only in the amounts needed; that is, determining when to irrigate and how much water to apply. With proper irrigation scheduling, crop yields will not be limited by water stress from droughts, and the waste of water and the energy used in pumping will be minimized. Other benefits include reduced leaching of nutrients from excess water applications, and reduced pollution of groundwater or surface waters from the leaching of nutrients.

Irrigation is practiced to provide water when rainfall is not sufficient or timely to meet water needs of a crop. For most agricultural crops, yield or quality reductions result from water stress. Therefore, if water is available and if it is relatively low in cost, as is the case in Florida, irrigations are normally scheduled to avoid plant water stress.

Despite Florida's relatively large average yearly rainfall of 52-60 inches, irrigation is practiced extensively. Irrigation is necessary because of the nonuniform distribution of rainfall, the very limited water-holding capacities of typical sandy soils, and the extreme sensitivity of many specialty crops to water stress. These factors and the economic implications of under- or over-irrigation require that irrigations be scheduled as efficiently as possible.

This publication discusses irrigation scheduling for Florida crops grown on soils where the water table is substantially below the crop root zone so that it does not contribute significantly to crop water use. Thus, irrigation events must periodically occur to replenish water in the crop root zone. Water budgeting for water table management (also called subirrigation or seepage irrigation) on poorly drained soils in which irrigation occurs as water applications to a high water table (immediately below the crop root zone), is discussed in IFAS Extension Circular 769, "Water Budgeting for High Water Table Soils", available from IFAS County Extension Offices.

Determining When to Irrigate

Because the objective of irrigation is to maintain a favorable environment for crops, the plants themselves are the best indicators

of the need for irrigation. Instrumentation exists which could allow an irrigator to measure plant water status and to anticipate water stress. However, such instrumentation is expensive, requires special training for use, and is generally applicable only for research purposes. Field scale use of such instruments is impractical.

Another indicator of plant water stress is the visual appearance of the plant. Unfortunately, however, yield reduction has already occurred by the time most agricultural crops show wilt symptoms. Growth processes cease in many crops before visual wilting occurs, and yield reduction may have occurred for some time before wilting is seen.

Finally, there are time lags associated with applying irrigation water. Because several zones might be irrigated from a single pump or other limiting distribution characteristics may exist, many irrigation systems cannot quickly replenish water in the crop root zone. Many hours or days may be required. Therefore, the need to irrigate must be anticipated because of limitations of the irrigation system. This problem is compounded in Florida by the low water-holding capacities of most agricultural soils and by the shallow root zones of many crops.

When to irrigate can also be determined by calendar methods (for example every 5 days), by crop growth stage (for example, every 5 days during early vegetative growth stage, and every 3 days during peak growth stage), or by similar methods based on long-term average irrigation requirements. However, these methods fail to consider the tremendous effect of climatic variability on daily crop water use. Therefore, the use of long-term average values may not be adequate during periods of hot, dry days, while it may result in overirrigation during periods of cool, overcast days, especially if rainfall is not considered. Day-to-day climatic conditions are highly variable during much of the year in Florida because of cloud cover and the random nature of rainfall occurrences.

Because of these limitations, irrigations are most often scheduled based on the soil water status. Three procedures may be used: 1) a water balance procedure based on the estimated crop water use rate and soil water storage, 2) a direct measurement procedure based on instrumentation to measure the soil water status, and 3) a combination of the above two methods in which soil water status instrumentation is used with a water balance procedure. These procedures require a knowledge of the crop water require-

ments, effective root-zone, soil water-holding capacity, and irrigation system capabilities in order to schedule irrigations effectively.

Crop Water Requirements

Water is used in a cropped field in several ways: 1) assimilation into the plant and plant fruit, 2) direct evaporation from the soil or other surfaces, 3) transpiration, which is loss of water vapor from plant leaves, and 4) other uses such as leaching of salts, crop cooling, and freeze protection. Less than 1% of the water used in crop production is assimilated into the plants. Other uses (category 4, above) may be significant, but they depend on factors other than maintaining adequate soil water content, and they will not be considered in this publication.

Most of the water applied to meet the water requirements of a crop is used in evaporation and transpiration. Evaporation and transpiration are important in cooling a crop, to maintain temperatures in the range that permits photosynthetic activity and crop growth to occur. Transpiration is also required to transport nutrients into and through plants.

The combination of evaporation and transpiration is called evapotranspiration (ET). Because the amount of water assimilated by a plant is very small with respect to ET, ET is often considered to be the crop water requirement -- the amount of water required by a growing crop to avoid water stress.

Delivering water to a crop in the field results in losses which increase the amount of water which must be pumped to supply the crop water requirement. Losses may occur because of inefficiencies in the conveyance system, evaporation and wind drift (if water is sprayed through the air), surface run-off, or percolation below the root zone. These losses can be minimized through good management practices, but they are impossible to completely eliminate, and they must be considered when determining the total (or gross) irrigation water requirement.

The total irrigation water requirement is the total amount of irrigation water which is required for crop production, including ET, all losses incurred in delivering water to the crop, and other needs such as leaching of salts, crop cooling, and freeze protection. In humid areas such as Florida, a large part of the crop water require-

ment can be provided by rainfall. Effective rainfall, rainfall that is stored in the root zone and available for crop use, proportionally reduces the amount of water which must be pumped for irrigation.

Field Water Balance

The water balance of a field during and after irrigation is shown in Fig. 1. In Florida, runoff losses are normally minimal because of the high infiltration rates of the sandy soils. Conveyance losses can be minimized by conveying water to the field in pipes rather than open channel.


Application losses, including evaporation and wind drift, can occur during irrigation, especially from sprinkler irrigation systems. These losses are, however, relatively small during periods of low radiation, low wind velocities, and high humidities. Also, water which evaporates during application, or which is intercepted and later evaporates from soil, plant, or other surfaces is not entirely lost. Rather, some evaporation during application compensates for ET by reducing ET that would have occurred if the intercepted water had not been evaporated.

Evaporation and wind drift losses can be minimized by irrigation at night, early mornings, and late afternoons when climatic conditions are not severe. However, cultural aspects such as disease must be considered for crops in which wet foliage may promote bacterial or other growths which could reduce yields.

Deep percolation losses from well-designed irrigation systems can be minimized by good irrigation management. If water is applied uniformly and the water-holding capacity of a soil is not exceeded, water losses to deep percolation will be minimized. If saline water is used for irrigation, it may be necessary to leach excess salts from the crop root zone by adding water in excess of the soil water-holding capacity. However, excess irrigation for leaching should be required only during extended dry periods in Florida because rainfall normally leaches salts.

If the losses shown in Fig. 1 are kept to a minimum, most of the irrigation water applied will evaporate or transpire in proportion to the climatic demand. Unfortunately, rainfall is relatively unpredictable and its occurrence immediately following an irrigation reduces rainfall effectiveness. Irrigation can be minimized by

THE WATER BALANCE OF A FIELD



1. Water balance components of an irrigated field.

anticipating rainfall and providing soil storage capacity (that is, irrigating to less than field capacity to leave room for rainfall storage) to increase rainfall effectiveness.

Water Budgeting for Irrigation Scheduling

Two questions must be answered in order to schedule irrigations: 1) When to irrigate, and 2) How much water to apply? A water-budget procedure can be used to answer both questions.

From Fig. 1, the crop root zone can be visualized as a reservoir where water is temporarily stored for use by the crop. Inputs to that reservoir occur from both rainfall and irrigation. If the capacity of the soil-water reservoir (the volume of water stored in the crop root zone) and the daily rates of ET extraction from that reservoir are known, the date of the next irrigation and the amount of water to be applied can be determined. Thus, ET and soil-water storage in the plant root zone are the basic information needed to use the water-budget method for irrigation scheduling.

Understanding Evapotranspiration

Evaporation involves the change of state of water from a liquid to a vapor. Energy is required for evaporation to occur. If field surfaces, such as the leaves of well-watered plants or wet soils, are moist, the amount of water vaporizing and moving into the atmosphere in a humid region such as Florida is mainly determined by the energy available from solar radiation. Thus, the solar radiation level is the main climatic factor that determines the ET rate, although air temperature, humidity, and wind also affect ET rates. For these reasons, ET rates are higher in summer when daily solar radiation levels and temperatures are high.

Exceptionally low relative humidity and high winds will increase ET rates above normal. Hot dry winds may raise the ET rates of isolated irrigated fields by 25 percent or more above the normal, although such periods are usually brief.

The most significant crop factors that affect ET from a well-watered crop are the crop species, the stage of growth, and the plant size or leaf area with respect to the ground surface on which radiation is incident. Methods of expressing plant size and leaf area

include the degree of ground cover or percent canopy coverage. ET rates are greatest when the entire soil surface is covered by the crop canopy.

Many crops do not totally shade the ground, especially during their early stages of growth, and evaporation from the dry soil surface between plants is low. This is especially true of sandy soils which act as a mulch to greatly reduce evaporation when the surface dries.

When the crop canopy is not complete, the ET rate is strongly influenced by the area of leaf surface that is intercepting sunlight, that is, the percent of soil surface shaded by the crop. For this reason, ET for row crops during early growth stages and that of many orchards and vineyards is considerably less than the ET that would occur from a complete canopy. As growth increases, ET reaches its maximum at nearly complete ground cover. ET measurements indicate that when the percent of ground covered by the canopy is above 60-70 percent, full ground cover and full ET rates can be assumed.

Immediately after an irrigation, evaporation from the wet soil occurs at approximately the same rate as full cover ET, but as the soil dries, rates of evaporation are quickly reduced. Thus, frequency of irrigation plays an important role in determining evaporation losses from the soil, especially when the entire soil surface is wetted. There are both positive and negative aspects to evaporation from sandy soils -- the soils are self-mulching and evaporation rates are quickly reduced when the soil surfaces dry, but, because of their low water-holding capacities, the surfaces must be wetted more frequently than those of heavier-textured soils because more frequent irrigations are required.

Estimating Evapotranspiration

Because climatic conditions largely determine ET, various methods based on meteorological factors have been developed to estimate ET rates. A summary and discussion of several ET equations and their modifications for Florida conditions were presented by a committee of IFAS researchers (Jones et al., 1984). The ET estimation equations which can be applied on a daily basis for irrigation scheduling require inputs of measured or estimated solar radiation. The Penman equation, which is believed to be the most accurate for Florida

conditions, is also mathematically complex and difficult to use manually. For this reason, computer software which calculates ET from climatic and crop factors was developed for IBM-compatible microcomputers (Zazueta et al., 1987) and is available from the University of Florida.

One of the simpler methods of estimating daily ET in the field is by measuring evaporation from a standardized free-water surface, since a correlation exists between crop ET and evaporation from free water. The standard water surface commonly used is the National Weather Service Class A evaporation pan located in an irrigated grassed area. The ratio between potential ET (ET for a well-watered short green grass crop) and evaporation from a well-maintained evaporation pan is typically assumed to be about 0.7. Then crop ET can be estimated by multiplying potential ET by water use coefficients (K_c) for specific crops, growth stages, and management factors. K_c values for many crops that are grown in Florida have been published by Doorenbos and Pruitt (1977), Jones et al. (1984), and SCS (1970).

When a complete crop canopy exists, the daily ET can be estimated by multiplying the measured pan evaporation by 0.7. This procedure can be used as a "rule of thumb" if other specific crop coefficient data are not available.

Soil-Water Storage

During irrigation, water infiltrates (penetrates) the soil surface. It is then distributed in the soil by gravity and soil capillary forces (attraction for water). As the soil becomes wetter, gravitational forces dominate and water drains downward through the soil. Drainage is rapid at first, but after one to several days (depending on soil type, layering, etc.) it decreases to a very small rate, so that for practical purposes it may be neglected. At this point, soil moisture in the root zone may be considered to be in storage; it can be depleted primarily by plant transpiration or evaporation from the soil surface. This upper limit of water storage in soil is called "field capacity" (FC). Field capacity in sandy soils in Florida commonly occurs within one or two days after an intense rainfall or maximum irrigation because of the rapid movement of water in sandy soils.

A practical lower limit of soil water may be defined as the soil-water content below which severe crop water stress and permanent wilting occurs. This lower limit has been defined as the permanent wilting point (PWP). While plants may remove some water below this level, such extraction has little or no significance in irrigated agriculture, although it may be crucial for plant survival. In fact, yield reduction typically occurs long before PWP is reached.

The difference between FC and PWP is called the available water (AW). Table 1 presents typical values of AW for various soil types. Most of the major irrigated soils in Florida are in the top category (Sands and fine sands) in Table 1. Local soil surveys and irrigation guides available from the Soil Conservation Service (SCS) provide information on specific Florida soil types. Available water may also be estimated in the field by applying a known limited amount of water to the soil when the profile water content is near PWP, observing the volume of soil wetted, and calculating the volume of water stored per unit volume of soil.

Table 1. Available Water for Various Soil Types

Type of Soil	Available Water (AW)	
	range (inches/ft)	average (inches/ft)
Sands and fine sands	0.4 to 1.00	0.75
Moderately coarse-textured- sandy loams and fine sandy loams	1.00 to 1.50	1.25
Medium texture- very fine sandy loams to silty clay loam	1.25 to 1.75	1.50
Fine and very fine texture- silty clay to clay	1.50 to 2.50	2.00
Peats and mucks	2.00 to 3.00	2.50

Once AW is known, the total depth of water available, TAW (and thus the capacity of the soil-water reservoir), can be obtained by multiplying AW by the crop effective root zone depth. For layered soils, TAW is calculated by adding the multiples of AW and depths of all soil layers contained in the crop root zone.

The effective root depths of Florida agricultural crops can be estimated from SCS irrigation guides, but local conditions may affect root depths. The best way to determine effective root zone depths is by digging and observing where most of the roots are located. The effective root zone is that where most of the roots actively involved in water uptake are located -- this is normally the upper 1 to 3 ft of the soil profile, depending on the crop being grown. In a humid area such as Florida, irrigations should be concentrated in this upper portion of the crop root zone where the great majority of the crop roots are located.

Allowable Soil Water Depletion

The allowable soil water depletion is the fraction of the available soil water that will be used to meet ET demands. As ET occurs, the soil water reservoir begins to be depleted. As the soil dries, the remaining water is bound more tightly to the soil, making it more difficult for the plant to extract it. For this reason ET will start to decrease long before the PWP is reached. This lower ET generally does not increase water-use efficiency because it also reduces yield. For this reason, growers should irrigate before the root zone water content reaches a level that restricts ET.

The critical soil water depletion level depends on several factors: crop factors (rooting density and developmental stage), soil factors (AWC and effective root depth), and atmospheric factors (current ET rate). Therefore, no single level can be recommended for all situations.

Allowable depletions of 1/3 to 2/3 of the available soil water are commonly used in scheduling irrigations. The smaller allowable depletions are commonly used for sensitive crops at critical stages of crop growth. The greater depletions are allowed for less sensitive crops and at less-critical growth stages. As a "rule of thumb", an allowable water depletion of 1/2 of AW should be used if other specific data are not available.

The Water Budget

The water-budget procedure is also called a water balance or bookkeeping procedure. It is similar to keeping a bank account balance. If the balance on a starting date and the dates and amounts of deposits and withdrawals are known, the balance can be calculated at any time. Most importantly, the time when all funds (or water) would be withdrawn can be determined so that an overdraft is avoided (or an irrigation can be scheduled).

The water budget equation for irrigation scheduling on a daily basis can be written as follows:

$$\Delta S = R + I - ET - (D + RO) \quad (1)$$

where

ΔS = change in available soil water (inches),

R = rainfall measured at the field site (inches),

I = irrigation applied (inches),

ET = evapotranspiration estimated from pan evaporation or other method (inches),

D + RO = drainage and runoff, calculated as rainfall in excess of that which can be stored in the soil profile to field capacity (inches).

The soil water content on any day (i) can be calculated in terms of the water storage on the previous day (i-1), plus the rain and irrigation, and minus the ET, drainage, and runoff that occurred since the previous day as:

$$S(i) = S(i-1) + R + I - ET - (D + RO) \quad (2)$$

The starting point for irrigation scheduling is often after a thorough wetting of the soil by irrigation or rainfall. This brings the soil reservoir to full capacity and S(i) to TAW. If this does not occur, the initial available soil water must be determined by direct observation (measurement or estimation).

Daily measurements or estimates of ET are subtracted from the available soil water until the soil water has been reduced to the allowable depletion level. At that point an irrigation should be

applied with a net amount equivalent to the accumulated ET losses since the last irrigation. The soil reservoir is thus recharged to full capacity, and the depletion cycle begins again. Fig. 2 shows a sample of a water budget for a Florida sandy soil with a total available water of 1.5 inches in the plant root zone. It was assumed that a management decision was made to irrigate when $2/3$ of the available soil water (1.00 inch) was depleted. In this example, that level of depletion occurred after 4 days. At that time, an irrigation should be scheduled to replenish the 1-inch of soil water depleted.


The water budget procedure also accounts for rainfall. Rainfall is entered into Fig. 2 in the same way that an irrigation application would be. That is, it refills the soil profile and raises the soil water content. If large rainfalls occur, only that portion required to restore the soil water content to field capacity will be effective. Greater amounts of rain will either run off of the soil surface or drain below the plant root zone.

The management decision concerning the level of allowable water depletion (AWD) is one that will need to be made by each irrigation manager. It will vary depending upon soil, crop, and climatic factors. Commonly it will vary during the growing season. For example, AWD may be set at $2/3$ during non-critical crop growth stages, but it may be decreased to $1/3$ during critical growth stages such as during fruit set. Decreasing AWD increases the frequency of irrigation (but decreases the amount per irrigation) to provide a more favorable crop root environment to reduce water stress during critical growth stages. Decreasing AWD will generally result in greater irrigation requirements because the soil will be maintained wetter and thus rainfall will be less effective. More frequent irrigations will also promote increased evaporation from the soil surface.

The capacity of the root zone reservoir and allowable depletion levels can be estimated before the start of a growing season. For annual crops the capacity will change as the season progresses and as their roots develop. For mature perennial crops such as citrus, the root zone may be considered to be a constant for a given set of soil conditions.

The soil depth to be managed for irrigation must be refined by field experience. For example, experience in many parts of the world has shown that the citrus root zone to be irrigated should be much less than the 5 to 8 ft depths where some plant roots exist.

THE WATER BUDGET METHOD OF IRRIGATION SCHEDULING



13

- IRRIGATE
1. WHEN AFTER 4 DAYS
 2. HOW MUCH . . . APPLY 1.0 INCHES OF WATER PLUS LOSSES (EFFICIENCY CONSIDERATION)

* ALLOWABLE DEPLETION = $\frac{2}{3}$ AVAILABLE SOIL WATER (MANAGEMENT DECISION)

2. Illustration of the water budget method of irrigation scheduling.

Rather, the irrigated zone should be the upper 2 to 3 ft of the root zone where the majority of the roots are located. This practice also has the advantage of allowing some soil capacity for rainfall when it occurs.

Daily ET values for specific water use periods should be estimated from pan evaporation or ET equations. If current daily ET estimates are not available, the use of soil moisture measurement instrumentation or the installation of evaporation pans should be considered. The use of long-term average ET data (Smajstrla et al., 1984) will result in scheduling errors because day-to-day ET rates are highly variable. Long-term average ET data can be used as a guide for daily ET estimates, but they will need to be modified for climatic variabilities. That is, they will need to be increased during long-term hot, dry periods, and decreased during mild weather periods.

Soil-Moisture Indicators for Irrigation Scheduling

Devices for monitoring soil moisture have been available for more than 20 years. Among them, tensiometers are the instruments most commonly used for scheduling irrigations. Gypsum blocks are also being used on a limited basis. These devices register the status of water in the soil, in terms of soil-water tension, at the depth at which the device is placed. They have the advantage of providing a measurement of the soil water status rather than relying upon estimates of ET to calculate the soil water content. When placed in the plant root zone they indicate the soil water status that the plants are experiencing. Disadvantages of soil moisture sensors include their cost, labor requirements for reading and servicing, and need for periodic calibration. They also make point rather than field scale measurements, thus many instruments may need to be installed to accurately represent a given field.

Details of the use, cost, advantages, and disadvantages of these and other devices which can be used for soil moisture measurement are given in IFAS Extension Circular 532, "Measurement of Soil Water for Irrigation Management". Details of the use of tensiometers are given in IFAS Extension Circular 487, "Tensiometers for Soil Moisture Measurement and Irrigation Scheduling", available from IFAS County Extension Offices.

No single soil-water tension level can be recommended as indicating the need for irrigation when using tensiometers. For the same reasons that allowable soil water depletion is not constant for all crops and conditions, critical soil water tension also varies with soil and crop conditions and management objectives. The level also varies with depth of placement of the tensiometer. However, crop water stress is normally avoided when irrigations are scheduled in the range of 15-30 centibars (cb) in the upper portion of the crop root zone where most of the roots actively involved in soil water extraction are located. Lower readings should be used for crops that are more sensitive to water stress. Field experience is required to refine the interpretation of instrument readings for a given crop and management system.

Tensiometers or any other soil-moisture monitoring device are most effectively used in combination with ET data. The device is read to determine when to irrigate, and the ET data are used to calculate the volume of water lost since the last irrigation. From this, the volume to be replaced can be determined.

Irrigation Water Management

Good on-farm water management practices include not only precise irrigation scheduling, but also knowing (or being able to accurately measure) the volume of water applied to each field. For example, if the field associated with the irrigation scheduling example in Fig. 2 was 40 acres of citrus, irrigated with an overhead sprinkler system in 4 sets of 10 acres each, and if the application efficiency for the overhead system was 75% (25% of the water applied is assumed to be lost to evaporation, wind drift, and nonuniform application during sprinkling), the depth of water to be pumped at each irrigation would be $1.0"/0.75 = 1.33$ inches. The volume of water required for each 10 acre set would be (1.33 in.) (10 acres) = 13.3 acre-inches or approximately 362,000 gal.

Flow meters can accurately measure irrigation water to verify that the correct amount is applied. They are available with registers in units of either gallons or acre-inches. Flow meters can easily pay for themselves with savings in fuel costs for irrigation pumping. More information on irrigation flow measurement is available in IFAS Extension Bulletin 207, "Agricultural Water Measurement", available through IFAS County Extension Offices.

Good farm irrigation management requires that an irrigation system be capable of applying water in sufficient quantities to meet the crop's water requirements and with high uniformity to minimize waste. Nonuniform irrigation will cause excess water to be applied in some areas while other areas will not get enough.

Irrigation systems are more expensive if they are designed for a high degree of uniformity. Thus, there is a temptation to sacrifice uniformity when systems are purchased on the basis of competitive bids. The system manager should recognize that operating costs will be greater or yield losses will result when systems which apply water and chemicals nonuniformly are operated. A lower initial system cost which sacrifices uniformity of water application may be false economy. A technique for field evaluation of the uniformity of water application by trickle irrigation systems is available as IFAS Extension Bulletin 197, "Field Evaluation of Trickle Irrigation Systems: Uniformity of Water Application", and as computer software from the University of Florida.

Summary

Proper irrigation scheduling will help to assure efficient use of water and energy in crop production. Irrigation scheduling methods that are currently applicable in Florida are 1) a water budget method requiring estimation of daily ET and soil water content, and 2) the use of soil moisture measurement instrumentation. Techniques for estimating ET, determining soil water storage, determining allowable water depletions, and water budgeting were described. When properly used and combined with efficient methods of water application, these techniques should also result in increased production and profits.

References

- Doorenbos, J. and W.O. Pruitt. 1977. Crop water requirements. FAO Irrigation and Drainage Paper No. 24. Food and Agric. Organiz. of the U.N. Rome.
- Fereres, E., D.W. Henderson, W.O. Pruitt, W.F. Richardson and R.S. Ayres. 1981. Basic irrigation scheduling. Leaflet 21199. Div. of Agric. Sci., Univ. Calif.
- Izuno, F.T. 1987. Water budgeting for high water table soils. Ext. Cir. 769. IFAS, Univ. Fla.
- Izuno, F.T. and D.Z. Haman. 1987. Basic irrigation terminology. Agric. Engr. Dept. Fact Sheet AE-66. IFAS, Univ. Fla.
- Jones, J.W., L.H. Allen, S.F. Shih, J.S. Rogers, L.C. Hammond, A.G. Smajstrla and J.D. Martsof. 1984. Estimated and measured evapotranspiration for Florida climate, crops, and soils. Bul. 840 (Tech.) IFAS, Univ. Fla.
- SCS Technical Staff. 1970. Irrigation water requirements. Tech. Release 21. U.S. Dept. of Agric., Soil Conservation Service. Washington, D.C.
- Smajstrla, A.G., G.A. Clark, S.F. Shih, F.S. Zazueta and D.S. Harrison. 1984. Potential evapotranspiration probabilities and distributions in Florida. Ext. Bul. 205. IFAS, Univ. Fla.
- Smajstrla, A.G. and D.S. Harrison. 1984. Measurement of soil water for irrigation management. Ext. Cir. 532. IFAS, Univ. Fla.
- Smajstrla, A.G., D.S. Harrison and F.X. Duran. 1985. Tensiometers for soil moisture measurement and irrigation scheduling. Ext. Cir. 437. IFAS, Univ. Fla.
- Smajstrla, A.G., D.S. Harrison and F.S. Zazueta. 1985. Field evaluation of trickle irrigation systems: Uniformity of water application. Ext. Bul. 195. IFAS, Univ. Fla.
- Smajstrla, A.G., D.S. Harrison and F.S. Zazueta. 1985. Agricultural water measurement. Ext. Bul. 207. IFAS, Univ. Fla.

Zazueta, F.S., A.G. Smajstrla and D.Z. Haman. 1987. Evapotranspiration estimation utilities. Cir. 744, Computer Series. IFAS, Univ. Fla.

Zazueta, F.S., A.G. Smajstrla and D.S. Harrison. 1984. Glossary of trickle irrigation terms. Agric. Engr. Dept. Fact Sheet AE-45. IFAS, Univ. Fla.

MARSTON SCIENCE LIBRARY

COOPERATIVE EXTENSION SERVICE, UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA, INSTITUTE OF FOOD AND AGRICULTURAL SCIENCES, John T. Woeste, *Director*, in cooperation with the United States Department of Agriculture, publishes this information to further the purpose of the May 8 and June 30, 1914 Acts of Congress; and is authorized to provide research, educational information and other services only to individuals and institutions that function without regard to race, color, sex, age, handicap or national origin. Single copies of extension publications (excluding 4-H and youth publications) are available free to Florida residents from county extension offices. Information on bulk rates or copies for out-of-state purchasers is available from C.M. Hinton, Publications Distribution Center, IFAS Building 664, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida 32611. Before publicizing this publication, editors should contact this address to determine availability. Printed 2/93.

